CONTRIBUTION OF CONFORMAL ANTENNAS TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE AIRCRAFT

P. VRCHOTA^{*}, A. PRACHAŘ[†], M. ŠMÍD[‡] AND J. MIDDEL[§]

^{*}Czech Aerospace Research Centre (VZLU)
Beranovych 130, 199 05 Prague, Czech Republic
e-mail: vrchota@vzlu.cz, web page: <http://www.vzlu.cz>

[†] Czech Aerospace Research Centre (VZLU)
Beranovych 130, 199 05 Prague, Czech Republic
e-mail: prachar@vzlu.cz, web page: <http://www.vzlu.cz>

[‡]Czech Aerospace Research Centre (VZLU)
Beranovych 130, 199 05 Prague, Czech Republic
e-mail: smid@vzlu.cz, web page: <http://www.vzlu.cz>

[§]NLR - Netherlands Aerospace Centre
P.O. Box 90502, 1006 BM Amsterdam, The Netherlands
e-mail: jan.middel@nlr.nl, web page: <http://www.nlr.nl>

Key words: CFD, radome, drag reduction, emissions

Abstract. This paper shows the drag and emission reduction potential of integrated, flush communication antennas at the surface of an airliner. The CFD simulations of the aircraft model representing a modern airliner with radome in different locations on its upper part of the fuselage have been done. The results have been compared with the baseline configuration of the aircraft without radome. The aerodynamic equivalent weight penalty and additional fuel needed due to the drag of the radome and its weight itself have been calculated by two approaches. The obtained drag reduction potential has been used for the estimation of the CO₂ and NO_x emissions reduction by using integrated antenna.

1 INTRODUCTION

The protection of the environment and reduction of the environmental footprint of air transport is very demanding. Among others, growing air traffic also significantly contributes to the emissions contributing to climate change and local air quality issues around airports. Very ambitious goals have been set by politicians; a reduction of 2% in fuel consumption (and thus CO₂ emissions) for aviation by 2021 and then by 2% per year until 2050 [1]. Many operational improvements and new technologies have to be adopted to achieve this ambitious goal. One new technology is to replace the protruding communication antennas by integrated one with the aircraft outer skin. This can be used to contribute to the defined requirements [2, 3]. The structurally integrated antennas cause less additional drag, noise and turbulence in comparison with classical protruding antennas. These antennas also reduce the maintenance costs and possible operational delays by avoiding collisions of protruding parts with airport cargo.

vehicles. The European project ACASIAS [4] addresses, among others, the topic of integrated antennas and their effect on aerodynamic performances and environment. The project researches VHF antennas that can be integrated into the fuselage panels or winglet's surface [3], e.g. This paper is focusing on the evaluation of the aerodynamic effect of the integrated antenna with the aircraft fuselage and its impact on the weight and fuel savings by eliminating the need for a radome. The possible emissions reductions by integrated antenna have also been evaluated.

2 METHODS

2.1 Aircraft model

The NASA Common Research Model (CRM) [5] designed by Boeing and among other purposes has been used during the Drag Prediction Workshops (DPW) [6] to obtain the experimental data for CFD code verification. It is based on a transonic transport configuration designed to fly at a cruise Mach number, $M = 0.85$ at design lift coefficient $CL = 0.5$. Several configurations of the CRM can be used. The horizontal tail and nacelle/pylon can be integrated into the baseline configuration which contains the wing and body, only. The CRM model used for this study is depicted in Fig. 1. The considered baseline configuration corresponded to the wing, body and horizontal tail, without radome.

Figure 1: Baseline configuration of Common Research Model

The radome was placed in four locations along the fuselage, two in front of the wing (marked G_0 and G_1), one over the wing (marked G_3) and one in the rear (marked G_2, see Fig. 2). The positions of the radome have been determined according to the C_p distribution (local velocity) along a fuselage of the baseline configuration. The geometry of the radome corresponds to the low-profile Gogo 2Ku antenna [7].

Figure 2: Considered positions of the radome along the fuselage

2.2 Calculation of the Aerodynamic Equivalent Weight Penalty and additional fuel

The weight penalty due to the presence of the radome were calculated by two approaches. The first one was introduced and applied by the radome's producer [7] and is called Aerodynamic Equivalent Drag Penalty (AEDP). It assumes that the value of the lift over drag ratio is constant during the cruise part of the flight.

$$L/D = C \quad (1)$$

If the drag of the aircraft is increased by the contribution of the radome, the lift has to be also increased according to the following equation.

$$L_{New} = (D + D_{Radome}) \cdot C \quad (2)$$

The differences between the new value of lift (L_{New}) and original value of lift is the AEDP.

$$AEDP = L_{New} - L \quad (3)$$

The hardware weight has to be also added to the AEDP to obtain the overall increasing of the weight by presence of the radome. The AEDP could be an equivalent to the weight of the fuel which can be saved by integrated antenna.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

The second simplified method is based on contribution of the fuel weight to the MTOW of the aircraft and the assumption of the fuel burned in cruise. The additional fuel needed to carry the radome consists of the fuel needed for radome's weight and fuel needed for radome's drag. It can be split into the part needed for the radome's drag itself and part needed for radome's drag fuel (additional fuel needed to carry the extra fuel needed because of the radome's drag – snow ball effect). This method was applied to two aircraft, A350 and B-787 (see Section 3.2). These two aircraft have been selected because of their geometric and also cruise regime similarity in each other and also in CRM.

2.3 Mesh generation, flow solver and flow conditions

The computational grids were generated by Pointwise software [8]. They are unstructured grids with rectangular elements on the model surfaces, prismatic layer and tetrahedron elements in the volume. The height of the first layer was set to fulfill the demand of the turbulence model on the value of the y^+ function. The grid topology was the same for all considered configurations. The differences were only in close vicinity of the radome.

The Reynolds average Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations are solved in in-house CFD

program. It is a finite volume Navier-Stokes solver for unstructured meshes. The $k-\omega$ EARSIM [9] turbulence model was used for this study. All simulations were run as a fully turbulent flow. A farfield boundary condition was used on the outer boundary of the computational domain. This condition is specified by Mach number, flow direction, static pressure and static temperature. The aircraft was treated as no-slip viscous boundary. Symmetry boundary condition was used at the symmetry plane of the half model.

Freestream Mach number 0.85 and the Reynolds number $30 \cdot 10^6$ based on mean aerodynamic chord were used. Angle of attack was varied during the simulations to obtain constant lift coefficient 0.5.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Effect of the location of the radome on the drag

The drag of the aircraft with different locations of the radome was evaluated for the constant lift coefficient ($CL = 0.5$). It means that the angles of attack were slightly different for different radome locations to obtain the same aerodynamic loading. The drag increment, caused by the presence of the radome in particular locations, is depicted in Fig. 3. The drag is increased from 0.07% up to 0.75% related to the baseline configuration. The best positions of the radome are in the front and in rear part of the fuselage while the overwing position (marked as G_3 in figure 2) is the worst. The higher drag in the overwing position is due to the locally accelerated flow caused by the wing(lift). This shows from the C_p distribution along the fuselage depicted in Fig 4. It can be seen the locally accelerated flow just behind the nose of the fuselage and overwing position (the wing root is between the 25m and 37m in x coordinate). The suitable position for placing the radome can be determined according to the C_p distribution with lower local flow velocity, in front of the wing and in the aft part of the fuselage, respectively. It has to be mentioned, that the drag of the rear position is slightly biased due to the missing the vertical tail plane.

Figure 3: Drag increment by presence of the radome in different locations

Figure 4: C_p distribution along the fuselage of the baseline configuration

The values of the drag force corresponding to the particular locations of the radome were used for further calculations of the aerodynamic equivalent drag penalty and the additional fuel needed.

3.2 Weight penalty due to the presence of radome

The aerodynamic characteristics of the CRM (lift, drag and radome's drag) have been used to calculate the AEDP. The values of AEDP were calculated by means of the Eq. 1-3. This method takes into account the aerodynamic characteristics of the aircraft only. The hardware weight of the radome has to be also added to the values of AEDP to obtain the full „penalty”. The results are depicted in Fig. 5 (left).

The second method of calculation of the weight penalty determines the weight of the additional fuel needed to carry the radome itself (hardware weight and radome's drag) and more into account the flight profile and the ratios between maximum take-off weight (MTOW), fuel weight, burned fuel during the cruise, etc. For the purpose of this study two aircraft and their parameters (MTOW, fuel weight, etc.) have been used, A350 and B-787. The value of L/D for cruise condition was considered the same for both aircraft. It could be reason for the differences in calculated weight penalty between these aircraft (see Fig. 5 right). Some other differences are caused by the characteristics of the aircraft themselves (A-350 is slightly larger in comparison with CRM whilst B-787 is slightly smaller). The results of this method are also depicted in Fig. 5 (right). It could be seen that both methods gave similar results and penalize the same configuration.

The results of both methods are rather informative. The precise aerodynamic, geometrical and weight characteristics of the real considered aircraft need to be taken into account to obtain correct absolute values of the weight penalty. On the other hand, the trend of the effect of the radome in particular positions can be used from this procedure.

Figure 5: Aerodynamic Equivalent Drag Penalty (left) and weight penalty for two aircraft (right)

3.3 Possible reduction of the CO₂ and NO_x emissions by integrated antennas

The CO₂ and NO_x emissions can be calculated or obtained by several methods. One of these methods is the calculation of the emissions from the chemical reactions inside the combustion chamber using its efficiency and selected regime [10]. Another method is based on the data provided by the engines' manufacturers. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) organization manages the aircraft engine emission databank database [11], where the fuel consumptions and emissions of particular engines are defined and measured in reference static conditions for four thrust settings typical for take-off climb-out, approach and taxi. For low altitude and low speeds, as typical for airports, this database can be directly applied to the effect of integrated antennas with the aircraft's surface in terms of CO₂ and NO_x emissions, if the engine type of the aircraft is known. The example of the estimation of the production of the emissions in dependence on the fuel consumption for an ideal engine is depicted in Fig. 6. Similar graphs of production of CO₂ and NO_x emission in dependence on the thrust can be also drawn.

Figure 6: CO₂ and NO_x emissions for ICAO Aircraft Engine Emissions Databank engine [11]

The NO_x emissions production of the A-350-900 aircraft during typical flight (7000 km trip) was calculated. The values of the drag increment have been taken over from the simulations of CRM. These correspond to the worst case (overwing location, G3) and the rear location (G2) of the radome. The results of the estimation of the NO_x production together with the estimation of the fuel consumption are in the following table. It is possible see the savings of the amount of the fuel burned (~0.6%) and NO_x emissions produced (~2%) during the flight corresponded to the overwing radome's location and negligible savings for the rear radome's location.

Table 1: Results of the estimation of the emission production for typical flight w/o radome

	no radome	Radome G3	Radome G2	
fuelburn	51760.24	52076.38	51764	[kg]
fuel estimate (incl. reserves)	53871.93	54388.09	53878.39	[kg]
time	530.52	530.65	530.52	[min]
travel distance	7004.09	7004.09	7004.09	[km]
takeoff mass	238153.78	238540.30	238158.76	[kg]
NO _x emissions	1900.85	1941.63	1901.36	[kg]

The typical flight parameters, like altitude, velocity, thrust, together with the fuel flow and NO_x emission depending on the time of travel is depicted in Fig. 7. A flight profile consists of a chain of flight segments. Generation of a flight profile starts with a first estimate of a take-off weight (based on OEW, payload, distance-based fuel estimate and reserve fuel estimate). During the flight fuel is burned, and the aircraft weight continuous adjusted accordingly. Fuel burn is based on momentary weight, speed, altitude and thrust setting. The resulting momentary (fuel) weight at touchdown is used to correct the initial calculated/estimated fuel weight until remaining fuel at landing equals reserve fuel.

The production of the emissions is based on fuel flow, speed and altitude. The Boeing-2 fuel flow method (e.g. [12]) is used to calculate the NO_x emissions along the flight profile. Boeing-2 fuel flow method is a well-accepted, standard method of estimating NO_x. Integration along the flight profile yields NO_x emissions and fuel burn. The drag difference between the two aircraft configurations is relatively small. The resulting flight profiles and NO_x emissions are then hard to distinguish in the graphs depicted in Fig. 7. Visually they coincide.

The CO₂ emissions are proportional to the fuel burn (see [13]). With reference to Table 1 it can be expected that the reduction of CO₂ emissions will be about 0.6% compared to a radome installed at the worse location G3 is being replaced by a conformal (flush integrated) Ku-band antenna as developed in the ACASIAS project. This follows from the relative difference between fuel burn between the radome at location G3 and flush antenna. When the radome is installed at position G2, then the CO₂ savings are negligible

Figure 7: Fuel flow, NOx emissions and parameters of the typical flight of A-350-900

4 CONCLUSIONS

- The effect of the radome on the particular location along the fuselage of the airliner has been evaluated by CFD simulations. NASA Common Research Model has been used as a reference aircraft. The values of the radome's drag and aerodynamic characteristics of the aircraft were used to calculate the AEDP and additional fuel which is needed to carry the radome. The method used for calculation of the fuel needed to carry the radome takes into account the flight profile.
- The aerodynamic effect of the radome or protruding antennas can be expressed by the AEDP or by the weight of the additional fuel needed to carry the radome. It has been found that there can be benefit in hundreds of kilograms in case that the radome will be integrated with the aircraft's surface.
- Another advantage of the integrated antenna is the reduction of the vibration, Noise,

maintenance costs and operational delays reducing risk to protrude parts by collisions with airport cargo cars.

- It has been found that it is possible to reduce the NO_x emission of the typical flight up to 2% and CO₂ emission by about 0.6% by integration of the radome into the fuselage in comparison with the baseline configuration and the worst radome's location. There is negligible saving of the fuel consumption and emissions reduction for the rear location of the radome. The main benefits of this configuration are savings of the additional weight (fuel needed to carry the radome itself) and the other reasons described above.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All work described in this paper has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 723167. ACASIAS project.

This work was supported by The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic from the Large Infrastructures for Research, Experimental Development and Innovations project IT4Innovations National Supercomputing Center LM2015070.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Glowacki, M., Kawalec, S. Czyz, Aviation – Environmental Threats, Simplified Methodology of NO_x and CO₂ emissions estimation, 5th CEAS Air & Space Conference Challenges in European Aerospace, Delft, 2015
- [2] H. Schippers, J. Verpoorte, A. Hulzinga, C. Roeloffzen, and R. Baggen, Towards structural integration of airborne Ku-band SatCom antenna, 7th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP), 2013, pp. 2963-2967.
- [3] P. Vrchota, S. Steeger, M. Martínez-Vázquez, M. Světlík, Z. Rezníček, "Aerodynamic and structural design of winglet with integrated VHF antenna", 8th EASN-CEAS Int. Workshop on Manufacturing for Growth & Innovation, Glasgow, 2018, Available: <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201823300018>
- [4] ACASIAS project website: <http://www.acasias-project.eu/>
- [5] Vassberg, J. C., DeHaan, M. A. Rivers, M. B. and Wahls, M. S., Development of a Common Research Model for Applied CFD Validation Studies, AIAA Paper 2008-6919. 2008.
- [6] Drag Prediction Workshop website: <http://aaac.larc.nasa.gov/tsab/cfdlarc/aiaa-dpw/Workshop5/>
- [7] GoGo website: <https://www.gogoair.com/commercial/inflight-systems/2ku/>
- [8] Pointwise website: <https://www.pointwise.com/index.html>
- [9] Wallin, S. and Johansson, A. V., "An Explicit Algebraic Reynolds Stress Model of Incompressible and Compressible Flows," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 43, No. 9, 2000, pp. 89{132, also AIAA Paper 89{0269, Jan. 1989.
- [10] V. Betak, J. Kubata, Numerical prediction of soot formation in combustion chamber for small jet engines, EFM15 - Experimental Fluid Mechanics 2015, Prague, 2015
- [11] ICAO Aircraft Engine Emission Databank: <https://easa.europa.eu/document-library/icao-aircraft-engine-emissions-databank>

- [12] Schaefer, M., Bartosch, S., Overview on fuel flow correlation methods for the calculation of NO_x, CO₂ and HC emissions and their implementation into aircraft performance software, 2013
- [13] Ashok, A., Dedoussi, I. C., Yim, . H. L., Balakrishnan, H., Barrett, S. R. H., Quantifying the air quality-CO₂ tradeoff potential for airports, Atmospheric Environment 99, 2014, pp. 546-555

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark