Model Order Reduction in computational multiscale fracture mechanics
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Abstract. Nowadays, the model order reduction techniques have become an intensive research field because of the increasing interest in the computational modeling of complex phenomena in multi-physic problems, and its consequent increment in high-computing demanding processes; it is well known that the availability of high-performance computing capacity is, in most of cases limited, therefore, the model order reduction becomes a novelty tool to overcome this paradigm, that represents an immediately challenge in our research community. In computational multiscale modeling for instance, in order to study the interaction between components, a different numerical model has to be solved in each scale, this feature increases radically the computational cost. We present a reduced model based on a multi-scale framework for numerical modeling of the structural failure of heterogeneous quasi-brittle materials using the Strong Discontinuity Approach (CSD). The model is assessed by application to cementitious materials. The Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) and the Reduced Order Integration Cubature are the proposed techniques to develop the reduced model, these two techniques work together to reduce both, the complexity and computational time of the high-fidelity model, in our case the \textit{FE$^2$} standard model.

1 Introduction

The present model departs from the multiscale framework developed in [2] for the numerical modeling of failure via hierarchical multi-scale models, taking advantage of the reduced order techniques developed in [1], the theoretical framework used in this work is based on the so-called (\textit{FE$^2$}) methods via first order computational homogenization for the coupling between scales, in which homogenized quantities at the lower scale, represented by a so-called failure-cell, are therefore transferred, in a one-way fashion, to material points (Gauss points) of the macroscopic structure. The formulation is presented in terms of strains in a non-conventional format imposing the natural multiscale boundary conditions via Lagrange multipliers. This work attempts to solve the problematic of excessive computational time in multi-scale models, in our case an additional complexity is induced by the discontinuous displacement field produced by the strain localization at both scales. Nonetheless, the methodology can also be straightforward extended to problems with continuous fields.

2 Model description

2.1 Generalities of the \textit{FE$^2$} method applied to multiscale fracture problems

This approach is developed under a small strain framework, the equality of internal power at both scales is guaranteed via Hill-Mandell Macro-Homogeneity principle. In virtue of the finite element method, the dissipative processes that occur at the meso-scale are modeled using cohesive bands, represented by quadrilateral elements endowed with a regularized continuum damage model. These bands are characterized by a high aspect ratio (width smaller than its length and, in turn, that width being much thinner than the representative cell dimensions). In addition, scattered within the matrix, the aggregates and the interfaces between them are also included. In this way, they can model a set of predefined crack patterns including several mechanisms such as percolation of the crack through the matrix (necessary for softening behavior), mortar/aggregate decohesion and rupture between aggregates. Depending on the loading process at the large scale, these crack patterns are loading and unloading until the full consolidation, finally, a dominant mechanism naturally prevails, thus representing the final pattern of the micro-crack. This mechanism is now referred to as mesoscopic failure mechanism. That mechanism has several features, its form and orientation will be as precise as the richness of the lower scale, and is closely related to the crack orientation obtained at the large scale.

In this approach, the macroscopic constitutive response is proven to be point-wise equivalent to an inelastic law (in an incremental fashion) as a function of the homogenized elastic tangent tensor, $C^{hom}$, and the incremental homogenized inelastic strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}^{(i)}$ i.e.:

$$\sigma = C^{hom} : (\dot{\varepsilon}(x) - \dot{\varepsilon}^{(i)})$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\mu}(n \otimes \beta)$$

(1)
Where, the inelastic strain component $\dot{\varepsilon}^{(i)}$ is expressed as a function of the homogenized variables taken from the lower scale, and represent the average value of the symmetrical tensor product between the strong discontinuity normal $n$, and the rate of displacement jump $\dot{\beta}$ of each cohesive band, belonging to the manifold of the mesoscopic failure mechanism $S_\mu$, i.e. the mesoscopic crack. In addition, the so-called material characteristic length $l_\mu$ is defined as the ratio between the measure (volume or area) of the representative volume and the measure (surface or length) of the mesoscopic failure mechanism. The equations that govern the lower scales are the next:

$$
PROBLEM I: \text{Given a macro-scale strain } \varepsilon, \text{ Find } \tilde{u}_\mu \text{ such that } \varepsilon_\mu = \varepsilon + \nabla^s \tilde{u}_\mu \text{ and:}
$$

$$
\int_{B_\mu} \sigma_\mu(\varepsilon_\mu) : \nabla^s \tilde{u}_\mu \, dB_\mu = 0 \quad ; \forall \tilde{u}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu := \{ \tilde{u}_\mu \mid \int_{B_\mu} \nabla^s \tilde{u}_\mu \, dB_\mu = 0 \}; \quad (2)
$$

Regarding the large scale (macro-scale), it is modeled via the finite element method. The strain injection technique [3] is used in order to provide a robust and efficient model that can capture failure propagation even in high strain localization scenarios. In addition, the crucial matter of positioning strong discontinuities is tackled by a parallel technique termed crack-path field. This technique uses a directional derivative of a scalar field, based on a location variable (in our case, the average of mesoscale dissipated energy) whose zero level set defines the crack path.

### 2.2 Model Order Reduction techniques

The reduction process is divided into two sequential stages. The first stage consists of a common Galerkin projection, via Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) for the meso-scale strain field, onto a small space (reduced-order space). For the second stage, the main goal is to reduce the number of integration points given by the standard Gauss quadrature, by defining a new scheme that efficiently determines optimal points and its corresponding weights so that the error in the integration of the reduced model is minimized (Reduced Order Cubature - ROC).

In order to provide the reduced model with the input parameters and entities, the general procedure is also divided into two parts, the first one (offline part) in which the projection operators for the meso-scale strain field and the parameters of the new integration cubature are computed. These data, together with the material and geometrical parameters, define the set of input parameters for the first and second stage (online part).

A comparison with the standard (FE) scheme, the proposed model in (2) can be redefined in term of strains in a generalized fashion, imposing the kinematic constraint (2-b) in an explicit way via Lagrange multipliers.

$$
\text{PROBLEM IB: Given a macro-scale strain } \varepsilon, \text{ find } \xi_\mu \text{ and } \lambda \text{ satisfying:}
$$

$$
\Pi(\tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu, \lambda) = \int_{B_\mu} \psi_\mu(\tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu) \, dB_\mu + \lambda \int_{B_\mu} \tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu \, dB_\mu \quad \psi_\mu(\tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - d_\mu)(\varepsilon + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu) \cdot C_\mu^{el} \cdot (\varepsilon + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu) \quad (4)
$$

Being $\psi_\mu$, $d_\mu$ and $C_\mu^{el}$ the internal energy, the damage internal variable and the elastic constitutive tensor at each point $y$ in the meso-scale, respectively.

#### 2.2.1 Projection of strain field via POD

The reduction of the meso-scale strain field is based on the projection of the weak form of the discrete mechanical problem into a reduced manifold (reduced-order space), this reduced space is spanned by $\text{Ritz}$ (globally supported) basis functions obtained via Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of a set of snapshots taken from training tests computed during the offline part. Following this reasoning, the meso-scale strain fluctuation can be expressed as:

$$
\tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu(y,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} \Phi_i(y) c_i(t) = \Phi(y) c(t) \quad (5)
$$

Where $c(t) = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_{n_\varepsilon}\}$ is time dependent ($c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\varepsilon}$) and represents the amplitude of the corresponding meso-scale strain mode updated during the online part. Now, introducing (5) and (4) into the PROBLEM IB and, after some straightforward manipulations, results into a new model written in terms of the reduced basis:

$$
\text{PROBLEM II: Given a macro-scale strain } \varepsilon, \text{ find } c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\varepsilon} \text{ satisfying:}
$$

$$
\int_{B_\mu} \Phi^T(\sigma_\mu(\varepsilon + \Phi c) + \lambda) \, dB_\mu = 0; \quad \text{tal que } \int_{B_\mu} \Phi(y) c(t) \, dB_\mu = 0; \quad (6)
$$
Solving the system of equations (6) for \( c \) and \( \lambda \) (Lagrange multiplier to ensure the equality of internal power at both scales via Hill-Mandel Macro-Homogeneity principle), it can be immediately noticed that this problem with \( n_\varepsilon + n_r \) equations will be cheaper, (in computational cost terms), than the standard (\( FE^2 \)) framework. However, the matricial form of \textbf{PROBLEM II} has to be computed (in a standard way) prior its projection onto the reduced-order space. This fact highlights that the actual bottleneck for fast online computation is not the solution of the discrete balance equations but, rather, the determination of the stresses, internal forces and stiffness matrices at all the integration points of the underlying finite element mesh and its posterior projection. Alternatively, this approach proposes a second stage based on the \textbf{PROBLEM II}, that intends to reduce the amount of integration points in which the constitutive equation is computed.

2.2.2 \textbf{Hyper-reduced model}

As pointed out in the previous section, the next objective is to introduce an additional reduction step to diminish the computational burden for equation (6-a). In addition, in order to guarantee the good performance for the second stage, all possible operators have to be computed during the offline part. Particularly, the term (6-b) can be computed entirely in the offline part. To pursuit the main objective of the second stage, we develop a \textit{Hyperreduced Order Model} (HPROM) via Reduced Optimized Cubature (ROC), this technique is based on a discrete minimization problem that allows determining the optimized location of integration points and the corresponding weights. Once these positions and weights are at one’s disposal, the equation (6-a) can be easily determined as:

\[
\int_{B_\mu} \Phi^T[\sigma_\mu(\varepsilon + \Phi c)] dB_\mu \approx \sum_{j=1}^{n_r} (\Phi(z_j)^T \sigma_\mu(z_j, c)) \omega_j \quad (7)
\]

The success of our proposed scheme, relies on the fact that it is possible to find a set of integration points \( n_r \), substantially smaller than the ones given by the Gauss standard quadrature, minimizing the error in the assessment of (6). Introducing the expression (7) into the \textbf{PROBLEM II}, we get:

\[
\text{PROBLEM III: Given the macro-scale strain } \varepsilon, \text{ find } c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\varepsilon} \text{ satisfying: }
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n_r} (\Phi(z_j)^T \sigma_\mu(z_j, c)) \omega_j + \int_{B_\mu} \Phi^T \lambda dB_\mu = 0 \quad \text{tal que } \int_{B_\mu} \Phi(\gamma, c(t)) dB_\mu = 0 \quad (8)
\]

3 Numerical Results

3.1 Application to simulation of fracture in cementitious materials

The macro-scale will be splitted into two subdomains, the dark gray domain will be modeled using an elastic monoscale constitutive law, taking the elastic homogenized constitutive tangent tensor, and, in the green domain the Hyper-Reduced Order Model (HPROM). The finite element mesh of the meso-scale is also depicted in figure (1-b), where the morphology and the considered pattern of cohesive bands can be seen (in light green the aggregates, in pink the mortar matrix, in light gray the \textit{intra-matrix} cohesive bands, and finally, in blue the interface \textit{matrix/aggregate} cohesive bands). The mechanical behavior of the cohesive bands is modeled by a rate-independent continuum damage model endowed with a linear isotropic regularized softening, whose material properties have been taken from the experimental test in [4].

![Figure 1: Finite element discretization and material properties](https://example.com/figure1.png)

The figure (2-a) shows the structural response in terms of load-displacement (\( P - \delta \)) curve (vertical load of the bottom, rightmost corner node versus displacement at the same place) for each set of strain modes \( n_\varepsilon \) and integration points \( n_r \). It is shown the sensitivity in the convergence of the structural behavior as \( n_\varepsilon \) increases. As a consequence, the
amount of integration points will increase in order to guarantee the numerical stability and the exact integration of the scheme. For instance, with \( n_\varepsilon = 60 \) and \( n_r = 188 \), we get a speed-up of 130 times with respect to the time consumed by the standard (\( FE^2 \)) formulation. In this case the reduced model matches the peak load of the experimental test; however, the softening branch (post-peak behavior) is not as precise as expected, the improvement of this branch is achieved increasing the amount of strain modes in the online part.

Lastly, in figure (2-b) it can be observed the convergence results for the meso-scale tests using the Hiper-Reduced Order Model; fixing a number of strain modes \( n_\varepsilon \), we get an optimal number of integration points for the second stage, (with an error less than 5% in homogenized tractions for the CSDA taking as a reference the HF solution). In addition, it can be immediately noticed that, as the number of strain modes \( n_\varepsilon \) increases, the error decreases monotonically. However, the rate of decrement in the error is considerably smaller than the rate of increment of integration points, leading to an increment in the computational cost of the HPROM model, therefore, the imposition of a judicious equilibrium between error and number of integration points plays an important role in the good performance of our method, specially in low-performance computing platforms.

![Convergence analysis of the meso-scale](image)

(a) Macro-structural response - L Shape Panel  
(b) Convergence analysis of the meso-scale

Figure 2: Convergence error in macro and meso scales

4 Conclusions

The result of this work is a reduced model based on a hierarchical (\( FE^2 \)) multiscale approach for material failure in cementitious materials, that preserves all features of the standard FE model [2]. Furthermore, the two presented simulations show the convergence of the meso-scale and the sensitivity of the macro-structural behavior, as a function of the amount of strain modes, \( n_\varepsilon \), and the number of integration points, \( n_r \). The reduced model solves the problem of unaffordable computational cost widely known in multiscale hierarchical (\( FE^2 \)) approaches. This methodology can be straightforward extended to problems with smooth fields.
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