Quantifying Thermal Performance of the Building Envelope - Beyond Common Practice

Simon Pallin¹, Jason W. DeGraw¹, Mahabir Bhandari¹ and Tyler Pilet²

¹ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Building Envelope & Urban Systems Research Group, Energy and Transportation Division, 1 Bethel Valley Rd, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA, pallinsb@ornl.gov

² Georgia Institute of Technology, North Ave NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA, tpilet@gatech.edu

Abstract. *Performance metrics serve as useful tools and indicators for many applications. For the building industry, various metrics exist; typically, these metrics do not assess the whole building performance. Instead, these represent separate components or heat transfer mechanisms of a building like R-value, U-factor/value, and airtightness. The mechanisms defined under these metrics all contribute significantly to the overall thermal performance of a building but will rarely act independently. There are metrics that account for the overall energy performance of a building, such as Energy Use Intensity (EUI). However, these metrics tend to be highly influenced by how the building is operated and used. There are also metrics which provide assessment on a relative basis. These indices are based upon comparison to a baseline, which complicates meaningful metric-based energy analyses. This paper presents a performance metric developed to account for various thermal properties and behaviors of a building. The metric accounts for all the relevant mechanisms that influence heat losses and gains in buildings and is thus directly related to the energy performance. In addition, the metric is designed to comprise air infiltration and allows users to account for workmanship quality, and imperfections of the building thermal resistance due to penetrations and other installations. This paper will describe how the metric can be applied for various building types and in different climates.*

Keywords: BEP, Energy, Demand, Thermal Mass, Whole-Building.

1 Introduction

The thermal performance of a building depends on various building characteristics, including outdoor climate, building usage, HVAC equipment, occupants' indoor climate preferences, etc. Due to complex interaction between these variables, describing the thermal performance of a building is difficult. Many metrics exist to describe single variables, such as R-value, U-factor, airtightness (ACH50/75), Solar Heat Gain Coefficient (SHGC). However, none of these indicators can fully, or even realistically, solely describe the overall thermal performance.

Several attempts have been made to define metrics which account for most variables that possess a significant impact on the energy performance (Ferrari and Zanotto, 2016). Many of these efforts focus on the building envelope thermal mass, such as the TER (Reilly and Kinnane, 2017), the M-factor (Masonry Industry Committee, 1978; Yu, 1978), and the T-value (Alterman *et al.*, 2012). Typically, the greatest hurdle to overcome is to account for solar loads and the impact it has on exterior building envelope surface temperatures and interior heat loads. The sol-air temperature (O'Callaghan and Probert, 1977) defines a fictitious ambient temperature that accounts for solar induced heat transfer through the opaque building envelope, but that does not reflect solar induced interior heat gains.

There are also various simplified methods to calculate heating and cooling loads in buildings from estimating the overall thermal performance of buildings, such as CLTD/CLF (Spitler,

McQuiston, and Lindsey, 1993) and the RTS method (ASHRAE, 2017). These methods include all variables relevant to the energy demand in buildings, including interior loads from solar radiation through windows. However, these methodologies do not result a single indicator that represents the whole building energy performance.

This paper proposes a simplified assessment that accounts for mechanisms relevant to the heat loss and gains through the building envelope and its impact on interior loads.

2 Method

This paper presents a methodology to assess the thermal performance of building using a single-valued metric. The metric is based on the following principle.

$$\frac{Q_{HVAC}}{\eta} = Q_{net}^{env} \quad (1)$$

$$Q_{net}^{env} = BEP \cdot A_{tot}^{env}$$

The HVAC energy demand (no internal loads), Q_{HVAC} (J[Btu]), will mainly depend on the efficiency of the HVAC system η (-) and the net building envelope heat transfer Q_{net}^{env} (J[Btu]). In Eq. (1), we introduce the Building Envelope Performance metric, BEP (J/m²[Btu/ft²]), which is defined by the overall building envelope thermal load per building envelope area, A_{tot}^{env} . For annual heating and cooling loads, BEP is a combination of the thermal resistance of all building envelope components, $\mathcal{R}$ ((m²·K)/W [(°F·ft²·hr)/Btu]), and the effective cooling (c) and heating (h) degree hours, as seen in Eq (3). $\mathcal{R}$ includes heat transfer from conduction, convection and radiation:

$$BEP = \frac{eCDH}{\mathcal{R}_c} + \frac{eHDD}{\mathcal{R}_h}$$

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{\sigma \cdot R_{env}} + \frac{1}{R_{air}} + \frac{1}{R_{gain}} \quad (2)$$

where

$eCDH$ = Effective cooling degree hours (K·hr [(°F·hr)]),

$eHDD$ = Effective heating degree hours (K·hr [(°F·hr)]),

R_{env} = Conductive thermal resistance, R-value ((m²·K)/W [(°F·ft²·hr)/Btu]),

R_{air} = Air leakage resistance ((m²·K)/W [(°F·ft²·hr)/Btu]),

R_{gain} = Window solar and internal heat gains resistance ((m²·K)/W [(°F·ft²·hr)/Btu]),

σ = Opaque envelope solar and thermal radiation correction factor (-).

$eCDH$ and $eHDD$ are calculated from average outdoor and indoor temperatures during cooling and heating mode respectively.

$$eCDH = (T_{out}^{avg,c} - T_{in,cool}) \cdot t_{cool} \quad (3)$$

$$eHDD = (T_{in,heat} - T_{out}^{avg,h}) \cdot t_{heat}$$

Here,

$T_{out}^{avg,c-h}$ = Average outdoor temperature during cooling (c) or heating (h) (K [°F]),

$T_{in,cool}$ = Indoor setpoint temperature for cooling (K [°F]),

$T_{in,heat}$ = Indoor setpoint temperature for heating (K [°F]),

t_{cool} = Time for which cooling occurs (hr),

t_{heat} = Time for which heating occurs (hr).

Note that the effective cooling and heating degree hours defined in Eq. (3) are not the same as regular cooling and heating degree hours (Erbs, Klein, and Beckman, 1984). For example, because of heat loads (solar and internal), cooling may occur when the ambient outdoor temperature is below the indoor cooling setpoint temperature. Defining effective degree hours are essential to account for all loads/sinks contributing to the HVAC running cycle.

2.1 Conductive Heat Transfer

The resulting building envelope R -value, R_{env} , is expressed as:

$$R_{env} = \frac{A_{tot}^{env}}{\frac{A_{wall}}{R_{wall} \cdot \phi_{wall}} + \frac{A_{roof}}{R_{roof} \cdot \phi_{roof}} + \frac{A_{slab}}{R_{slab} \cdot \phi_{slab}} + \frac{U_{wind} \cdot A_{wind}}{\phi_{wind}}} \quad (4)$$

$$A_{tot}^{env} = A_{wall} + A_{roof} + A_{slab} + A_{win}$$

where

R_k = Thermal resistance (R -value) of envelope component k ((m²·K)/W [(°F·ft²·hr)/Btu]),

ϕ_k = Conductive correction factor for envelope component k , due to thermal bridges, installation quality, etc. (-). (Not validated in this study)

The conductive envelope correction factor ϕ_k is defined for unheated surface temperatures due to solar radiation and is given by:

$$\sigma = \frac{T_{out}^{avg} - T_{in}}{T_{eq} - T_{in}}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$T_{eq} = \frac{T_{eq}^{roof} \cdot A_{roof} + T_{eq}^{wall} \cdot A_{wall} + T_{out}^{avg} (A_{win} + A_{slab})}{A_{tot}^{env}}, \quad (6)$$

In which,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{eq}^{wall} &= T_{out}^{avg} + R_{se,wall} \left(\alpha_{wall} \left(\frac{I_{sol,E}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,E} + I_{sol,S}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,S} + I_{sol,W}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,W} + I_{sol,N}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,N}}{A_{wall}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{I_{sky,E}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,E} + I_{sky,S}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,S} + I_{sky,W}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,W} + I_{sky,N}^{avg} \cdot A_{wall,N}}{A_{wall}} \right) \\
 T_{eq}^{roof} &= T_{out}^{avg} + R_{se,roof} \left(\alpha_{roof} \cdot I_{sol,hor}^{avg} + I_{sky,hor}^{avg} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

T_{eq} = Equivalent building envelope surface temperature (K [°F]),

T_{out}^{avg} = Average outdoor temperature when either cooling or heating occurs (K [°F]),

$I_{sol,j}^{avg}$ = Annual average incident solar radiation on vertical surface in direction j (W/m²[Btu/(hr·ft²)]),

$I_{sky,j}^{avg}$ = Annual average infrared radiation on vertical surface in direction j (W/m²[Btu/(hr·ft²)]),

$I_{sol,vert}^{avg}$ = Annual average incident solar radiation on vertical surfaces (W/m²[Btu/(hr·ft²)]),

$I_{sky,vert}^{avg}$ = Annual average infrared radiation on vertical surfaces (W/m²[Btu/(hr·ft²)]),

α_k = Material solar absorptivity of envelope component k (-),

ε_k = Material infrared emissivity of envelope component k (-),

R_{se} = Exterior surface transfer resistance ((m²·K)/W [(°F·ft²·hr)/Btu]),

$E / S / W / N$ = East, South, West and North respectively (-).

The opaque envelope solar and thermal radiation correction factor seen in Eq. (5) needs to be calculated for both heating ($t_{heat} > 0$) and cooling ($t_{cool} > 0$) since T_{eq} and T_{out}^{avg} varies accordingly.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

$$\sigma^c = \frac{T_{out}^{avg,c} - T_{in,cool}}{T_{eq}^c - T_{in,cool}} \text{ and } \sigma^h = \frac{T_{out}^{avg,h} - T_{in,heat}}{T_{eq}^h - T_{in,heat}}. \tag{8}$$

2.2 Convective Heat Transfer

The effective thermal resistance due to air infiltration through the building envelope, R_{air} , is defined as:

$$R_{air} = \frac{A_{tot}^{env}}{\bar{V} \cdot \rho_{air} \cdot c_{air}}. \tag{9}$$

$$\bar{V} = C \cdot (\overline{\Delta P})^n \tag{10}$$

Where

$\bar{V}$ = Annual average air flow rate through the building envelope during either cooling or

heating (m^3/hr [ft^3/hr]),
 ρ_{air} = Density of air (kg/m^3 [lb/ft^3]),
 c_{air} = Specific heat of air ($\text{J}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{K})$ [$\text{Btu}/(\text{lb}\cdot^\circ\text{F})$]),
 C = Air flow coefficient ($\text{m}^3/(\text{s}\cdot\text{Pa})$ [cfm/Pa]),
 P = Air pressure (Pa),
 n = Air leakage exponent (-).

The average air pressure difference is calculated during the time of either cooling or heating. Typically, the overall pressure gradient, ΔP , around the building envelope is the sum of three components; wind loads, ΔP_w , buoyancy effect (stack), ΔP_s , and ventilation, ΔP_v .

$$\Delta P = \Delta P_w + \Delta P_s + \Delta P_v \quad (11)$$

As for all variables in Eq. (2), R_{air} is defined for either cooling or heating.

2.3 Solar, Thermal and Internal Heat Gains

Finally, an effective thermal resistance is found, due to interior heat loads. These loads consist of solar and thermal radiation through windows and all interior loads from equipment, appliances, lighting, humans, etc. The heat gain resistance, R_{gain} , is written as

$$R_{gain} = \frac{(T_{out}^{avg,c-h} - T_{in})}{Q_{load,in}} \cdot A_{tot}^{env} \quad (12)$$

$$Q_{load,in} = SHGC \cdot (I_{sol,E}^{avg} \cdot A_{win,E} + I_{sol,S}^{avg} \cdot A_{win,S} + I_{sol,W}^{avg} \cdot A_{win,W} + I_{sol,N}^{avg} \cdot A_{win,N}) \quad (13)$$

where

$SHGC$ = Window solar heat gain coefficient (-)

R_{gain} is a fictitious thermal resistance based on the assumption of a given temperature gradient,

$(T_{out}^{avg,c-h} - T_{in})$, which allows all thermal resistances in Eq. (2) to be lumped together.

3 Result

The proposed BEP metric of this paper has been validated against transient energy modelling simulation tools (EnergyPlus, 2018). The simulated building was setup as a rectangular building and with characteristics described in Table 1.

The overall heating and cooling demands were computed for 16 different U.S. climate zones (IECC, 2015) and the indoor temperature was kept at 20°C [68°F]. As seen in Eq. (2), BEP relies on the effective heating and cooling degree hours. These hours are calculated based on all loads contributing to the indoor temperature. In general, cooling and heating degree hours are calculated under the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} eCDH & \text{ when } Q_{net}^{cool} > 0 \\ eHDH & \text{ when } Q_{net}^{heat} < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{net}^{cool} &= \mathcal{R} \cdot (T_{out}^{avg,c} - T_{in,cool}) \cdot A_{tot}^{env} \\
 Q_{net}^{heat} &= \mathcal{R} \cdot (T_{in,heat} - T_{out}^{avg,h}) \cdot A_{tot}^{env}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

Table 1. Simulation model used to validate the BEP metric.

Length x Width x Height	20 x 15 x 4 m [65.6 x 49.2 x 13.1 ft]
Window Area	84 m ² [275.6 ft ²]
SHGC	0.352 (-)
R-value Wall/Roof/Window/Slab	1.013/3.509/0.391/adiabatic (m ² ·K)/W
Solar absorptance Wall/Roof	0.92/0.7
Surface heat transfer coefficient - Interior/Exterior	8/25 W/(m ² ·K)
Air tightness	Not validated

Figure 1 reveals how the cooling and heating cycle varies in the simulations for warm (Miami, FL) and a cold (Minneapolis, MN) climate respectively.

Figure 1. Variation in effective heating and cooling degree hours between a hot (Miami, FL) and cold (Minneapolis, MN) climate. $eCDH$ and $eHDH$ are calculated in accordance with Eq. (15).

A comparison was made for BEP between various comprehensive simulation models (EnergyPlus, 2018; IBP, 2019; van Dijk, Spiekman, and Hoes-van Oeffelen, 2016). Figure 2 depicts simulated cooling and heating demand for 16 locations in the U.S. According to this result, the BEP shows good agreement with more sophisticated simulation models. The main reason to the discrepancies depicted in Figure 2 for the simulated heating and cooling demand seems to be a result of dissimilar approaches to compute heat surface film coefficients.

As previously discussed, the BEP metric will account for various variables that are dependent on exterior climate conditions. **Figure 3** reveals the variation of BEP for various climates. According to the figure, colder climates tend to require an overall greater energy demand, thus a higher value of BEP.

Figure 2. Validation between the proposed metric, EnergyPlus, R5C1, and finite element simulations. The proposed metric shows good agreement with more sophisticated simulation procedures.

Figure 3. Variation in BEP between 16 different locations and U.S. climate zones for the validated building.

4 Conclusions

This paper introduces a new building envelope thermal performance metric, BEP. The metric accounts for all relevant heat transfer mechanisms affecting the energy demand of a building due to outdoor climate and interior thermal loads; conductive heat transfer, air infiltration, solar heat gains affecting opaque building envelope surface temperatures and indoor heat loads. This paper also presents an initial validation study by comparing simulation results from various whole building energy simulation tools. According to the comparison, BEP can predict overall energy performance similarly to existing simulation tools.

The purpose and advantage of BEP are that it does not require an iterative simulation

procedure. Instead, the proposed metric relies on input data given by the geometry of the building, thermal characteristics and outdoor climate data. This feature allows for a quick, yet accurate, thermal performance assessment of a building.

Lastly, BEP serves as an overall building envelope thermal performance metric. This allows for easy comparison of the thermal performance of buildings with varying building type, characteristics, and climate location. Additionally, the BEP allows for efficient comparison of the predicted energy performance of planned retrofits.

Acknowledgements

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle LLC under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doc-public-access-plan>).

ORCID

Simon Pallin: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7197-6746>

Mahabir Bhandari: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1951-9876>

Tyler Pilet: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9680-6866>

References

- Alterman, D., Moffiet, T., Hands, S., Page, A., Luo, C. and Moghtaderi, B. (2012). A concept for a potential metric to characterise the dynamic thermal performance of walls. *Energy and Buildings*, 54, 52-60.
- ASHRAE. (2017). ASHRAE handbook of fundamentals - Chapter 18 - Nonresidential Cooling and Heating Load Calculations. *American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers*, NY.
- EnergyPlus. (2018). EnergyPlus Engineering Reference. Retrieved from https://energyplus.net/sites/all/modules/custom/nrel_custom/pdfs/pdfs_v8.9.0/EngineeringReference.pdf.
- Erbs, D. G., Klein, S. A. and Beckman, W. A. (1984). Sol-air heating and cooling degree-days. *Solar Energy*, 33(6), 605-612. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X\(84\)90016-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X(84)90016-1)
- Ferrari, S. and Zanotto, V. (2016). Approximating Dynamic Thermal Behaviour of the Building Envelope. In S. Ferrari & V. Zanotto (Eds.), *Building Energy Performance Assessment in Southern Europe*, 21-33. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- IBP, F. (2019). Wufi Plus - Software for calculating three dimensional coupled heat and moisture transfer in building components. Retrieved from http://www.wufi.de/index_e.html
- IECC. (2015). International Energy Conservation Code - Residential Energy Efficiency. In. Country Club Hills, IL, USA: International Code Council, Inc.
- Masonry Industry Committee. (1978). *the "m" factor: The use of mass to save energy in heating and cooling of buildings*. Retrieved from Masonry Industry Committee:
- O'Callaghan, P. W. and Probert, S. D. (1977). Sol-air temperature. *Applied Energy*, 3(4), 307-311. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-2619\(77\)90017-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-2619(77)90017-4)
- Reilly, A and Kinnane, O. (2017). The impact of thermal mass on building energy consumption. *Applied Energy*, 198, 108-121. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.04.024>
- Spitler, J., McQuiston, F. and Lindsey, K. (1993). *CLTD/SCL/CLF cooling load calculation method*. Paper presented at the the 1993 Winter Meeting of ASHRAE Transactions. Part 1, Chicago, IL, USA, 01/23-27/93.
- van Dijk, H., Spiekman, M. and Hoes-van Oeffelen, E. (2016). EPB standard EN ISO 52016: calculation of the building's energy needs for heating and cooling, internal temperatures and heating and cooling load.
- Yu, H. C. (1978). The M Factor: A New Concept in Heat Transfer Calculations. *Consulting Engineer*, 1978.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark