A Methodology for Assessment of Building Assembly Air Leakage Moisture Response, Condensation Risk, and Expected Durability When Subjected to Projected Future Climate Loads
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1 Introduction

In the 1980’s and early 1990’s, extensive analysis on air barrier system performance was conducted (Lux and Brown, 1989, Ojanen and Kumaran, 1995, Di Lenardo et al., 1996) such that rational reference points for wall assembly design could be developed under historical Canadian climate patterns. The underlying intent was aligned with the fundamental needs to a) reduce energy use, and b) control condensation within moisture sensitive materials of a wall assembly.

This research work led to the development of the CAN/ULC S741 Standard for Air Barrier Materials – Specification and CAN/S742 Standard for Air Barrier Assemblies – Specification. Within these standards, performance criteria was established for the performance rating of air barrier materials and performance classification of air barrier assemblies based upon maximum allowable air leakage rates. The final component of this work was intended to be the development of a design approach that would integrate air barrier assembly performance with a building’s design needs. Unfortunately, funding for the completion of this research and integration into building codes was halted before this work could be completed and published.

By 2011 the Canadian Commission on Building and Fire Codes determined that it was
appropriate to consider air barrier assembly performance criteria in the National Building Code of Canada. The Standing Committee on Environmental Separation was tasked to:

“Develop quantifiable/explicit air leakage requirements for assemblies ...and investigate the feasibility of creating a design approach to air leakage that would mimic the risk-based approach used for structural design where assemblies are designed to resist specified loads.” (CCBFC 2011)

Parallel with this activity was the initiative to update CSA S478 Guideline for Durability of Buildings, with an emphasis on how appropriate building envelope design could be accomplished using the breakthroughs and improvements in computational hygrothermal modelling and analysis that had occurred in the intervening 20 years.

2 The Problem

In the development of the necessary changes to the National Building Code of Canada to adopt and implement a rational air barrier assembly design approach, it was identified that an exterior wall assembly durability risk assessment must assess whether various agents of deterioration will result in the:

- Shortening of the expected predicted service life of associated structural components, with resulting structural damage or risks of collapse, and/or
- Promotion of mold growth within the assembly, with resulting occupant health risks.

Air leakage through an exterior wall can be a significant contributor to these increased risks if resulting condensation moisture within the assembly leads to corrosion of metals, promotion of organic component deterioration, eg., wood decomposition, and/or promotion of mold growth. In order to assess the sensitivity of various wall assemblies to rates of air leakage, the following analysis methodology was developed.

3 Analysis Methodology

An analysis methodology was developed as described below. This paper focuses this analysis on one set of the variables to allow for assessment of the validity of the methodology.

Air leakage and its impact on wall assembly durability related to condensation and mold growth is a relative function of the following criteria:

1. Building occupancy characteristics – the internal hygrothermal loads imposed on the wall assembly. Different occupancy types affect interior hygrothermal loads. For the preliminary analysis in this paper, a residential occupancy was selected.

2. Building height – Building height directly influences wind loads and, therefore, air leakage rates (Shaw and Tamura, 1977). For this preliminary analysis, a single building height group was selected; a “low rise”, 3½ storey residential property (<10 m tall).

3. Wall assembly composition – In this methodology, wall assemblies are assessed by the level of moisture tolerance of specific materials, the ability of the assembly to redistribute moisture within the assembly, and the ability of the assembly to dissipate moisture before it can cause deterioration or mold growth. For this preliminary analysis, a single wall assembly type was selected; a wood-framed wall with brick cladding reference wall, W1, as described in Section 3.1. Being of wood-frame construction, with the exterior masonry
cladding structurally attached to the wood studs, this assembly configuration is considered at relatively higher risk of potential negative impacts from moisture.

4. Building location climate characteristics – the historic and predicted future climate characteristics taken from a selected location in Canada. For the purposes of this initial analysis, the historic and future climate loads for the city of Winnipeg, Manitoba were selected as a baseline; Climate Zone 7A, 5670 HDD (NBC 2015). See Section 3.3.

5. Wall assembly air leakage rate – Variations in air barrier assembly air leakage rate were used to assess durability response. For the purposes of this initial analysis, air exfiltration due to imperfections in the air barrier system was calculated assuming air leakage rates of 0.05, 0.15 and 1.0 L/(s•m²)@75 Pa. The first two represent air barrier assembly classifications A1 and A3 (CAN/ULC S472, 2011), while the final value was used to provide a quality check on the modelled results. An air leakage rate of 0.0 was also modelled to give a baseline for comparison of results and the ability to assess the impact of wind-driven rain without air leakage. The air leakage path is described in Section 3.2.

3.1 Reference Wall (W1)

Figure 1 shows the configuration of reference wall (W1). This wall assembly was chosen as an initial reference since a similar wall assembly was analyzed during the development work of the early 1990’s (Ojanen and Kumaran, 1995, Di Lenardo et al., 1996). This provided an initial comparison of this new methodology to the approach taken previously. The reference wall W1 has an effective RSI of 3.0 W/(m²•K), which just meets current minimum thermal performance requirements for a low-rise residential Canadian building in Zone 7A that uses heat recovery ventilation (NBC, 2015). The results of this initial analysis should not be used to make design decisions. This approach was selected to facilitate comparisons of the results to earlier work.

The reference wall W1 comprises, from exterior to interior:
- Brick masonry (90 mm)

Figure 1. Reference wall (W1) schematic.
Figure 2. Modelled path of air movement.
3.2 Air Flow Path

To implement the air flow calculations, it was assumed that the air exfiltration occurs through an orifice in the air barrier system. The area of the orifice was estimated using the equation for sharp-edged orifice (ASHRAE, 2017). The orifice area was computed for each air leakage rate, assuming a rectangular orifice shape with a width of 0.05 m. The risk of moisture condensation increases when the exfiltration path is longer, i.e., when air enters the insulation cavity at the bottom right corner and exits at the top right corner or vice versa (Ojanen and Kumaran 1995). For this paper, it was assumed that air penetrates the cavity through a crack between the interior drywall and the flooring via imperfect contact between the bottom plate and the vapour barrier and exits through an opening between the top plate and the OSB sheathing, as shown in Figure 2.

3.3 Climate Data

Climate change weather data has been developed by the National Research Council that allows for balanced hygrothermal assessment of future building design needs (Gaur et al., 2019). The data is comprised of hourly time-series of climate variables necessary to undertake hygrothermal simulations for a baseline time-period spanning 1986–2016 and 31-year long future time-periods when global warming of both +2°C and +3.5°C are projected (referenced to the baseline time-period). The climatic datasets were generated to capture the effects of the internal variability of the climate on future climate projections in fifteen hourly realizations that are part of the datasets derived from the large ensemble of climates simulated by the Canadian Regional Climate Model, version 4 (CanRCM4), each initialized under a different set of initial conditions in the CanESM2 global climate model. The generated datasets do not capture the uncertainty in climatic projections that may arise from the use of other global and regional climate models in simulating the regional climate and future climate projections.

For this study, only the 31-year time-periods corresponding to global warming of +3.5°C were considered; 1986-2016 Historical (“H”), and 2062-2092 Future (“F”). Each timeline comprises 15 realizations or runs. Since it can be onerous to consider all runs, it was decided that, for this preliminary study, only the median run (based on moisture index, MI) was selected from each timeline. The moisture index (Cornick and Dalgliesh, 2003) of a run was calculated as the average of the 31 yearly MI of that run.

For hygrothermal simulations in this study, two representative years were selected from the median run of the Historical and Future climate data. Since the purpose of the simulation is to address condensation risk, the first and second years were; 1) the year with the average annual temperature, and 2) that with the lowest annual temperature, of the 31-year data of the run. They
correspond respectively to the years 2008 and 2003 for Historical data, and 2092 and 2063 for Future data. Figure 3 compares the temperature, rain, relative humidity and wind speed profiles for the city of Winnipeg in the two years selected. The trend observed for the whole run is also present in the two-year data. It can be observed that; average temperature increases between the two timelines, while the wind speed decreases marginally. Rain and RH do not vary in average between the two timelines but more extreme annual RH values will be present in the future.

3.4 Hygrothermal Model Used

DELPHIN 5, v5.9.4, was used for hygrothermal simulations. Important features of DELPHIN are its ability to handle wind-driven rain deposition and shortwave/longwave radiation as part of boundary conditions, as well as air leakage, moisture, and heat sources. A 2D configuration of the wall consisting of the vertical section passing through the insulation cavity was considered. This excludes the wood studs.

3.5 Boundary Conditions

Hourly data of climate loads (temperature, RH, wind velocity, wind-driven rain, shortwave and longwave radiations) on the cladding exterior surface were prepared according to DELPHIN specifications. Wind-driven rain was calculated using the ASHRAE (2016) standard method. Outdoor and indoor pressures were derived from differential pressure. The indoor T and RH were computed assuming that the building is equipped with heating and air conditioning with a
dehumidifier. Using ASHRAE (2016) formula, the indoor T varied from 21°C to 24°C and the indoor RH varied from 46% to 50%. For initial conditions, the T and RH of all layers were set to 21°C and 50% RH. As well the initial pressure was set to 101.3 kPa. Other parameters used for boundary conditions are summarized in Table 1. All material properties were obtained from the NRC material property database (Kumaran et al., 2002).

Table 1. Boundary Conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary Conditions</th>
<th>Outdoor</th>
<th>Indoor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convective heat transfer coefficient, $\alpha$ (W/m²K)</td>
<td>$5.82 + 3.96 V^* + 8^{**}$</td>
<td>$8^{**}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave absorption coefficient</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladding longwave emission coefficient</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground longwave emission coefficient</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground albedo</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture transfer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vapour transfer coefficient $\beta$ (s/m)</td>
<td>$\alpha \times 7 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air leakage coefficient (s/m)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: $V$ is the wind velocity (m/s)

**: Indoor heat transfer coefficient encompasses both the convective and the radiative components

4 Results

The results of the preliminary analysis work relate to a combination of the following variables in the overall evaluation matrix:

- Residential occupancy
- Low-rise building height
- Higher risk wall assembly
- Colder climate zone
- Historical and Future weather data
- Air leakage rates of 0.0, 0.05, 0.15, and 1.0 L/(s•m²)@75 Pa

The initial year was considered a conditioning year to allow stabilization after the initial boundary set points. Results are presented for relative humidity only, as the conditions for mold development were not reached over the two year simulation. Only results for the second year are discussed.

Preliminary results show that, based on the air path used, either: a) the bottom portion of the OSB in contact with the insulation, or b) the top left surface of the bottom plate, are more susceptible to condensation. For this paper, the results for the top left surface of the bottom plate was used to compare the impact of air leakage rates and climate change.

4.1 Effects of Air Leakage Rate and Climate Change

Figure 4 and 5 show the relative humidity profiles for each air leakage rate for the top left surface of the bottom plate for the reference wall (W1), for Historical (Figure 4) and Future (Figure 5) climate scenarios. Some key observations can be made:
Air Leakage Rate:
- All curves follow the same general profile as the 0.0 air leakage rate.
- All curves indicate that they have not normalized after the conditioning year, suggesting the length of simulation is insufficient to identify the final trends in moisture loading.
- Air leakage rates of 0.05 and 0.15 give almost identical results, regardless of climate scenario. Both of these air leakage rates show increased RH over the 0.0 rate.
- Air leakage rate of 1.0 has lower RH compared to 0.05 and 0.15. This is due to the cavity warming from the higher rate of warm air leakage (Ojanen and Kumaran 1995).

Climate Change:
- Differences of up to 4% for Historical climate and 5% for Future climate are found between the case with no air leakage and the cases with leakage rates of 0.05 and 0.15.
- The Future climate model shows that up to 85% RH is reached in wood materials, while in the Historical climate model, up to 80% is reached.
- Future climate data shows an increase of up to 8% in RH over Historical climate.
- Future climate shows sustained increase in RH versus Historical climate after the 710 day mark in the simulation. Historical climate shows a decrease in RH while Future climate shows sustained increase.
- The RH has not normalized for both climate scenarios, suggesting that the length of simulation is insufficient to provide suitable information on moisture loading.
Conclusions

This preliminary work is intended to document a methodology for assessment of air leakage rate and climate change on wall assembly durability. The initial assessment demonstrates that results that indicate the relative wall assembly performance under different air leakage rates can be used to establish inputs into a durability analysis. The results also demonstrate that differences between historical and future climate data suggests the methodology has merit.

However, it was also determined that conducting hygrothermal simulation using two years of climate data does not provide sufficient output data to assess stabilized moisture trends and mold development risks. Since mold development risk is a key criteria for long term durability assessment, future analysis work must use longer simulation durations.

Also critical is the length of the simulation “conditioning” time frame to allow the assembly component normalization of temperature and moisture conditions. Longer simulation timeframes will provide a better understanding of required conditioning durations.

Future work must analyze different; climate zones, building heights, building occupancies, wall assembly composition, and formal durability risk assessments for each result to understand the importance of air barrier performance on durability in a changing climate.
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