

MICROBIAL FRONTIERS

From Laboratory Discoveries to the Future
of Microbiology (Part 01)

Research Perspectives on Emerging Diseases, Food
Safety, Bacterial Stress Physiology, Antimicrobial Discovery,
Genomics, AI, and One Health

A Decade of Microbiological Research



EMERGING DISEASES
& CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY



FOOD & ENVIRONMENTAL
MICROBIOLOGY



BACTERIAL & YEAST
STRESS PHYSIOLOGY



NATURAL ANTIMICROBIALS
& SYNTHESIS



Md. Sakil Munna
with research collaborators



LABORATORY
EXCELLENCE



LOCAL RESEARCH
GLOBAL IMPACT



COLLABORATION
FOR A HEALTHIER FUTURE



EVIDENCE.
INNOVATION.
IMPACT.

MICROBIAL FRONTIERS

From Laboratory Discoveries to the Future of Microbiology (Part 01)

*Research Perspectives on Emerging Diseases, Food Safety, Bacterial Stress Physiology,
Antimicrobial Discovery, Genomics, AI, and One Health*

Md. Sakil Munna

with research collaborators

First Publication: 2026

Copyright and Publication Note

© 2026 Md. Sakil Munna. All rights reserved.

This manuscript is an original synthesis built around the author's previously published research and a newly researched discussion of contemporary and emerging microbiology. Original research findings are attributed to their published sources in the reference list. No journal article is reproduced here as a substitute for the original publication.

This edition is prepared as a reflowable Kindle manuscript. Amazon KDP may change its technical requirements, and final acceptance is determined by Amazon after upload and review. Before publication, the author should run the manuscript through Kindle Previewer and KDP's online previewer and complete the required metadata and content declarations.

KDP AI-content note: if AI tools were used to create actual text, images, or translations in the final book, Amazon currently requires disclosure of AI-generated content when publishing or republishing through KDP. AI-assisted editing of author-created material is treated differently under KDP's current policy. The publisher should make the final declaration in KDP based on the actual production workflow.

Educational and scientific-use disclaimer: this book is intended for education, research discussion, and public-health literacy. Clinical decisions, antimicrobial prescribing, food-safety validation, and regulatory decisions should be based on current professional guidance, validated methods, and applicable law rather than on this book alone.

Dedication

To the Teachers, Students, Laboratory Assistants and all others personnel of the Department of Microbiology, Stamford University Bangladesh.

With sincere gratitude and respect, this work is dedicated to all the teachers—past and present—whose knowledge, guidance, encouragement, and commitment have shaped every step of this research journey; to the students whose curiosity, enthusiasm, and dedication continue to inspire scientific discovery; and to the laboratory assistants whose patience, technical support, and tireless efforts have helped turn ideas into experiments and observations into meaningful findings. This work is a reflection not only of individual research, but also of the collective support, dedication, and spirit of scientific inquiry shared within the Department of Microbiology, Stamford University Bangladesh.

To everyone who has contributed, directly or indirectly, to the pursuit of knowledge through teaching, learning, laboratory work, and research—this work is gratefully dedicated to you.

Preface

Microbiology is often introduced through names: *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Saccharomyces*. But a laboratory rarely feels like a list of names. It feels like a sequence of questions. Why did one culture survive the heat while another collapsed? Why did a disinfectant work in one setting but fail in another? Why did a food that looked clean carry organisms that a plate count could reveal? Why did an organism that appeared to be controlled begin growing again when conditions improved?

Those questions shaped more than a decade of laboratory-based work associated with microbiology research in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The published studies gathered in the original manuscript span clinical microbiology, food and environmental safety, bacterial and yeast stress physiology, and natural antimicrobial screening. Read separately, they are individual experiments. Read together, they become a story about microbial survival.

This expanded edition builds upon a foundation established through a series of research studies published as separate journal articles, while taking the discussion a step further by asking a broader and more forward-looking question: Where does this body of research lead next?

The answer is not a single technology. The future of microbiology is being assembled from several directions at once: genomic surveillance, metagenomic diagnostics, artificial intelligence, rapid antimicrobial susceptibility testing, phage-based interventions, microbiome science, wastewater surveillance, climate-informed food safety, and One Health approaches that connect human, animal, food, and environmental systems.

That future is exciting precisely because it is not simple. A genome can reveal resistance genes, but a gene is not automatically a phenotype. A metagenomic test can detect microbial DNA, but detection is not always proof of causation. An AI model can identify patterns, but a pattern is not automatically an explanation. A natural product can inhibit a bacterium in a laboratory assay, yet fail when food composition, dosage, stability, sensory effects, and real-world handling enter the picture.

The central message of this book is therefore deliberately practical: microbiology becomes more powerful when measurement is connected to context.

The quantitative results from the original research chapters are retained as reported in the source manuscript and its cited publications. New sections are clearly written as contemporary synthesis and future-oriented interpretation rather than as new experimental results. Where evidence is promising but not yet sufficient for routine practice, that distinction is stated openly.

The book is written for students who want to understand why microbiology matters, professionals who want to connect laboratory evidence with food and public-health practice, and researchers who want to see how a decade of applied experiments can generate the questions of the next decade.

Contents

[Copyright and Publication Note](#)

[Dedication](#)

[Preface](#)

[Introduction: The Invisible World Is Changing](#)

[PART I — Emerging Diseases and Clinical Microbiology](#)

[Chapter 1 — The Landscape of Emerging Microbial Threats](#)

[Chapter 2 — Clinical Microbiology: Burns, Urinary Tract Infections, and Viral Hepatitis Risk](#)

[PART II — Food and Environmental Microbiology](#)

[Chapter 3 — Fresh Produce: When Clean-Looking Food Is Not Microbiologically Clean](#)

[Chapter 4 — Seafood Microbiology: Shrimp, Virulence, and Export Confidence](#)

[Chapter 5 — Dairy Microbiology and Salmonella Surveillance](#)

[Chapter 6 — Herbal and Traditional Medicines: Safety, Claims, and the Evidence Gap](#)

[PART III — The Physiology of Survival](#)

[Chapter 7 — Heat Shock: Survival Is a Process, Not a Temperature](#)

[Chapter 8 — Oxidative Stress: The Chemistry of Microbial Survival](#)

[Chapter 9 — Osmotic Stress, Carbon Source, and Growth Kinetics](#)

[Chapter 10 — Aeration, Colony Formation, and the Laboratory as an Experimental System](#)

[PART IV — Natural Antimicrobials and the Search for New Controls](#)

[Chapter 11 — Tea Polyphenols and Plant-Derived Antimicrobials](#)

[PART V — The New Microbiology: Genomes, AI, and One Health](#)

[Chapter 12 — From Culture Plates to Genomic Maps](#)

[Chapter 13 — Metagenomics: Finding What Cannot Easily Be Cultured](#)

[Chapter 14 — Artificial Intelligence Enters the Microbiology Laboratory](#)

[Chapter 15 — One Health: The Microbe Does Not Respect Sector Boundaries](#)

[PART VI — Climate, Food Safety, and the Next Decade](#)

[Chapter 16 — Climate Change Is Also a Microbiological Variable](#)

[Chapter 17 — Phages, New Antimicrobials, and the Return of Biological Control](#)

[Chapter 18 — The Microbiome and the Next Definition of Health](#)

[PART VII — What the Decade of Research Teaches](#)

[Chapter 19 — From Isolated Experiments to a Research Program](#)

[Chapter 20 — A Research Agenda for 2026–2035](#)

[Epilogue — The Question That Comes Next](#)

[References](#)

[About the Author](#)

Introduction: The Invisible World Is Changing

A city can look perfectly ordinary while a microbial map is changing underneath it. A market can look clean while water, surfaces, hands, storage temperatures, and repeated handling create opportunities for contamination. A hospital can follow an established protocol while resistant organisms adapt around it. A food-processing line can achieve a validated thermal target while surviving cells exploit a protected niche or recover during later storage.

Microbiology is the science of making those invisible processes measurable.

Bangladesh provides an unusually important setting for applied microbiological research. Dense urban populations, a warm and humid climate, complex food supply chains, high demand for fresh foods, informal markets, healthcare pressure, and uneven infrastructure can bring microbial ecology into direct contact with public health. These are not reasons to describe the country only through risk. They are reasons to treat Bangladesh as a place where globally important microbiological questions can be studied under real conditions.

The research assembled in this book began with surveillance and laboratory observation.

Pathogens were isolated from clinical and food settings. Their resistance patterns were tested.

Fresh produce, shrimp, milk products, and herbal medicines were examined. Bacteria and yeast were challenged with heat, oxidative stress, osmotic stress, altered carbon sources, and different aeration conditions. Tea and plant materials were tested for antimicrobial effects.

The pattern is striking. The same broad biological problem appears repeatedly: survival depends on context.

A bacterium is not simply 'heat resistant' or 'heat sensitive.' Its response can depend on the organism, physiological state, surrounding matrix, previous stress, neighboring organisms, available nutrients, and the time-temperature history of the environment. The same principle applies to disinfectants, antimicrobials, and even diagnostic tests.

Modern microbiology is now extending that idea from physiology to information. Sequencing can reveal which organisms and resistance determinants are present. Metagenomics can examine communities without requiring every organism to grow in culture. Machine learning can identify complex patterns across large datasets. Genomic epidemiology can connect cases that previously appeared unrelated. Wastewater can provide a population-level signal. Climate and food-system data can help anticipate changing hazards.

But the future will not make culture obsolete. It will make culture one component of a larger evidence system.

The most useful microbiology of the next decade will likely be hybrid microbiology: culture plus sequencing; phenotype plus genotype; laboratory measurement plus computational analysis; clinical surveillance plus environmental surveillance; food safety plus One Health.

That is the journey of Microbial Frontiers.

PART I — Emerging Diseases and Clinical Microbiology

Chapter 1 — The Landscape of Emerging Microbial Threats

Emerging disease is often described as if a pathogen suddenly appears. In reality, emergence is frequently a change in opportunity. A microorganism that was previously contained can become

important when human behavior, climate, land use, healthcare practices, food systems, travel, or antimicrobial use changes.

The 2015 review that helped frame this research program argued that emerging diseases in Bangladesh must be understood through interacting pressures: population density, urbanization, food handling, antibiotic misuse, and gaps in water and sanitation. It also highlighted the growing importance of resistant organisms, including carbapenem-resistant and ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in regional surveillance.

The lesson remains relevant, but the research landscape has become much larger. WHO's current AMR agenda treats resistance as a problem spanning prevention, diagnosis, treatment, surveillance, policy, and equity. Its 2024 human-health research agenda distilled thousands of knowledge gaps into prioritized research questions, while the Quadripartite One Health agenda links human, animal, plant, and environmental sectors.

The modern question is therefore not simply, 'Which pathogen is present?' It is: 'Where is the pathogen, what is it carrying, how is it moving, what selects for it, and what intervention can interrupt that movement?'

From organism lists to transmission systems

Traditional microbiology often begins with an isolate. Modern public health microbiology increasingly begins with a system. A resistant organism recovered from a patient may be connected to another patient, a healthcare surface, wastewater, an animal reservoir, food production, or community antibiotic exposure. The challenge is to connect those observations without confusing correlation with transmission.

WHO's 2025 global antibiotic resistance surveillance report illustrates the scale of this information problem: the report analyzed more than 23 million bacteriologically confirmed infections reported through participating countries and tracked multiple pathogen-antibiotic combinations across years. Such surveillance is valuable not because a global average tells a local laboratory what to do, but because standardized data reveal trends that individual laboratories cannot see alone. For Bangladesh and other resource-limited settings, the research opportunity is substantial. Laboratory networks can increasingly combine routine culture and susceptibility testing with selected molecular confirmation, genomic sequencing, and shared data standards. The goal is not to sequence everything. The goal is to sequence strategically enough to answer questions that routine testing cannot answer.

Why the local laboratory still matters

There is a temptation to imagine that the future of microbiology will be entirely digital. It will not. A sequencing instrument still depends on sampling. An AI model still depends on data quality. A surveillance dashboard still depends on laboratories generating trustworthy results. A food-safety intervention still has to work in a real food matrix.

The laboratory remains the bridge between the biological world and the information world.

This is why the studies summarized in the next chapters remain useful even when their methods are older than today's sequencing platforms. They document real organisms under real conditions,

and they show how a practical microbiological question can be converted into measurable evidence.

Chapter 2 — Clinical Microbiology: Burns, Urinary Tract Infections, and Viral Hepatitis Risk

Clinical microbiology becomes most visible when a patient does not improve as expected. The laboratory is then asked to answer several questions quickly: Is there an infection? Which organism is involved? Is it resistant? What treatment is likely to work?

In the burn-patient study summarized in the original manuscript, wound swabs from patients at Dhaka Medical College and Hospital yielded substantial bacterial loads, with *Pseudomonas* spp. prominent, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Klebsiella* spp. *Enterobacter* spp. and *Escherichia coli* were also recovered. Many isolates showed drug resistance, and the study tested common disinfectants, with Dettol showing activity under the experimental conditions.

The significance of this work is broader than the disinfectant ranking. Burn wounds remove or damage one of the body's major physical barriers. Moist tissue, exposed surfaces, repeated contact, invasive care, and prolonged hospitalization can create an environment where opportunistic organisms thrive. In such a setting, infection prevention is not a single product. It is a chain of practices: hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, wound care, device management, surveillance, and appropriate antimicrobial use.

The urinary tract study examined 110 female patients referred for suspected UTI. Thirty-four were culture-positive, and all positive cultures reached at least 10^5 CFU/mL. *Escherichia coli* accounted for 73.5% of the positive cases, with *Klebsiella* spp. making up the remainder. Importantly, 12 of the 34 positive cases were asymptomatic.

The study also showed how susceptibility patterns can change the meaning of a familiar diagnosis. *E. coli* isolates were reported as susceptible to amoxicillin in 80% of cases and to gentamicin in approximately two-thirds, while only 24% remained susceptible to ciprofloxacin. *Klebsiella* isolates showed complete resistance to nalidixic acid and cotrimoxazole in the study conditions.

These numbers are historical observations from a defined study population, not current prescribing guidance. That distinction is essential. Resistance patterns change. A susceptibility percentage from one hospital, year, and population cannot be transferred automatically to another.

The hepatitis B study examined 50 outpatients referred for HBsAg testing and identified 23 positives. The analysis associated positivity particularly with unprotected sex and family history of HBV infection, with maternal history standing out in the reported analysis. The public-health implication is straightforward: laboratory findings become more useful when they are connected to prevention pathways such as vaccination, screening, infection control, and risk communication.

The clinical laboratory of the future

Clinical microbiology is moving toward a layered model. Culture remains essential because it provides isolates, phenotypes, and material for susceptibility testing. PCR can answer targeted questions rapidly. Whole-genome sequencing can characterize transmission and resistance at

much finer resolution. Metagenomic sequencing can sometimes identify unexpected organisms when conventional testing fails.

A 2024 review of metagenomic next-generation sequencing described its ability to detect bacterial, viral, fungal, and parasitic genomes directly from clinical material, including organisms that are rare, novel, or difficult to culture. A 2024 systematic review and meta-analysis also found substantial diagnostic potential, while emphasizing that performance depends on the clinical context and methodology.

The future is therefore not 'culture versus sequencing.' It is a decision about which layer of evidence is needed for the question at hand.

PART II — Food and Environmental Microbiology

Chapter 3 — Fresh Produce: When Clean-Looking Food Is Not Microbiologically Clean

Fresh vegetables create a special microbiological problem because the very feature consumers value—freshness—often means the product is eaten with minimal processing. Lettuce, cucumber, tomato, coriander, chili, onion, capsicum, and carrot may pass through irrigation water, soil, containers, markets, cutting boards, hands, and household kitchens before they reach a plate. The Dhaka salad-vegetable study examined eight commonly available vegetables. *Vibrio* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., fecal coliforms, and *E. coli* were not detected in the tested samples, but *Aeromonas* spp. exceeded 10^6 CFU/g in chili, capsicum, and coriander. Onion samples carried *Staphylococcus aureus* at 1.2×10^8 CFU/g and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* at 10^4 CFU/g. Fungal growth was reported in every sample tested.

The same investigation then asked a more unusual question: could vegetables themselves contain compounds that inhibit bacteria? Lettuce and cucumber extracts showed activity against *S. aureus* and *Aeromonas* spp. The study therefore placed two ideas beside each other: food can carry microbial hazards, and food can also contain biologically active molecules.

That apparent contradiction is one of microbiology's most interesting recurring themes. Nature does not divide the world into 'safe organisms' and 'dangerous organisms' or 'foods' and 'medicines.' It produces ecological interactions. The challenge for science is to determine which interactions are reproducible, safe, stable, and useful.

From washing advice to intervention science

Food safety interventions should not be judged only by whether they reduce a laboratory count. They must be assessed for dose, contact time, matrix effects, sensory impact, chemical safety, and the possibility of selecting survivors. A treatment that works in distilled water may behave differently on a leaf covered with organic matter.

FAO's food-safety foresight work now explicitly treats climate change and other emerging drivers as factors that can alter food-safety risks. That perspective matters for fresh produce because water availability, temperature, rainfall, flooding, agricultural practices, and supply-chain conditions can change microbial exposure.

The next generation of produce safety research will increasingly combine microbiology with environmental monitoring, genomic typing, water-quality data, and supply-chain mapping.

Chapter 4 — Seafood Microbiology: Shrimp, Virulence, and Export Confidence

Shrimp is not only a food. In Bangladesh it is also an economic system connecting farms, processors, traders, exporters, regulators, and international buyers. Microbiological quality therefore has two dimensions: consumer safety and market confidence.

The Dhaka market survey described in the original research found microbial contamination in all seven categories of shrimp samples tested. *Staphylococcus* spp., *Aeromonas* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Shigella* spp. were recovered, with counts ranging from 1.5×10^4 to 7.9×10^8 CFU/g. Most isolates showed multidrug resistance.

A second study went beyond colony counts. PCR was used to detect virulence-associated genes in organisms recovered from export-quality frozen shrimp. The reported findings included the *iap* gene in *Listeria* isolates and *gyrB2* in *Klebsiella* isolates, along with earlier observations of *eae* in *E. coli*, an *aero*-specific gene in *Aeromonas*, and *sodB* in *Vibrio*.

This distinction—counting organisms versus characterizing what they can do—is central to modern microbiology. A plate count tells us about recoverable cells under defined culture conditions. Molecular characterization can tell us about genetic potential. Neither measurement alone is a complete risk assessment.

The genomic seafood laboratory

Whole-genome sequencing can now provide much richer information than a small panel of genes. It can support strain-level comparison, outbreak investigation, resistance profiling, and source attribution when the underlying databases and sampling design are strong. The challenge is not simply generating sequence data. It is interpreting it correctly.

A genomic result should be treated as evidence within a chain: sample quality, extraction quality, sequencing quality, assembly or read analysis, database quality, phenotype confirmation, epidemiological context, and regulatory interpretation all matter.

For seafood-exporting regions, this creates an opportunity. A future surveillance system could connect farm-level environmental data, processing-plant sampling, finished-product microbiology, genomic profiles, and shipment-level traceability. Such a system would shift food safety from periodic inspection toward continuous evidence.

Chapter 5 — Dairy Microbiology and Salmonella Surveillance

Milk is nutritionally rich and microbiologically consequential. When refrigeration, pasteurization, hygienic handling, or supply-chain controls are inconsistent, the same nutrients that make milk valuable can support microbial growth.

In the cited Dhaka study, 35 milk and milk-based product samples were analyzed. Sixteen carried *E. coli* at approximately 10^6 CFU/mL, and nine carried *Salmonella* spp. The *Salmonella* isolates showed a multidrug-resistant profile in the reported testing.

These findings are historical and product-specific. They should not be interpreted as a current prevalence estimate for Bangladesh. Their lasting value is methodological: they demonstrate why dairy surveillance needs both general indicator testing and targeted pathogen detection. The future of dairy microbiology will likely include faster pathogen detection, environmental monitoring of processing facilities, genomic comparison of isolates, predictive modeling of cold-chain risk, and stronger integration between farm, processing, distribution, and retail data. A modern dairy safety system is therefore less about finding one contaminated carton and more about discovering where in the system the contamination pathway becomes possible.

Chapter 6 — Herbal and Traditional Medicines: Safety, Claims, and the Evidence Gap

Traditional and herbal medicinal products occupy a distinctive space. They may be perceived as natural, but natural does not mean sterile, standardized, or automatically effective.

The cited research on oral herbal medicinal products in Dhaka asked two questions: were products microbiologically contaminated, and did they show antibacterial activity? A related study of liquid oral herbal medicines across ten categories found bacterial contamination in every sample, with bacterial loads in the range of 10^3 – 10^5 CFU/mL. *Staphylococcus* spp. appeared most often, while *Klebsiella* spp. was recovered from two samples. One *Klebsiella* isolate showed strong resistance to penicillin G and erythromycin. At the same time, antibacterial activity was detected in several herbal samples.

This is precisely why both safety and efficacy need to be measured. A product may contain a bioactive compound and still be microbiologically unsafe. Conversely, a contaminated product cannot be defended by claiming that the product itself has antibacterial properties.

Future research should move from broad screening toward chemical identification, standardized extraction, reproducible potency measurements, toxicological evaluation, stability studies, and real-world validation. The interesting question is no longer simply whether a plant inhibits a bacterium. It is which molecule is responsible, by what mechanism, at what concentration, in what matrix, and with what safety margin.

PART III — The Physiology of Survival

Chapter 7 — Heat Shock: Survival Is a Process, Not a Temperature

One of the easiest mistakes in food microbiology is to treat temperature as a single number. Microorganisms experience temperature as a history: how hot, for how long, after what previous exposure, in which medium, with what water activity, and with which neighboring organisms. The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* SUBSC01 study examined combined heat and osmotic stress. Growth was best at 32.5 °C. At 30, 37, and 40 °C, growth remained possible but was slower. At 45 °C, growth could still occur under some conditions, while at 46 °C culturability was lost. Higher dextrose concentrations intensified the effect of heat, illustrating that combined stresses can produce outcomes that are not obvious from either stress considered alone.

A related *Bacillus* SUBB01 study examined survival at 48–54 °C and reported a decrease in turbidity and colony counts at 54 °C while heat-resistant spores appeared. The study also explored whether

Bacillus could protect heat-stressed *E. coli* cells. That possibility of cross-protection is conceptually important: microorganisms do not always experience a stress in isolation. *Pseudomonas putida* showed strongest growth at 30 °C in the cited study, with culturability lost at 40 °C after 48 hours under the tested conditions. Another experiment reported recovery of heat-stressed *P. aeruginosa* cells at 45 and 46 °C after exposure to selected stabilizing or extracellular factors.

The future of thermal validation

Future food-process validation will increasingly need to account for strain variability, physiological state, food matrix, mixed cultures, biofilms, and post-process recovery. Genomics may help identify genetic features associated with stress tolerance, but phenotype remains indispensable because environmental context can change gene expression and survival. The practical implication is simple: 'no growth observed' should not automatically be translated into 'microbiologically eliminated.' A robust process distinguishes inhibition from inactivation and considers what happens after the treatment ends.

Chapter 8 — Oxidative Stress: The Chemistry of Microbial Survival

Reactive oxygen species are part of everyday microbial life. Hydrogen peroxide can arise from environmental conditions, host defenses, chemical disinfection, or interactions among organisms. Microorganisms survive oxidative stress through a combination of protective enzymes, repair systems, metabolic changes, and population-level strategies.

The research program first examined *E. coli* exposed to 3 mM hydrogen peroxide and later compared *E. coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Bacillus* spp. under a 6 mM challenge. The reported results showed major differences among genera: *E. coli* declined sharply after 48 hours, while *Pseudomonas* became non-culturable after about 36 hours under the tested conditions. A later study compared *P. aeruginosa* and *P. fluorescens* more directly.

These experiments matter because a disinfectant is not simply 'strong' or 'weak.' Its performance depends on concentration, contact time, organic load, surface conditions, microbial physiological state, and whether the endpoint being measured is culturability, viability, or molecular detection.

From oxidative stress to antimicrobial design

Modern research is increasingly interested in bacterial metabolism because metabolism influences antibiotic activity and resistance evolution. A 2025 *Nature Reviews Microbiology* review highlighted the complex relationship between metabolic state and antibiotic biology. This links directly to the older stress-physiology experiments: the way a cell uses energy can influence how it experiences a chemical threat.

The future may therefore include interventions that do not simply attack a bacterial structure but alter the metabolic state that makes the cell vulnerable.

Chapter 9 — Osmotic Stress, Carbon Source, and Growth Kinetics

Microorganisms do not experience 'food' as a single concept. They experience molecules, concentrations, water activity, pH, redox state, and accessible nutrients.

The cited *E. coli* and *Salmonella* study compared dextrose, glycerol, lactose, Tween 20, and sucrose in minimal media at 37 °C over 72 hours. At the mid-stationary phase, *E. coli* reached roughly 10^7 CFU/mL on dextrose, compared with approximately 10^5 on glycerol, 10^4 on lactose, 10^4 on Tween 20, and 10^3 on sucrose. *Salmonella* showed the same general ranking at approximately one order of magnitude lower.

The most interesting observation may be the behavior of Tween 20. It is not a conventional carbon source in the way glucose is, yet the organisms tolerated it better than sucrose under the tested conditions. This is a reminder that microbial growth cannot always be predicted by a simple ranking of familiar food sugars.

For food scientists, the lesson is that formulation changes can alter microbial ecology. Reducing one nutrient does not automatically make a product microbiologically stable. Water activity, pH, preservatives, competing organisms, packaging atmosphere, and storage temperature all interact.

Predictive microbiology

The future of this field lies in models that use multiple variables simultaneously. Instead of asking how fast a microorganism grows at one temperature, researchers can model growth as a function of temperature, pH, water activity, substrate, preservatives, and time. Machine learning may improve prediction when enough high-quality experimental data exist, but mechanistic understanding remains essential for deciding whether a model can be trusted outside its training conditions.

Chapter 10 — Aeration, Colony Formation, and the Laboratory as an Experimental System

The aeration study compared *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Bacillus* spp. at 0, 100, and 200 rpm for up to 72 hours. All three grew best at 100 rpm under the experimental conditions. At 200 rpm, *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas* showed a marked decline in CFU-forming capacity after 36 hours, while *Bacillus* was more tolerant.

At first glance this seems like a technical laboratory observation. It is more important than that. Every microbiological number is produced by a method. If shaking speed, incubation time, medium composition, plating technique, recovery conditions, or sampling location changes, the number can change.

Microbiology therefore has two kinds of uncertainty: biological uncertainty and measurement uncertainty.

A strong research program controls both. It uses validated methods, appropriate controls, replication, statistical analysis, traceability, and careful interpretation of what a CFU actually represents.

The future laboratory

Automation is changing routine microbiology. Automated colony counting, digital imaging, high-throughput susceptibility testing, microfluidic systems, and laboratory information systems can increase speed and consistency. But automation can also scale a flawed method. Quality assurance remains the foundation.

PART IV — Natural Antimicrobials and the Search for New Controls

Chapter 11 — Tea Polyphenols and Plant-Derived Antimicrobials

The antimicrobial study of commercial oolong and green tea asked a deliberately practical question: does an ordinary brewed product retain measurable antibacterial activity?

Six bacterial groups were tested: *Bacillus* spp., *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Vibrio* spp. Under the study conditions, oolong tea reduced *E. coli* turbidity from an untreated OD600 of 1.911 to 0.715 at a lower tested dose and to 0.360 at a higher dose. Green tea reduced *Bacillus* turbidity from 1.851 to 0.591 and *Vibrio* from 1.130 to 0.273. The two teas showed different patterns of activity across organisms.

These results are interesting, but they do not mean that drinking tea can replace antibiotics or validated food-safety controls. Laboratory inhibition is an early-stage finding. A useful antimicrobial ingredient must also be safe, stable, reproducible, compatible with the product, acceptable to consumers, and effective under realistic conditions.

From crude extracts to defined molecules

Future plant-antimicrobial research will increasingly combine microbiology with analytical chemistry, metabolomics, genomics, and formulation science. Researchers can identify candidate compounds, test mechanisms of action, examine synergy with existing antimicrobials, and determine whether bacteria develop adaptive responses.

The most promising applications may not be dramatic new medicines. They may be low-cost adjuncts: surface treatments, packaging components, food-preservation systems, or complementary interventions where their safety and efficacy can be demonstrated.

PART V — The New Microbiology: Genomes, AI, and One Health

Chapter 12 — From Culture Plates to Genomic Maps

For most of microbiological history, researchers had to persuade microorganisms to reveal themselves by growing. Genomics changed the question. Instead of asking only what grows, researchers can ask what genetic information is present.

Whole-genome sequencing is now a core component of foodborne outbreak investigation in systems such as CDC's PulseNet. The method can provide a high-resolution genetic fingerprint that helps public-health laboratories connect cases and investigate possible sources.

The power of sequencing is not magic. It comes from comparison. A genome becomes useful when it can be compared with another genome, a reference database, a known resistance determinant, or a validated epidemiological hypothesis.

For food safety, this creates a path toward genomic traceability. Imagine a future in which a *Salmonella* isolate from a patient can be compared rapidly with isolates from food, processing environments, animals, and wastewater. The objective is not merely to name the organism. It is to reconstruct the chain of events.

The limits of the genome

A resistance gene does not always mean clinical resistance, and the absence of a known gene does not prove susceptibility. Gene expression, mutations outside known markers, plasmids, mobile elements, metabolic state, and environmental conditions all matter.

This is why phenotypic susceptibility testing remains important even as genomic prediction improves.

Another challenge is microbial dark matter. Metagenomic studies often contain organisms or genetic material that are poorly represented in reference databases. A 2024 *Nature Microbiology* discussion highlighted how unknown or poorly characterized microbial diversity can introduce uncertainty into trait estimation. The future therefore requires better databases, better metadata, and humility about what a sequence can prove.

Chapter 13 — Metagenomics: Finding What Cannot Easily Be Cultured

Metagenomic sequencing asks a radically different question from conventional culture. Instead of isolating one organism and studying it, researchers sequence genetic material from a sample and attempt to reconstruct the microbial community.

This approach can be valuable in difficult infections, polymicrobial disease, rare infections, and cases in which the causative organism is difficult to culture. Reviews published in 2024 described applications across respiratory, bloodstream, central nervous system, gastrointestinal, and other infections.

A systematic review and meta-analysis published in 2024 evaluated 85 studies and reported strong overall diagnostic potential, while also showing that conventional clinical assessment and testing remain important. The lesson is not that metagenomics is automatically superior. It is that the method can add information when used for the right clinical question.

The interpretation problem

Finding microbial DNA is not the same as finding a living pathogen. Human samples contain background DNA. Some organisms may represent colonization rather than disease. Contamination can create false signals. A result can be technically correct and clinically misleading if the context is ignored.

The future of clinical metagenomics will therefore depend on interpretation frameworks, standardized workflows, quality controls, reference databases, and cost-effective decision rules.

For Bangladesh and other resource-limited settings, the question of affordability is central. The best technology is not necessarily the most sophisticated technology. A useful platform is one that can be maintained, quality-assured, interpreted, and connected to a clinical or public-health decision.

Chapter 14 — Artificial Intelligence Enters the Microbiology Laboratory

Artificial intelligence is increasingly entering microbiology through pattern recognition. Machine-learning systems can classify images, predict resistance, analyze sequence data, support outbreak detection, and search chemical space for potential antimicrobial compounds.

A 2024 Nature Reviews Microbiology review described applications of machine learning in antibiotic-resistance prediction and microbiome research. A 2025 review in npj Antimicrobials and Resistance discussed AI applications in infectious-disease diagnosis, AMR surveillance, and antibiotic discovery.

The attraction is obvious. Microbiology produces enormous datasets: genome sequences, growth curves, mass spectra, microscopy images, susceptibility panels, environmental measurements, clinical metadata, and food-safety records. Humans are good at forming hypotheses, but machines can examine patterns across thousands or millions of observations.

The danger of impressive predictions

AI can also be wrong in sophisticated ways. A model may learn laboratory-specific artifacts instead of biological signals. It may perform well on data from one hospital and poorly on another. It may inherit historical bias. It may produce a probability without explaining the mechanism.

For microbiology, trustworthy AI therefore requires external validation, transparent datasets, careful feature selection, appropriate performance metrics, and continuous monitoring after deployment.

The most useful future is not 'AI replaces the microbiologist.' It is 'AI expands what the microbiologist can see.'

AI and antimicrobial discovery

Drug discovery is a particularly promising area. Computational models can search chemical libraries, predict molecular interactions, and identify candidates that might otherwise be missed. But discovery is only the beginning. Candidates must pass laboratory testing, toxicity assessment, pharmacology, manufacturing evaluation, and clinical trials.

The same principle applies to food antimicrobials. AI may help identify plant molecules or combinations worth testing, but real-world validation remains decisive.

Chapter 15 — One Health: The Microbe Does Not Respect Sector Boundaries

A resistant bacterium does not know whether a policy belongs to a hospital, farm, food factory, or environmental agency. It moves through biological systems, and its genes can move with it.

The One Health approach recognizes the connectedness of human, animal, plant, and environmental health. WHO and its Quadripartite partners have organized AMR research around transmission, integrated surveillance, interventions, behavior, economics, and policy. This framework fits the research in this book unusually well. The clinical studies concern patients. The food studies concern markets and products. The stress-physiology studies concern microbial survival. The antimicrobial studies concern possible interventions. A One Health view asks how these pieces interact.

Wastewater as a microbial window

Wastewater surveillance has emerged as a population-level tool because communities continuously shed biological material into shared systems. Sequencing and molecular assays can sometimes detect pathogens or resistance markers before clinical systems recognize a trend. Wastewater should not be treated as a perfect census. It is influenced by population structure, dilution, sampling, infrastructure, and analytical methods. But when combined with clinical and environmental data, it can add a valuable surveillance layer.

The Bangladesh opportunity

A future Bangladesh microbiology network could connect hospital laboratories, food laboratories, veterinary laboratories, environmental monitoring, wastewater surveillance, universities, and regulatory agencies through compatible data standards.

Such a system would not require every laboratory to perform every test. It would require laboratories to know what information they generate, what questions the information can answer, and how the information can be shared responsibly.

PART VI — Climate, Food Safety, and the Next Decade

Chapter 16 — Climate Change Is Also a Microbiological Variable

Climate change is often discussed in terms of heat, storms, sea-level rise, and crop productivity. Microbiology adds another dimension: changing environmental conditions can change microbial survival, growth, transport, and exposure.

FAO's 2025 food-safety foresight work emphasizes the need to anticipate emerging food-safety issues rather than waiting until they become established problems. Temperature changes, extreme weather, water stress, altered agricultural practices, and changing food systems can influence the microbial risk landscape.

For Bangladesh, this deserves particular attention because food systems are closely connected to water, monsoon patterns, flooding, dense urban markets, aquaculture, and temperature-sensitive products.

The future food-safety model

The next generation of food safety may combine predictive weather information, environmental microbiology, supply-chain data, genomic surveillance, and conventional testing. A warning

system might not say, 'This batch is contaminated.' Instead, it could say, 'The conditions in this region and supply chain have increased the probability of a particular hazard; increase sampling and control measures.'

This is the shift from reactive microbiology to anticipatory microbiology.

Chapter 17 — Phages, New Antimicrobials, and the Return of Biological Control

Antibiotics transformed medicine, but resistance has made it increasingly important to diversify the antimicrobial toolbox. One of the most intriguing tools is the bacteriophage: a virus that infects bacteria.

WHO's 2025 discussion of bacteriophages describes phage therapy as a promising approach against drug-resistant bacteria and emphasizes that phages can be highly specific. WHO also stresses the need for stronger clinical evidence before routine human use becomes widespread. That balance—promise without premature certainty—is important. Phages can be biologically powerful, but specificity creates practical challenges. A phage that works against one strain may not work against another. Bacterial populations can also evolve phage resistance.

Future research is exploring phage cocktails, phage-antibiotic combinations, engineered phages, phage-derived enzymes, and applications outside human therapy, including food and agricultural settings. Each application requires its own safety and efficacy evidence.

The broader antimicrobial toolbox

The future will likely contain many smaller tools rather than one replacement for antibiotics: vaccines, better diagnostics, narrow-spectrum antibiotics, antimicrobial peptides, phages, anti-virulence approaches, microbiome interventions, improved sanitation, and smarter stewardship. The central question is not 'What will replace antibiotics?' It is 'How can society reduce dependence on any single antimicrobial strategy?'

Chapter 18 — The Microbiome and the Next Definition of Health

Microbiology has traditionally focused on pathogens. Modern microbiome science reminds us that most microbial life associated with humans is not simply waiting to cause disease.

Microbial communities influence metabolism, immunity, barrier function, and ecological competition. Disturbing these communities—for example through disease, diet, environment, or antimicrobial exposure—can have consequences that extend beyond the original target.

This changes the logic of antimicrobial design. A treatment that kills a pathogen but severely disrupts beneficial microbial communities may have a different risk profile from a highly targeted intervention.

The future may therefore move toward ecological therapeutics: interventions designed not only to eliminate harmful organisms but to restore a stable microbial community.

For food microbiology, the same ecological thinking applies to fermentation, spoilage, protective cultures, and biopreservation. A food is not microbiologically empty. It is an ecosystem that can be managed.

PART VII — What the Decade of Research Teaches

Chapter 19 — From Isolated Experiments to a Research Program

When the studies collected in this book are read together, a coherent pattern appears.

The clinical studies asked where resistant or clinically important organisms were appearing. The food studies asked what contamination was present in products reaching consumers. The stress studies asked how organisms survived environmental pressure. The antimicrobial studies asked whether accessible natural materials might help control them.

The common variable was survival.

A pathogen survives because its environment allows survival. A control works because it changes that environment or damages the organism faster than the organism can recover. Resistance emerges when biological variation and selection allow some organisms to persist. A food becomes safer when the system prevents contamination, reduces survival, limits growth, and verifies the result.

This is why microbiology is more than identification.

Five lessons

1. Measure the hazard, not just the organism. Colony counts, virulence markers, resistance traits, and epidemiological context answer different questions.
2. Study stress in combination. Heat, osmotic pressure, oxidation, nutrient availability, and neighboring organisms can interact.
3. Treat recovery as part of survival. A temporarily non-culturable or injured organism may behave differently after conditions improve.
4. Pair detection with intervention research. Identifying a hazard is only half the problem; the other half is finding a practical, validated way to reduce it.
5. Connect the laboratory to the system. Clinical, food, animal, and environmental microbiology become more powerful when their data can inform one another.

These lessons remain useful even as methods change. Sequencing, AI, and automation do not replace them. They amplify them.

Chapter 20 — A Research Agenda for 2026–2035

The next decade of microbiology should be ambitious, but it should also be realistic. Research priorities should be selected not only because a technology is exciting, but because the resulting evidence can change practice.

Priority 1: Genomic surveillance

Build targeted sequencing capacity around high-value questions: unusual resistance, suspected outbreaks, recurrent foodborne contamination, healthcare-associated transmission, and emerging pathogens. Link sequence data to high-quality metadata and phenotypic results.

Priority 2: Rapid diagnostics

Develop and validate affordable methods that shorten the time between sample collection and an actionable result. In resource-limited settings, turnaround time and cost should be evaluated together.

Priority 3: Food-chain microbiology

Move from isolated product testing toward farm-to-processing-to-market surveillance. Use environmental sampling, genomic typing, predictive models, and traceability to identify where control is most valuable.

Priority 4: Stress physiology under realistic conditions

Study microorganisms in mixed communities and real food matrices rather than relying only on laboratory broth. Examine heat, oxidative, osmotic, acid, and desiccation stress as interacting variables.

Priority 5: Natural antimicrobials with translational discipline

Screen plants and traditional products, but follow promising observations through compound identification, mechanism studies, safety testing, stability testing, sensory evaluation, and field validation.

Priority 6: AI with microbiological accountability

Use machine learning where it can improve pattern recognition, prediction, and discovery. Require external validation, transparent performance assessment, and human oversight for consequential decisions.

Priority 7: One Health surveillance

Connect human, animal, food, and environmental laboratories through compatible data systems. Include wastewater and environmental sampling where it can answer clearly defined surveillance questions.

Priority 8: Climate-informed food safety

Develop models that combine weather, water, food production, microbial data, and supply-chain information to anticipate changing hazards.

Priority 9: Antimicrobial diversification

Support research into phages, antimicrobial peptides, anti-virulence strategies, microbiome-based interventions, vaccines, and new antibiotic classes while strengthening stewardship of existing drugs.

Priority 10: Research capacity

Technology cannot substitute for trained people. Universities, public-health laboratories, food laboratories, hospitals, regulators, and industry need personnel who understand both microbiology and data.

A research agenda becomes meaningful only when it can be translated into funded experiments, validated methods, trained researchers, and measurable public-health outcomes.

Epilogue — The Question That Comes Next

The most interesting thing about microbiology is that every answer creates another question.

A resistant organism is found. The next question is where it acquired resistance.

A contaminated food is identified. The next question is where contamination entered.

A heat treatment stops growth. The next question is whether the organism is dead or merely injured.

A plant extract inhibits a bacterium. The next question is which molecule is responsible.

A genome reveals a resistance gene. The next question is whether the gene is expressed and clinically meaningful.

An AI model predicts an outbreak. The next question is whether the prediction is biologically and epidemiologically correct.

That sequence of questions is what turns microbiology into research.

The decade represented in this book began with culture plates, clinical samples, food samples, stress experiments, and natural products. The coming decade will add genomes, metagenomes, machine learning, environmental surveillance, climate data, and increasingly sophisticated models of microbial ecosystems.

Yet the core of the science will remain familiar. A sample will still have to be collected correctly. A control will still have to work under real conditions. A result will still need to be reproducible. A scientist will still have to decide what the evidence actually means.

The future of microbiology will not be less human because it is more technological. It will demand more human judgment.

That is the frontier.

References

The first group below records the research publications represented in the original manuscript. The second group records selected contemporary sources used to expand the book's discussion of current and emerging microbiology. Readers should consult the original papers and current guidance for full methodological detail.

1. Noor, R., & Munna, M. S. (2015). Emerging diseases in Bangladesh: Current microbiological research perspective. *Tzu Chi Medical Journal*, 27(2), 49–53.
2. Ahmed, T., Urmi, N. J., Munna, M. S., Das, K. K., Acharjee, M., Rahman, M. M., & Noor, R. (2014). Assessment of microbiological proliferation and in vitro demonstration of the antimicrobial activity of the commonly available salad vegetables within Dhaka metropolis, Bangladesh. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 2(3), 55–60.
3. Munna, M. S., Humayun, S., & Noor, R. (2015). Influence of heat shock and osmotic stresses on the growth and viability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* SUBSC01. *BMC Research Notes*, 8, 369.
4. Munna, M. S., Zeba, Z., & Noor, R. (2015). Influence of temperature on the growth of *Pseudomonas putida*. *Stamford Journal of Microbiology*, 5(1), 9–12.
5. Sultana, S., Tarafder, G. H., Siddiqui, T. A., Shaha, B. C., Waliullah, M., Ahmed, T., et al. (2014). Microbiological quality analysis of shrimps collected from local market around Dhaka city. *International Food Research Journal*, 21(1), 33–38.

6. Munna, M. S., Nur, I. T., Rahman, T., & Noor, R. (2013). Influence of exogenous oxidative stress on *Escherichia coli* cell growth, viability and morphology. *American Journal of Bioscience*, 1(4), 59.
7. Munna, M. S., Tahera, J., Afrad, M. M. H., Nur, I. T., & Noor, R. (2015). Survival of *Bacillus* spp. SUBB01 at high temperatures and a preliminary assessment of its ability to protect heat-stressed *Escherichia coli* cells. *BMC Research Notes*, 8, 637.
8. Nur, I. T., Munna, M. S., & Noor, R. (2014). Study of exogenous oxidative stress response in *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas* spp., *Bacillus* spp., and *Salmonella* spp. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 38, 502–509.
9. Munna, M. S., Tamanna, S., Afrin, M. R., Sharif, G. A., Mazumder, C., Kana, K. S., et al. (2014). Influence of aeration speed on bacterial colony forming unit (CFU) formation capacity. *American Journal of Microbiological Research*, 2(1), 47–51.
10. Alam, S. M. S., Kalam, M. A., Munna, M. S., Munshi, S. K., & Noor, R. (2014). Isolation of pathogenic microorganisms from burn patients admitted in Dhaka Medical College and Hospital and demonstration of their drug-resistance traits. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, 4(5), 402–407.
11. Yasmin, S., Parveen, S., Munna, M. S., & Noor, R. (2015). Detection of *Salmonella* spp. and microbiological analysis of milk and milk based products available within Dhaka Metropolis, Bangladesh. *British Microbiology Research Journal*, 5(6), 474.
12. Noor, R., Hasan, M. F., Munna, M. S., & Rahman, M. M. (2015). Demonstration of virulent genes within *Listeria* and *Klebsiella* isolates contaminating the export quality frozen shrimps. *International Aquatic Research*, 7(2), 157–161.
13. Quaiyum, S., Tanu, N. I., Sharmin, M., Laboni, P., Munna, M. S., Das, K. K., et al. (2014). Microbiological contamination and anti-bacterial traits of common oral herbal medicinal products within Dhaka metropolis. *European Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 4(7), 872.
14. Noor, R., Munna, M. S., Tabassum, N., Maniha, S. M., & Tabassum, T. (2019). Stress responses within *Bacillus* species under heat shock. *Acta Scientific Microbiology*, 2(8), 148–153.
15. Nur, I. T., Tahera, J., Munna, M. S., Rahman, M., & Noor, R. (2016). Impact of different carbon sources on the in vitro growth and viability of *Escherichia coli* (SUBE01) and *Salmonella* spp. (SUBS01) cells. *Bangladesh Journal of Microbiology*, 32, 39–44.
16. Uddin, A. I., Pervin, M., Munna, M. S., & Noor, R. (2014). Study of risk factors related to HBsAg reactivity among outdoor patients in Dhaka Medical College and Hospital, Bangladesh. *American Journal of Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 2(1), 18.
17. Noor, R., Chakraborty, S. R., Nur, I. T., Munna, M. S., Chakraborty, A., Proma, T. T., et al. (2019). Impact of temperature on the growth of *Pseudomonas* spp. (SUBP01), *Bacillus* spp. (SUBB01) and *Salmonella* spp. (SUBS01) and determination of the critical growth temperature. *Bangladesh Journal of Microbiology*, 36(1), 5–62.
18. Choudhury, N. N., Nur, I. T., Munna, M. S., & Noor, R. (2019). Comparative study of oxidative stress response in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (SUBP01) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (SUBP02) upon external stimulation by hydrogen peroxide. *EC Microbiology*, 15(5).

19. Sarker, U. J., Munna, M. S., & Munshi, S. K. (2013). Microbiological profile of symptomatic and asymptomatic bacteriuria recovered from female patients with urinary tract infection. *Stamford Journal of Microbiology*, 3(1), 34–37.
20. Munna, M. S., Tanzim, K. R., Afrad, M. M. H., Humayun, S., Rahman, M. S., Lubna, M. A., et al. (2016). Possible growth retrieval simulation on the heat-stressed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (SUBP01) cells. *Bangladesh Journal of Microbiology*, 31(1–2), 59–64.
21. Munna, M. S., Quaiyum, S., & Forunato, H. (2018). Demonstration of antimicrobial activity of commercial oolong tea and green tea against pathogenic bacteria. *American Journal of Plant Biology*, 3(1), 8–11.
22. World Health Organization. (2024). Global research agenda for antimicrobial resistance in human health. WHO.
23. World Health Organization. (2023). A One Health priority research agenda for antimicrobial resistance. WHO, FAO, UNEP, and WOA. H.
24. World Health Organization. (2024). WHO bacterial priority pathogens list, 2024. WHO.
25. World Health Organization. (2025). Global antibiotic resistance surveillance report 2025. WHO.
26. World Health Organization. (2025). Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) report: antibiotic use data for 2022. WHO.
27. World Health Organization. (2025). Building the evidence for the use of bacteriophage therapy. WHO Regional Office for Europe.
28. World Health Organization. (2025). Bacteriophages and their use in combating antimicrobial resistance. WHO Regional Office for Europe.
29. World Health Organization. (2024). Foodborne Disease Burden Epidemiology Reference Group for 2021–2024: first report. WHO.
30. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2025). Food safety foresight: approaches to identify future food safety issues. FAO.
31. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). About Whole Genome Sequencing: PulseNet. CDC.
32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). Outbreak Detection: PulseNet. CDC.
33. Asnicar, F., Maltez Thomas, A., Passerini, A., Waldron, L., Segata, N., et al. (2024). Machine learning for microbiologists. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 22, 191–205.
34. Ahmad, M., Aduru, S. V., Smith, R. P., Zhao, Z., Lopatkin, A. J., et al. (2025). The role of bacterial metabolism in antimicrobial resistance. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 23, 439–454.
35. Theuretzbacher, U., Jumde, R. P., Hennessy, A., Cohn, J., Piddock, L. J. V., et al. (2025). Global health perspectives on antibacterial drug discovery and the preclinical pipeline. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 23, 474–490.
36. Arnold, A., McLellan, S., & Stokes, J. M. (2025). How AI can help us beat AMR. *npj Antimicrobials and Resistance*, 3, 18.
37. Cesaro, A., Hoffman, S. C., Das, P., de la Fuente-Núñez, C., et al. (2025). Challenges and applications of artificial intelligence in infectious diseases and antimicrobial resistance. *npj Antimicrobials and Resistance*, 3, 2.

38. Zhao, Y., Zhang, W., & Zhang, X. (2024). Application of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of infectious diseases. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 14, 1458316.
39. Liu, Y., Qin, S., Lan, C., Huang, Q., Zhang, P., Cao, W. (2024). Effectiveness of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of infectious diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 142, 106996.
40. Osburn, E. D., McBride, S. G., & Strickland, M. S. (2024). Microbial dark matter could add uncertainties to metagenomic trait estimations. *Nature Microbiology*, 9, 1427–1430.
41. World Health Organization. (2022). WHO fungal priority pathogens list to guide research, development and public health action. WHO.

Selected Online Sources

WHO — Global research agenda for antimicrobial resistance in human health:

<https://www.who.int/publications/b/75815>

WHO — Global antibiotic resistance surveillance report 2025:

<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240116337>

WHO — One Health priority research agenda for AMR:

<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240075924>

WHO — Bacteriophages and their use in combating antimicrobial resistance:

<https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/bacteriophages-and-their-use-in-combating-antimicrobial-resistance>

FAO — Food safety foresight: <https://www.fao.org/food-safety/scientific-advice/foresight/climate-change-is-threatening-the-safety-of-our-food/en>

CDC PulseNet — Whole Genome Sequencing: <https://www.cdc.gov/pulsenet/php/wgs/index.html>

CDC PulseNet — Outbreak Detection: <https://www.cdc.gov/pulsenet/hcp/about/outbreak-detection.html>

WHO — GLASS: <https://www.who.int/initiatives/glass>

About the Author

Md. Sakil Munna is a microbiology researcher, academic, and food-safety and quality professional whose work has connected laboratory microbiology with clinical, food, environmental, and



industrial applications. His research has included emerging infectious diseases, antimicrobial resistance, microbial contamination of food and traditional medicinal products, and bacterial and yeast responses to heat, oxidative stress, osmotic pressure, and nutrient conditions.

His published work includes studies appearing in Tzu Chi Medical Journal, BMC Research Notes, Turkish Journal of Biology, Bangladesh Journal of Microbiology, and other scientific journals. He has also worked in food and beverage manufacturing in quality assurance, food safety, laboratory management, regulatory compliance, process improvement, and operational excellence.

Microbial Frontiers brings these strands together into a single narrative: what microorganisms are doing, how laboratories can measure them, how control systems can respond, and what the next generation of microbiology may look like.