GLOBAL STABILITY FAILURE OF A 3D COMPOSITE STRUCTURE ACCOMPANIED BY UNAVOIDABLE POLYMORPHIC UNCERTAINTIES

Martin Drieschner¹, Christoph Wolf¹ and Yuri Petryna¹

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Technische Universität Berlin
Gustav-Meyer-Allee 25, 13355 Berlin, Germany
martin.drieschner@tu-berlin.de, c.wolf@tu-berlin.de, yuriy.petryna@tu-berlin.de,
www.statik.tu-berlin.de

Key words: Aleatory Uncertainty, Epistemic Uncertainty, Fuzzy-Stochastic Finite Element Method, Global Stability Failure, Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic (CFRP)

Abstract. In this study, a non-linear stability analysis of a carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) considering unavoidable polymorphic uncertainties is conducted. For the realistic incorporation of the uncertainties in the finite element model, thickness variations and geometrical inaccuracies have been detected in advance by non-destructive testing. For that, a structure made of CFRP has been designed. Additionally, the material parameters have been defined as dependent stochastic variables based on reference studies in the literature. The distinction in aleatory and epistemic uncertainties leads to different uncertainty models and to a computationally costly fuzzy-stochastic analysis. Strains and displacements have been measured in a symmetric three-point bending test and compared to the numerical predictions. In addition to the present uncertain parameters, a case study shows that the fiber volume content and a small pre-deformation should be taken into account to minimize the deviation from the experimental results.

1 INTRODUCTION

This study has been performed within the research project MuScaBlaDes: "Multi-scale failure analysis with polymorphic uncertainties for optimal design of rotor blades", which is part of the DFG Priority Program (SPP 1886) "Polymorphic uncertainty modelling for the numerical design of structures" started in 2016. Rotor blades of wind turbines are thin-walled spatial composite structures, see Fig. 1. They typically consist of two aerodynamic shells and a bearing structure inside, either flanges and shear web(s) or a box girder. Various failure mechanisms can occur in operation which have to be investigated in the design process [1]. In this study, the focus is on the global stability failure of structural components made of carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP). For that, experimental and numerical studies have been conducted on a representative thin-walled structure like in [7, 13]. The structure is called the omega shell, which is supported using steel profiles and a plate made of glass fiber reinforced plastic (GFRP), see Section 2. It is assumed that the buckling is mainly affected by material imperfections and geometrical inaccuracies [5]. The influence of the latter one on the stability failure of thin-walled structures has been numerically evaluated in [2]. They are unavoidable due to partly hand-made manufacturing processes. Non-destructive testing (NDT) has been used for measuring the geometrical inaccuracies, see Section 3. A finite element model has been created in which the present uncertainties have been incorporated. Due

(a) Rotor blade at TU Berlin

(b) Manufacturing process

Figure 1: Rotor blade of wind turbines as industrial example for thin-walled composite structures

to different uncertainty sources and knowledge about the uncertainties, a distinction in aleatory and epistemic uncertainties [8] with different uncertainty models is suggested as described in Section 4. The numerical results and their comparison with experimental results are presented in Section 5.

2 OMEGA SHELL

In order to observe the influence of numerous uncertainties on a numerically demanding problem a structural component, a measurement procedure and an experimental routine has been designed and implemented. Elastic buckling of a CFRP structure has been chosen, since it is strongly dependent on various uncertainties, especially the geometrical imperfections (e.g. wall thickness). In order to design an appropriate setup, fulfilling certain conditions is important, for example an easy and cost-effective manufacturing incorporating CFRP materials, the possibility to observe buckling with optical measurement devices and creating a designated area for the buckles to form. The proposed structure, see Fig. 2, is a folded structure with an O-shaped cross-section and thin-walled, tilted webs (referred to as A and B). It is 1.20 m long, 0.88 m wide, 0.40 m high and designed to ensure that the first buckling modes occur dominantly within the tilted webs. These are about half as thin as the remaining flanges (buckling strength is proportional to the third power of the wall thickness) and dominantly undergo compressive stress in a symmetric three-point-bending test. In a first iteration a quasi-isotropic laminate layering with a fiber volume content of $\varphi_{\text{des}} = 55\%$ has been chosen, consisting of a $[0^\circ/45^\circ/90^\circ/-45^\circ]_S$ set-up for the webs and twice the amount for the flanges, yielding a web thickness of $t_w = 3.33$ mm and a flange thickness of $t_f = 6.66$ mm. The calculated mass for the whole CFRP structure (without GFRP plate and edge reinforcements) is $m_{\text{des}} = 9.49$ kg. The mechanical properties of the carbon fibers (Tenax SSTS40 F13 F24K 1600tex from Teijin Carbon Europe GmbH [11]) and the matrix material (EPL 20 epoxy resin and EPH 161 hardener from R&G Faserverbundwerkstoffe GmbH [9]) are presented in Table 1. The design has been made in cooperation with IPF Dresden. As a local partner, they also friendly undertook the manufacturing.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

The finished composite shell structure is presented in Fig. 3a. To prevent premature buckling of the whole structure due to the free edges of the tilted webs, they are strengthened using specially designed edge supports made of steel U-profiles, see Fig. 3b for reference. They can seamlessly slide onto the free

Table 1: Mechanical properties of fibers and matrix material

Mechanical property	Fiber	Matrix
$E_{ }$	238 000 MPa	3150 MPa
$E_{\perp}$	16 000 MPa	3150 MPa
$G_{\perp }$	50 000 MPa	1150 MPa
$\nu_{\perp }$	0.270	0.370
ρ	1.77 g cm^{-3}	1.19 g cm^{-3}
$\alpha_{ }^T$	$-4.55 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$7.00 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$

Figure 2: CFRP structure with load and support

edges.

The open cross-section tends to spread wide open in x -direction during load application in this test setup. To counter this problem, a GFRP plate is glued to the bottom of the structure. Due to the high in-plane stiffness of the GFRP plate the buckling has been eliminated.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

(a) Assembled CFRP structure with GFRP plate

(b) Steel reinforcements to support both free edges against premature buckling

Figure 3: Omega shell and edge reinforcement

3 MEASUREMENTS AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The focus in the presented work is on the measurement of geometrical imperfections, namely dimensional deviations of the overall geometry and the wall thickness, since they have a significant influence on the buckling behavior [5]. Other imperfections, like material or physical imperfections (for example air voids within the matrix, initial cracks, variation of material parameters) have not been measured. Nonetheless, they also tend to have an impact on the buckling behavior, see Section 5.

After finishing the manufacturing process an initial inspection of the omega shell has been carried out. Particularly noteworthy is the rather smooth and even surface on the outside, while the inside one is more uneven and bumpy. The true weight of the structure without the GFRP plate is $m_{\text{true}} = 10.78 \text{ kg}$. Since the mass of fibers within the matrix is fixed one can recalculate the true fiber volume content to $\varphi_{\text{true}} = 47 \%$.

3.1 Ultrasonic thickness measurement

As previously mentioned, the inside surface of the omega shell is not smooth and rather uneven up to a certain degree due to the manufacturing process. Since the web thickness is only a few millimeters, even small variations can have a meaningful influence on the buckling behavior. The actual thickness measurements have been performed using the ultrasonic pulse-echo system *MUSE Z-400*. Both web areas have been scanned with a minimal resolution of $150 \mu\text{m}$ resulting in over 44 million data points per square meter. The overall results are displayed in Fig. 4, where the thickness of the webs is amplified by

Figure 4: Ultrasonic measurement of the web thickness

a factor of 50. Due to some mounting limitations of the measurement device, it has not been possible to cover the remaining 10cm in front of every web edge. The mean thickness of both webs $\mu_{\text{true}} \approx 3.61 \text{ mm}$ is about 8 % larger than the designed thickness, see Fig. 8.

3.2 Global geometry measurement using fringe projection method

An optical measurement using the fringe projection method has been used to get information about the actual shape of the structure. Here, a specific pattern of different fringes is projected onto an object and measured using a calibrated camera. After processing the results are presented as triangular mesh of the geometry. This data can be analyzed further or compared to the designed geometry. The deviation of the

real geometry from the design is presented in Fig. 5.

Figure 5: Deviations from design geometry in millimeters

The numbers indicate the deviation from the perfect geometry in millimeters, where positive numbers represent an outward shift, while negative numbers represent an inward shift. First of all, the overall deviation from the perfect geometry is comparatively small with a maximum positive shift of 1.3 mm and a minimum negative shift of 1.1 mm based on the overall dimensions of the structure. It implies that the manufacturing did not introduce major geometry deviations. Nonetheless, there still are deviations, especially within the webs which may influence the buckling behavior to a certain degree.

3.3 Experimental setup

The main objective of the experimental setup is to induce structural buckling and to observe corresponding deformations/strains on the surface of both webs, while also tracking the applied displacement and the associated force. Three strain gauges were applied to measure the strains on one side of the structure, see Fig. 6a. The strain gauges 1 and 2 are attached in the middle of the web to measure horizontal and vertical strains, while strain gauge 3 is attached to the top flange to measure the vertical strain. The other web has been prepared with a black and white random pattern to use a stereo optic camera system for non-contact deformation and strain measurement of the whole web area. The entire test setup is displayed in Fig. 6b. Advantages of using the camera system are that it is possible to observe the development of the whole buckle over the entire time and the possibility to compare the real buckling mode to the numerical results.

The entire experiment is conducted displacement-controlled with a constant rate of $500 \mu\text{m}/\text{min}$. This way it is possible to observe the post-buckling behavior of the structure, in case the structure is losing stiffness on a certain path. The whole test has lasted about 30 min including some short interruptions. The structure violently failed at a vertical load of 45.36 kN and a vertical displacement of 11.62 mm with an abrupt crack formation on the inside of the bottom of the upper flange. It was clearly visible during the test that the structure has buckled almost symmetrically with major deformations on both webs.

4 UNCERTAINTY MODELS

Unavoidable uncertainties are present and have to be considered in the numerical investigations. Some of them are irreducible due to randomness and natural variability. Statistical information is at disposal

(a) Strain gauge arrangement (1: web B horizontal, 2: web B vertical, 3: flange horizontal)

(b) Operational test setup with stereo optic camera system for deformation/strain measurement on web A

Figure 6: Strain gauge arrangement and test setup overview

in the literature or from conducted non-destructive testing. These uncertainties are called aleatory [8] and are quantified by random variables. In this study, lognormal distribution $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$ with mean value of logarithmic values μ and standard deviation of logarithmic values σ are used for all random variables, see Fig. 7a. Some uncertain parameters are based on limited amount of data, subjectivity or expert knowledge and no statistical information is given which could reduce the uncertainty. These uncertainties are called epistemic [8] and are quantified by non-stochastic variables. In this study, fuzzy variables with a triangular membership function, called triangular fuzzy numbers $\text{TFN}\langle a, b, c \rangle$, with support $S = [a, c]$ and core value $C = b$ are used, see Fig. 7b [6].

(a) Lognormal distribution:
 $x_1 \sim \mathcal{LN}(\mu = 5, \sigma = 1)$

(b) Triangular fuzzy number:
 $x_2 = \text{TFN}\langle a = 0, b = 1, c = 3 \rangle$

Figure 7: Uncertainty models

4.1 Material parameters

The omega shell is made of carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) which is a multilayer composite consisting of individual unidirectional fiber layers. For each of them, transverse isotropy is assumed. The

material parameters depends on the fiber (f) and matrix (m) properties which have been experimentally determined [14]. HTS carbon fibers (f) [11] and a matrix (m) [9] are used. The fiber and matrix properties are given in Table 1.

Based on [10], the material parameters $E_{\parallel}$, $E_{\perp}$, $\nu_{\perp\parallel}$ and $G_{\perp\parallel}$ and further dependent material parameters $\nu_{\parallel\perp}$, $\nu_{\perp\perp}$ and $G_{\perp\perp}$ of the unidirectional layer can be calculated, see Table 2. A fiber volume content of $\varphi_{\text{des}} = 55\%$ or $\varphi_{\text{true}} = 47\%$ has been used, respectively. The influence of a reduction of $E_{\perp}$ and $G_{\perp\parallel}$ on the results caused by material non-linear effects [12, 3] has been investigated. Only small deviations have been observed, so the material non-linearity is negligible and has been excluded in the following. In [15], statistical information of the mechanical properties of CFRP is given. By using the coefficients of variation (COV), lognormal distributions have been defined, see also Table 2.

Table 2: Material parameters of the carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP)

Mechanical property	Mean value μ [10]	COV = σ/μ [15]	Distribution
$E_{\parallel}$	$f(\varphi_{\bullet}, E_{f\parallel}, E_m)$	4.3 %	lognormal: $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$
$E_{\perp}$	$f(\varphi_{\bullet}, E_{f\perp}, E_m, \nu_m)$	14.5 %	lognormal: $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$
$\nu_{\perp\parallel}$	$f(\varphi_{\bullet}, \nu_{f\perp\parallel}, \nu_m)$	4.9 %	lognormal: $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$
$G_{\perp\parallel}$	$f(\varphi_{\bullet}, G_{f\perp\parallel}, G_m)$	15.6 %	lognormal: $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$
$\nu_{\parallel\perp}$	$f(\nu_{\perp\parallel}, E_{\parallel}, E_{\perp})$		
$\nu_{\perp\perp}$	$f(\varphi_{\bullet}, \nu_{f\perp\parallel}, \nu_m, \nu_{\perp\parallel}, E_m, E_{\parallel})$		
$G_{\perp\perp}$	$f(E_{\perp}, \nu_{\perp\perp})$		

Furthermore, a Gaussian copula with linear correlation parameters ρ_{ij} has been defined based on [15]:

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.28 & -0.10 & 0.31 \\ & 1 & -0.56 & 0.87 \\ & & 1 & -0.76 \\ \text{symm.} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} E_{\parallel} \\ E_{\perp} \\ \nu_{\perp\parallel} \\ G_{\perp\parallel} \end{vmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

Inaccuracies in the manufacturing lead to imperfect undulated unidirectional layers. The undulation is considered by reducing the stiffness in longitudinal direction $E_{\parallel}$ for which a factor $r_{\parallel} \approx 90\%$ is usual [14]. In the following, the fuzzy variable $r_{\parallel} = \text{TFN}\langle 80, 90, 95 \rangle\%$ is defined.

4.2 Web thickness

The omega shell been has been designed with a thickness of $t_{\text{des}} = 3.33 \text{ mm}$ for both webs (A and B). It could be measured by ultrasonic scanning, see Fig. 4, that the real thickness is spatially varying and about 8 % larger. All measured thicknesses are displayed in a histogram, see Fig. 8. The mean value for both webs is $\mu_{\text{true}} \approx 3.61 \text{ mm}$ and the coefficient of variation is around 1%. Based on that, a lognormal distribution for the web thickness $t_w \sim \mathcal{LN}(3.61, 0.0361) \text{ mm}$ has been defined. It is worth mentioning that the increase of the mean value is more important for the stability analysis of the webs than the small spatial deviations. Thus, a spatially constant thickness t_w has been defined in this study. An extension to a (fuzzy-)random field definition is possible and considered in ongoing studies.

Figure 8: Web thickness: histogram of measurement data using a measurement resolution of 150 μ m

4.3 Global geometry deviation

In addition to the web thickness, the global geometry has been measured and deviations from the original design could be determined, see Fig. 5. The deviations are considered in two different ways.

On the one hand, triangular fuzzy numbers are defined for the offsets of the web edges and the upper flange which are probably caused by "spring-in effects" in the manufacturing process [14]. Two opposite web edges have an offset of ≈ 1.3 mm, the other ones of ≈ 0.7 mm and the upper flange of ≈ 1.1 mm. To investigate the influence of the offsets, triangular fuzzy numbers $\Delta_{wA} = \text{TFN}(0, 0, 1.50)$ mm, $\Delta_{wB} = \text{TFN}(0, 0, 0.75)$ mm and $\Delta_{uf} = \text{TFN}(-1.5, 0, 0)$ mm are defined with no offset on the core and maximum offset on the support.

On the other hand, the initial deformation of the web surfaces has been considered by an imperfect pre-deformed geometry according to the symmetrical buckling mode. No value for the maximum deflection u_{imp} can explicitly be given, so a case study has been conducted. Taking into account the measured geometry in Fig. 5 with small deviations, a perfect geometry and a pre-deformed geometry with a value

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

4.4 Edge support area

The omega shell has been reinforced on both longitudinal edges by steel U-profiles, see Section 2. For both webs (A and B) and the upper flange, the length of the support area is uncertain. It is assumed, that the reinforcement has an effect at least between 30% and 70% of the length. The maximum range has been specified between 5% and 95%. Each of the procentual start values a_{wA} , a_{wB} and a_{uf} is quantified by $a_{\bullet} = \text{TFN}(5, 30, 30)\%$ and each of the procentual end values b_{wA} , b_{wB} and b_{uf} is quantified by $b_{\bullet} = \text{TFN}(70, 70, 95)\%$.

5 RESULTS

Defining stochastic variables for aleatory uncertainties and fuzzy variables for epistemic uncertainties simultaneously leads to a nested fuzzy-stochastic analysis. The deterministic finite element model is embedded in the uncertainty space, which generally consists of the stochastic space, the interval space and the fuzzy space. In this approach, the interval space is empty and has not to be considered. It is usual

that the stochastic space is embedded in the fuzzy space. The computational costs can be calculated as $t_{\text{tot}} = n_{\text{tot}} \cdot t_{\text{D}} = n_{\text{F}} \cdot n_{\text{S}} \cdot t_{\text{D}}$ with the number of samples in the fuzzy space n_{F} , the number of samples in the stochastic space n_{S} and the duration t_{D} of the non-linear stability analysis of the deterministic model.

The deterministic model is created in the finite element program ANSYS and the complete numerical analysis is conducted in MATLAB, mainly by using the framework PolyUQ [4]. In the fuzzy space, the reduced transformation method [6] with five equidistantly distributed α -levels and a priori fuzzy input dependencies is used. In the stochastic space, the classical Monte-Carlo method with $n_{\text{S}} = 200$ samples on each fuzzy sample is conducted.

In the following, the experimental and numerical results for the horizontal strain $\epsilon_{1,z}$ at position 1 and for the displacement normal to the web surface u_{norm} are presented. For the numerical investigations, three cases have been considered:

case 1 $\varphi_{\text{des}} = 55\%$, perfect geometry

case 2 $\varphi_{\text{true}} = 47\%$, perfect geometry

case 3 $\varphi_{\text{true}} = 47\%$, pre-deformed geometry according to the symmetrical buckling mode with maximum deflection of $u_{\text{imp}} = \mu_{\text{true}} = 3.61$ mm outward on both web surfaces A and B

For all three cases, the mean value and the standard deviation have been calculated in the stochastic space. For both, the membership function (μ) can be shown and the center of gravity (COG) have been selected as defuzzified value in the fuzzy space.

5.1 Strain gauge 1

The horizontal strain at position 1 has been measured experimentally with a maximum value of 1.5 mm/m, see Fig. 9a and 9b. The fuzzy mean value in all three cases is lower with a maximum value of 1.2 mm/m on the support in case 3. The COG of case 2 and 3 (≈ 1.05 mm/m) at the maximum load of $F_z = -45.36$ kN has been increased compared to the COG of case 1 (≈ 0.77 mm/m) by approximately 37%. Furthermore, case 3 with the pre-deformed geometry is more appropriated to retrace the experimentally measured value. The fuzzy mean value is depicted in Fig. 9c. The experimental value and the fuzzy mean values are comparable and are increasing by higher load values F_z , see Fig. 9c. The range at the maximum load is between 0.06 mm/m and 0.15 mm/m, see Fig. 9d, which results in a coefficient of variation of approximately 10%.

5.2 Stereo optic camera system

The displacement fields just before failure are depicted in Fig. 10a and 10b. They are qualitatively quite similar. The maximum deflection is in the upper part of the web and the buckle is stretched in horizontal direction. In the experiment, the structure has collapsed then with an abrupt crack formation on the inside of the bottom of the upper flange. The evaluation of the displacement in the center of the web $u_{\text{M,norm}}$ is shown in Fig. 10c and 10d. Also here, the experimental curve is steeper than the numerical curves and the adjustments in case 2 and case 3 increases the displacement values, see Fig. 10c. The small support interval of approximately 2.5 mm in all three cases in Fig. 10d implies a small influence of the fuzzy input parameters on the result.

(a) fuzzy mean value of $\epsilon_{1,z}$

(b) membership function at $F_z = -45.36 \text{ kN}$

(c) fuzzy standard deviation of $\epsilon_{1,z}$

(d) membership function at $F_z = -45.36 \text{ kN}$

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

Figure 9: Fuzzy-stochastic output and experimental result for horizontal strain at position 1 ($\epsilon_{1,z}$)

6 CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, the global stability failure of a three-dimensional composite structure in the presence of unavoidable polymorphic uncertainties is investigated numerically as well as experimentally. The structure is a thin-walled carbon fiber reinforced shell with an omega cross-section. The shell has been manufactured, measured and loaded until failure in a symmetric three-point bending test. The measured uncertainties are incorporated in the numerical model by stochastic variables. Other uncertainties are only vaguely given for which fuzzy variables have been used.

The experimental results could be reflected qualitatively, but quantitative deviations are still present. The experimental graphs are steeper than the numerical ones. For all three numerically investigated cases, the output fuzzy standard deviations are approximately 10% of the output fuzzy mean values by what the influence of the stochastic input parameters becomes visible. The influence of the fuzzy input parameters can be seen for example in the support intervals of the output fuzzy mean values, which

Figure 10: Fuzzy-stochastic output and experimental result for displacement normal to the web surface

are approximately $\pm 10\%$ around the associated centers of gravity. The reduction of the fiber volume content from 55% to 47% in case 2 decreases the stiffness of the structure and decreases the deviation to the experimentally determined strains and displacements by approximately 37%. In addition, the pre-deformation according to the symmetrical buckling mode in case 3 decreases the deviation once more and the experimental graph can be better retraced, especially for smaller load values.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the Subproject 4 (grant number: 312928137) of the Priority Programme "Polymorphic uncertainty modelling for the numerical design of structures – SPP 1886" and the valuable contribution of IPF Dresden during the design and manufacturing phase.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brøndsted, P. and Nijssen, R. P. L. *Advances in wind turbine blade design and materials*. Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy, (2013).
- [2] Castro, S.G.P., Zimmermann, R., Arbelo, M.A. et al. Geometric imperfections and lower-bound methods used to calculate knock-down factors for axially compressed composite cylindrical shells *Thin-Walled Structures* (2014) **74**:118–132.
- [3] Ditcher, A.K., Rhodes, F.E. and Webber, J.P.H. Non-linear stress-strain behaviour of carbon fibre reinforced plastic laminates *The Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design* (1981) **16**(1):43–51.
- [4] Drieschner, M., Eichner, L., Seiffarth, F. and Petryna, Y. PolyUQ: framework for solving problems with polymorphic uncertainties. *Preprint-Reihe des Fachgebiets Statik und Dynamik, Technische Universität Berlin*, Preprint No. 2021-01, (2021).
- [5] Flügge, W. Die Stabilität der Kreiszyinderschale *Archive of Applied Mechanics* 3.5, 463–506, Springer-Verlag, (1932).
- [6] Hanss, M. *Applied Fuzzy Arithmetic - An Introduction with Engineering Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2005).
- [7] Khakimova, R., Wilckens, D., Reichardt, J. et al. Buckling of axially compressed CFRP cylinders with and without additional lateral load: Experimental and numerical investigation *Thin-Walled Structures* (2017) **119**:178–189.
- [8] Kiureghian, A.D. and Ditlevsen, O. Aleatory or epistemic? Does it matter? *Structural Safety* (2009) **31**(2):105–112.
- [9] R&G Faserverbundstoffe GmbH *Materialdatenblätter Epoxidharz L 20 + Härter EPH 161*. (2019).
- [10] Schürmann, H. *Konstruieren mit Faser-Kunststoff-Verbunden*. Springer-Verlag Berlin, Vol. II., (2007).
- [11] Teijin Carbon Europe GmbH *Produktübersicht Kohlenstofffaser Filamentgarn*. (2019).
- [12] VDI *Development of FRP components (fibre-reinforced plastics): Analysis (2014-3)*. Beuth Verlag GmbH, (2006).
- [13] Verwimp, E., Tysmans, T., Mollaert, M. et al. Experimental and numerical buckling analysis of a thin TRC dome *Thin-Walled Structures* (2015) **94**:89–97.
- [14] Wolf, C. *Messtechnische Erfassung und Modellierung von Imperfektionen und Stabilität dünnwandiger CFK-Bauteile*. Master thesis TU Berlin, (2019).
- [15] Zhang, S. Experimental identification of statistical correlation between mechanical properties of FRP composite. *Materials* (2020) **13**:674.