

Article

Design, Analysis and Model Predictive Control of an Axial Field Switched-Flux Permanent Magnet Machine for Electric Vehicle/Hybrid Electric Vehicle Applications

Jilong Zhao^{1,*}, Xiaowei Quan¹, Mengdie Jing¹, Mingyao Lin² and Nian Li²

- ¹ School of Automation and Information Engineering, Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an 710048, China; quanxw5020@163.com (X.Q.); jingmengdiezz@163.com (M.J.)
- ² Engineering Research Center for Motion Control of Ministry of Education, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China; mylin@seu.edu.cn (M.L.); linian1901@126.com (N.L.)
- * Correspondence: jlzhao@xaut.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-139-0929-8760

Received: 8 June 2018; Accepted: 15 July 2018; Published: 16 July 2018



Abstract: In this paper, an axial field switched-flux permanent magnet (AFSFPM) machine with stator-PM, which has a high power/torque density and efficiency feature as well as shorter axial length, is designed, analyzed and controlled. The topology, operating principle and design procedure of the AFSFPM machine are labored and discussed. The electromagnetic performance, including the flux linkage, back-EMF, cogging torque, winding inductance and field-control capability, are studied based on 3-D finite-element analysis (FEA). In order to investigate the operating performance of the machine in the whole speed range, a continuous-control-set model predictive control (MPC) method for the AFSFPM machine is proposed. Based on the stage control targets, the maximum torque per ampere (MTPA) and maximum output power flux-weakening strategies are presented in constant torque and constant power regions, respectively. Finally, a prototype of AFSFPM machine has been manufactured and experimentally evaluated and the results show that the MTPA strategy increases the load capability and the flux-weakening strategy broadens the constant power operation range. Moreover, the anti-load-disturbance capacity and dynamic response performance are improved under the MPC method. As a result, the proposed AFSFPM machine drive system is excellent alternative for electrical vehicles (EVs) or hybrid EVs (HEVs).

Keywords: axial field; switched-flux; model predictive control; flux-weakening; electric vehicles

PACS: J0101

1. Introduction

Recently, the axial flux permanent magnet (AFPM) machines have attracted a huge amount of attention from the researchers and industry because of some magnetic and geometric structure merits compared to the radial PM machine, which are very interesting for the electrical vehicles (EVs) and wind power applications [1–7]. The switched-flux permanent magnet (SFPM) machine with stator-PM, are being considered and under extensive investigation due to simple structure, robust rotor, high power/torque density, as well as sinusoidal electromotive force (EMF) [8–15]. Axial field switched-flux permanent magnet (AFSFPM) machine combines the characteristics of the AFPM and SFPM machine, which exhibits some merits of shorter axial length, robust rotor structure, high power/torque density and efficiency, convenient heat-dissipation and flexible control. A 12/10 dual-rotor AFSFPM machine was presented for wind power generation in [16]. The influences of



the rotor pole width and stator slot chamfer on the back-EMF and cogging torque were investigated. Static characteristics of a dual-stator AFSFPM motor with three stator structures had been investigated in [17] and the results shown the motor with parallel PM and slot topology has larger torque, lower cogging torque and more sinusoidal back-EMF. The fault-tolerant operating capability of AFSFPM machine with 'E-shaped' stator tooth was improved and the wide speed-regulation range was achieved in [18,19]. A novel SFPM machine with dual-rotor, axial field, fault-tolerant structure for the direct-drive applications was proposed in [20]. The research results indicated, compared with the conventional FSPM machine, the proposed machine exhibits higher power/torque density and lower cogging torque. Several 6-slot/4-pole axial switched-flux machine topologies for EVs and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) were investigated in [21] and the even harmonics of the flux-linkage in the proposed 6-slot/4-pole machines were eliminated efficiently. A new topology of single-phase switched-flux AFPM motor was presented in [22], for the enhancement of the self-starting torque capability and unidirectional rotation, the rotor pole was tapered. A novel AFSFPM machine with hybrid excitation structure was proposed in [23], the result demonstrated the load-capability was improved and the speed-regulation range was broadened. 3-D finite-element method is frequently used to analyze the AFPM machine and the optimization design is very necessary to improve the machine performance. Optimization design of electrical machine is considered to be computationally demanding and costly due to the 3-D FEA process involved. To reduce the computational time and the cost as well, the optimization algorithms, such as genetic algorithm [24], particle swarm optimization algorithm [25] and difference evolution algorithm [26], have been presented. Recently, many alternatives such as surrogate-assisted model [27] which emulates the behavior of the real machine performance, is less accurate but much faster, have also been emerged to improve the optimization efficiency of electrical machines, especially for those machines with 3-D flux topologies which requires 3-D FEM, such as the transverse and axial flux as well as claw pole machine. The characteristics of several optimization design models, methods and strategies for electrical machine are summarized and analyzed in [28].

The model predictive control (MPC) method exhibits a lot of merits including fast torque response, strong robustness and high dynamic performance. Three predictive current control schemes for permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) control system were presented and compared based on simulation and experimental research in [29]. A novel speed finite-control-set MPC algorithm for a PMSM by a matrix converter, which replace the classical cascaded control scheme, was proposed in [30]. An effective method to simplify the finite control set-MPC and to reduce the calculation efforts in matrix converter-fed PMSM drive was presented in [31] and the good performance was achieved. A modified predictive torque control scheme for a PMSM to reduce the torque ripple at the presence of parametric uncertainly by improving the prediction accurateness was presented in [32]. A common MPC for PMSM drives considering single-phase open-circuit fault was proposed in [33].

The aim of this paper is to design, analysis and control as well as access of a three-phase 12-stator-slot (s)/10-rotor-pole (p) AFSFPM machine, as depicted in Figure 1. Different from our previous works [34,35], which focused on machine design for different applications such as EVs or direct-drive wind power system, the contributions of this paper are to investigate the operating performance of AFSFPM machine drive system in the entire speed operating region with emphasis on control strategies, which can offer effective torque and speed control. In this paper, a continuous-control-set model predictive control (CCS-MPC) method for the AFSFPM machine drive system is presented to improve the dynamic response and anti-load-disturbance capacity of the drive system. The electromagnetic characteristics of the AFSFPM machine, such as the flux linkage, back-EMF, cogging torque and the winding inductance, are researched based on the 3-D finite-element analysis (FEA). Eventually, the simulation and experimental study are executed to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed control method and the operating performance of the AFSFPM machine.

 Statur 1
 Statur 2

 Image: Amature winding
 Statur core

 Amature winding
 No

 Image: Amature winding
 Image: Amature winding

 Image: Amature winding
 Image: Amature winding

(c)

Slot

Figure 1. Configuration of axial field switched-flux permanent magnet (AFSFPM) machine and two different stator structures. (**a**) Topology; (**b**) Stator exploded view; (**c**) Parallel PM parallel slot (PPPS) structure; (**d**) Parallel PM parallel slot (PPPT) structure.

2. Machine Design

2.1. Target Machine Configuration

Figure 1a describes a three-phase 12-stator-slot/10-rotor-pole AFSFPM machine consisted of double stators and single rotor. As seen in Figure 1b, the salient pole stator includes 12 'U'-type core, 12 PMs and concentrated armature windings. The PMs with alternant magnetizing are embedded between the two adjacent 'U' type cores and the armature windings are coiled radially the module composed of two adjacent 'U' type cores and embedded PM. Two different stator structures of parallel PM parallel slot (PPPS) and parallel PM parallel tooth (PPPT) are investigated in this paper, as depicted in Figure 1c,d. The structure of two outer stators is same exactly and there are neither PMs nor windings in the rotor, which improves the robustness of rotor. PMs of the opposite stator have reversed magnetizing director. The PM flux and armature-reaction flux together forms air-gap flux along the axial direction and the windings of stator 1 and stator 2 are in series or parallel each other.

2.2. Operating Principle

Figure 2 describes the switched-flux operating principles of the three-phase 12s/10p AFSFPM machine shown in Figure 1 based on the 2-D plane. When the rotor rotates from the position a in Figure 2a to position b in Figure 2b, the polarity of PM flux-linkage linking the armature windings A1 and A1' are reversed at two different position, respectively. For example, from Figure 2a to Figure 2b, the PM flux-linkage linked by the armature coils A1 diminished from maximum to minimum. Consequently, the polarity and amplitude of the PM flux-linkage linking the armature windings A1 and A1' alter periodically with the changing continuously rotor position and the switched-flux is realized.

Tooth

(d)



Figure 2. Operating principle of AFSFPM machine. (a) Position a; (b) Position b.

2.3. Key Dimensions

The electromagnetic power of AFSFPM machine is written as

$$P_e = \frac{m}{T} \int_0^T e(t)i(t)dt = \frac{m}{T} \int_0^T E_m \sin(\frac{2\pi}{T}t) \cdot I_m \sin(\frac{2\pi}{T}t)dt = \frac{m}{2} E_m I_m$$
(1)

where P_e is the electromagnetic power. *m* is the phase numbers. *T* is the electrical period. E_m is the amplitude of phase EMF. I_m is the amplitude of phase current.

The EMF e_m at no-load can be indicated as

$$e_m = -N_{coil}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}t} = -N_{coil}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}\theta_r}\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta_r}{\mathrm{d}t} = -N_{coil}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}\theta_r}\omega_r = -\frac{N_{coil}n\pi}{30}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}\theta_r}$$
(2)

where N_{coil} is the number of turn per phase, ω_r is the angular velocity, θ_r is the rotor position angle and φ is the flux, which can be defined as

$$\varphi = \phi_m \cos(P_r \theta_r) = \frac{k_d k_F B_{gmax} c_s \pi (D_{so}^2 - D_{si}^2)}{4P_s} \cos(P_r \theta_r)$$
(3)

where ϕ_m is the amplitude of the flux, P_r is the number of rotor poles, P_s is the number of stator slot, k_d is the leakage flux factor, k_F is the factor of air-gap flux density, B_{gmax} is the peak value of air-gap flux density, c_s is the area ratio of stator tooth and tooth-slot unit, D_{si} is the stator inner diameter, D_{so} is the stator outer diameter.

Substituting (3) into (2), the EMF can be rewritten as

$$e_m = -\frac{N_{coil}n\pi}{30}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}\theta_r} = \frac{P_r}{P_s} \times \frac{\pi^2 N_{coil}k_d k_F c_s n B_{g\max}(D_{so}^2 - D_{si}^2)}{120}\sin(P_r \theta_r) = E_m \sin(P_r \theta_r) \tag{4}$$

where

$$E_m = \frac{P_r}{P_s} \times \frac{\pi^2 N_{coil} k_d k_F c_s n B_{gmax} (D_{so}^2 - D_{si}^2)}{120}$$
(5)

The amplitude of phase current can be expressed as

$$I_m = \sqrt{2}I_{rms} = \sqrt{2}\frac{A_s \pi D_{si}}{2mN_{coil}} \tag{6}$$

where I_{rms} is the root-mean-square (RMS) phase current value and A_s is the maximum current density.

Substituting (6) and (5) into (1), the electromagnetic power can be expressed as

$$P_e = \frac{m}{2} E_m I_m = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^3}{240} \frac{P_r}{P_s} k_d k_F c_s n A_s B_{gmax} D_{si} (D_{so}^2 - D_{si}^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^3}{240} \frac{P_r}{P_s} k_d k_F c_s n A_s B_{gmax} \lambda (1 - \lambda^2) D_{so}^3$$
(7)

where λ is the diameter ratio of the machine, which is defined as

$$\lambda = D_{si}/D_{so} \tag{8}$$

By combining the above equations, the output power can be expressed as

/

$$P_{out} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^3}{240} \frac{P_r}{P_s} \eta k_d k_F c_s n A_s B_{gmax} \lambda (1 - \lambda^2) D_{so}^3 \tag{9}$$

where η is the efficiency at the motor shaft.

According to the Equation (9), the stator outer diameters can be gotten as

$$D_{so} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{240P_{out}P_s}{\sqrt{2}\pi^3 P_r \eta k_d k_F c_s n A_s B_{gmax} \lambda (1-\lambda^2)}}$$
(10)

Based on the above-mentioned analysis, when the output power and speed are given, the main dimensions of the AFSFPM machine can be obtained.

The key geometrical parameters in the AFSFPM machine are depicted in Figure 3. All angles are considered to be in the inner diameter of the stator. According to Equation (10), a three-phase 12s/10p AFSFPM machine is designed and these key original design parameters for a specification are tabulated in Table 1.



Figure 3. Geometrical parameters of AFSFPM machine.

Item	Original Parameter	Optimized Parameter
Slot/pole number	12/10	12/10
Rated output power (W)	600	600
Rated speed (r/min)	750	750
Rated current (A)	3.5	3.5
Turns per phase	180	184
Stator outer diameter (mm), D _{so}	150	150
Stator inner diameter (mm), D_{si}	82	82
Stator axial length (mm), l_s	20	16
Stator yoke thickness (mm), $h_{\rm ys}$	7	3
Rotor axial length (mm), l_r	17.5	17.5
Air-gap length (mm), g	1	1
Stator tooth width (°), β_t	7.5	7.5
Stator slot width (°), β_s	7.5	7.5
Stator magnet thickness (°), β_{PM}	7.5	7.5
Rotor pole width (°), β_r	7.5	12.5

In order to gain the maximum output power as well as minimum cogging torque, an optimal design as listed in Table 1 is obtained for this AFSFPM machine.

3. Electromagnetic Performance Analysis

Due to inherent 3-D magnetic flux path of the AFSFPM machine, 3-D FE method is used to calculate accurately the flux density distribution, the flux linkage and the EMF characteristics and to evaluate the performance of the AFSFPM machine. The 3-D FEA model of the AFSFPM machine, whose geometric parameters have been optimized based on the above-mentioned analysis, has been built as shown in Figure 4. Considering the influence of leakage flux on the accuracy of the finite element calculation results, the virtual air cover, which simulates the air environment, is added outside the FEA model.



Figure 4. 3-D finite element analysis (FEA) model of AFSFPM machine.

3.1. Magnetic Field Distribution

Based on the axial field switched-flux operating principle, there are four classical rotor positions for the AFSFPM machine in one electrical period, that is, rotor position angle θ_r is 0°, 9°, 18° and 27°. Considering the effect of the PM and armature reaction-field, the magnetic field characteristic of the AFSFPM machine is investigated. The open-circuit PM and the armature reaction-field distributions with PPPS structure, under the condition of the only PM and only armature current respectively at $\theta_r = 0^\circ$, are compared as depicted in Figure 5. It demonstrates that the path of the PM and armature reaction flux are in parallel.







Figure 5. Magnetic field distribution of PPPS structure at $\theta_r = 0^\circ$. (a) Armature reaction flux density (*i* = 3.50 A); (b) PM flux density at no-load (*i* = 0 A); (c) Flux-strengthening *i* = 1.75 A); (d) Flux-strengthening *i* = 3.50 A); (e) Flux-weakening (*i* = -1.75 A); (f) Flux-weakening (*i* = -3.50 A).

As seen in Figure 5a,b, the armature reaction flux density peak, under the forward armature current density of 3.50 A, only is about 0.182 T, which is much less than the peak of the PM flux density of 1.762 T at no-load with armature current density of 0 A. It is consistent with theoretical analysis. When the forward armature current density of 1.75 A and 3.50 A are injected to the armature windings respectively, the flux density distributions under combined effect of PM field and armature reaction-field are depicted in Figure 5c,d. As the increasing of the armature current, the air-gap flux density peak gets larger and the magnetic circuit is gradually saturated. When the reverse armature current density distributions are described in Figure 5e,f. Compared to the flux-weakening condition with the reverse armature current density, the air-gap flux density peak is larger under the flux-strengthening condition with the forward armature current density at any rotor position. The influence of the forward or reverse armature current density condition on the air-gap flux density is also relevant with the rotor position in a whole period and which is same as the traditional PMSM.

3.2. PM Flux-Linkage, Back-EMF, Inductance and Cogging Torque

The concentrated armature windings in stator 1 and stator 2 are in series. As depicted in Figure 6, the PM flux linkage, EMF, cogging torque and mutual-inductance and the self-inductance of PPPS and PPPT structures are calculated by 3-D FE method. Figure 6a describes the comparison results of the single-phase PM flux linkage of PPPS and PPPT structure. Figure 6b shows the comparison waveform of the single-phase EMF of PPPS and PPPT structure at rated speed of 750 r/min under no-load. The cogging torque waveforms of PPPS and PPPT structure are depicted in Figure 6c.



Figure 6. Electromagnetic characteristics. (a) PM flux linkage; (b) Electromotive force (EMF); (c) Cogging torque; (d) Inductance per turn of PPPS structure.

As seen from Figure 6a, the single-phase PM flux linkages of the machines with the PPPS and PPPT structures present sinusoidal distribution. The PM flux linkage amplitude of PPPT structure is 0.08 Wb, which is lower than that of the PPPS structure due to the different stator structure. The EMF waveform of PPPT structure is non-sinusoidal, which is different from the sinusoidal EMF of PPPS structure. The EMF amplitude of PPPT structure is 80 V, which is lower than PPPS structure, as depicted in Figure 6b. The aforementioned calculation results are accord with the theoretical analysis. As shown in Figure 6d, the cogging torque peak value of PPPS structure is about 0.95 N·m that 12.8% of the rating torque, while PPPT structure is about 1.42 N·m that 19.1% of the rating torque. Due to the flux-focusing effect caused by the axial doubly salient structure and very high flux density, the cogging torque of the AFSFPM machine is slightly high relative to the conventional PMSMs. However, the cogging torque can be reduced based on the optimization method in view of design and control. Figure 6d demonstrates the variation of self-inductance and mutual inductance of the armature winding of PPPS structure with the rotor position. The inductances are sinusoidal distribution and the mutual inductance is the half of self-inductance because of the switched-flux operating principle.

Based on the above-mentioned analysis, the AFSFPM machine with PPPS structure can be operated well in brushless AC mode. Hence, this paper chooses the machine with structure of PPPS as the object of further study.

4. Model Predictive Control

4.1. Discrete Model of AFSFPM Machine

As seen from Figure 6, the open circuit PM flux linkage, the back-EMF and the inductance of the AFSFPM machine are approximately sinusoidal. So, the AFSFPM machine is very suitable

for brushless AC operation. However, the PMs are located in the stator of the AFSFPM machine, which does not generate rotational magnetomotive force. Hence, the PMs in the stator are assumed in the rotor in this study and the rotor-flux-oriented control method is employed to control the AFSFPM machine, which called virtual stator-PM-flux-oriented in the rotor reference frame. Neglecting the influence of the temperature, iron saturation, eddy-current loss and magnetic hysteresis loss, the AFSFPM machine is analyzed based on the rotor-fixed *d-q* reference frame, as in Figure 7. According to the axial switched-flux principle, the three-phase PM flux linkage and back-EMF waveforms, the continuous-time models of the AFSFPM machine in the rotor-fixed *d-q* reference frame are described by (11) to (12).



Figure 7. Model of AFSFPM machine in *d*-*q* reference frame. (**a**) Define *d*- and *q*-axis; (**b**) Physical model in *d*-*q* reference frame.

Considering the current as state variable, the state-space equation of AFSFPM machine can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{di_d}{dt} \\ \frac{di_q}{dt} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{R_s}{L_d} & \omega_e \frac{L_q}{L_d} \\ -\omega_e \frac{L_d}{L_q} & -\frac{R_s}{L_q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_d \\ i_q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L_d} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{L_q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_d \\ u_q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega_e \frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_q} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

The electromagnetic torque equation is derived as

$$T_e = \frac{3}{2}pi_q[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d] = \frac{3}{2}pi_q[\psi_{pm} + L_d(1 - \rho)i_d]$$
(12)

where u_d , u_q , i_d , i_q are the *d*-, *q*-axis components of stator voltages and currents, respectively. R_s is the stator-phase resistance and ψ_{pm} is the PM flux by the PMs in stator. L_d , L_q are the *d*- and *q*-axis components of the synchronous inductance, respectively. ω_e is the electrical rotation velocity, respectively. T_e is the electromagnetic torque. *p* and ρ are the number of poles and the saliency ratio, respectively.

The Euler method was often used to obtain a simple discrete time model without introducing any unwanted additional nonlinear terms. Thus, the AFSFPM machine can be modeled in discrete time based on the Euler method, which can be gotten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_d(k+1)\\ i_q(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_d} & \omega_e T_s \frac{L_q}{L_d} \\ -\omega_e T_s \frac{L_d}{L_q} & 1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_d(k)\\ i_q(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_s}{L_d} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{T_s}{L_q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_d(k)\\ u_q(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -\omega_e T_s \frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_q} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

where T_s is the sampling period. i_d (k + 1), i_q (k + 1) are the d- and q-axis current predicted value at the k + 1 moment.

The Equation (13) can be written as state-space equation

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + C$$
(14)

where

where

$$x(k) = \begin{bmatrix} i_d(k) \\ i_q(k) \end{bmatrix}, u(k) = \begin{bmatrix} u_d(k) \\ u_q(k) \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_d} & \omega_e T_s \frac{L_q}{L_d} \\ -\omega_e T_s \frac{L_d}{L_q} & 1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_q} \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_s}{L_d} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{T_s}{L_q} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega_e T_s \frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_q} \end{bmatrix}$$

4.2. Cost Function

In this paper, the space vector pulse width modulation (SVPWM)-MPC method is used to study the operating performance of the AFSFPM machine drive system. For the SVPWM-MPC of the AFSFPM machine, the control goal can be prescribed by the cost function. Considering the accuracy for the reference current tracking and the current limitation, the cost function is defined as

$$H = (i_{dref} - i_d(k+1))^2 + (i_{qref} - i_q(k+1))^2 + g(i_d(k+1), i_q(k+1))$$
(15)

$$g(i_d(k+1), i_q(k+1)) = \begin{cases} \infty & |i_d| > i_{\lim} \text{ or } |i_q| > i_{\lim} \\ 0 & |i_d| \le i_{\lim} \text{ and } |i_q| \le i_{\lim} \end{cases}$$
(16)

In Equation (16), the first component is evaluation the difference between the reference current and predictive current and the second component is evaluation the current constraint condition.

In order to predict accurately the k + 1 moment current i_d (k + 1) and i_q (k + 1), substituting Equation (13) into (15) and setting the partial differentiation of (15) with respect to the u_d and u_q to zeros yield and the following equation can be obtained as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_d(k)} = 2\frac{T_s}{L_d}(i_d(k+1) - i_{dref}) = 0\\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_q(k)} = 2\frac{T_s}{L_q}(i_q(k+1) - i_{qref}) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(17)

According to Equation (17), the following equation is gotten as

$$\begin{cases} i_d(k+1) = i_{dref} \\ i_q(k+1) = i_{qref} \end{cases}$$
(18)

4.3. Current Predictive Model

Combining Equations (13) and (18), the stator reference voltage which produces required stator currents can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} u_d(k) = \frac{L_d}{T_s} i_{dref} - \left(\frac{L_d}{T_s} - R_s\right) i_d(k) - \omega_e L_q i_q(k) \\ u_q(k) = \frac{L_d}{T_s} i_{qref} - \left(\frac{L_q}{T_s} - R_s\right) i_q(k) + \omega_e L_d(k) i_d(k) + \omega \psi_{pm} \end{cases}$$
(19)

where i_{dref} , i_{qref} are the *d*-, *q*-axes reference current at the sampling step k + 1 respectively.

According to the current predictive model of equation (19), the stator voltages u_d (k) and u_q (k) at the k moment can be obtained. Substituting the u_d (k) and u_q (k) into the SVPWM algorithm, which generates the switching signal.

In general, the AFSFPM machines for EV/HEV applications are controlled frequently with maximum output torque and power mode. So, the maximum torque per ampere (MTPA) control strategy is adopted in constant toque region and the maximum output power flux-weakening control method is employed in constant power region, as shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Operation region of AFSFPM machine.

4.4.1. Constant Torque Region

In order to improve torque output capability of the AFSFPM machine, the MTPA strategy, which the torque and current ratio is largest for the same torque and speed, is adopted in constant torque region. It is necessary to identify the distribution principle of the optimum reference current by using the extended Lagrange method, as detailed below

$$L(i_d, i_q, \lambda) = i_d^2 + i_q^2 + \lambda \left\{ \frac{3}{2} p i_q [\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q) i_d] - T_e \right\}$$
(20)

where λ denotes the Lagrange multipliers.

Setting the partial differentiation of (20) with respect to the i_d , i_q and λ to zeros yield, the following equation can be obtained as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial i_d} = 2i_d + \frac{3}{2}\lambda p(L_d - L_q)i_q = 0\\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial i_q} = 2i_q + \frac{3}{2}\lambda p[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d] = 0\\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{3}{2}p[\psi_{pm}i_q + (L_d - L_q)i_di_q] - T_e = 0 \end{cases}$$
(21)

According to Equation (21), the reference current in constant torque region can be gotten as (Appendix A)

$$\begin{cases} i_{dref} = \frac{\psi_{pm} - \sqrt{4(L_q - L_d)^2 i_{qref}^2 + \psi_{pm}^2}}{2(L_q - L_d)}\\ i_{qref} = \left[\frac{4T_e^2 - 6p\psi_{pm}T_e i_{qref}}{9(L_q - L_d)^2 p^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{cases}$$
(22)

Substituting Equation (22) into (19), the stator voltages u_d (k) and u_q (k) can be gotten in the constant torque region.

4.4.2. Constant Power Region

The stator voltage and current limitation of AFSFPM machine are amplitude limit with a definite maximum value, which can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} u_d^2 + u_q^2 \le u_{smax}^2 = \left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 \\ i_d^2 + i_q^2 \le i_{smax}^2 \end{cases}$$
(23)

where i_{smax} , u_{smax} are the phase voltage and current amplitude, respectively. U_{dc} is the dc link voltage. The current limitation is a circle of radius i_{smax} and the center is the origin of coordinate. The voltage limit is an ellipse of half axes $a = \frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}L_d\omega_e}$ and $b = \frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}L_d\omega_e}$ and of center $(-\frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_d}, 0)$, as seen in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Flux-weakening control principle.

Ignoring the resistance voltage drops of the armature windings at steady-state operation in constant power region, Equation (11) is rewritten as

$$\begin{cases}
 u_d = -\omega_e L_q i_q \\
 u_q = \omega_e (\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d)
\end{cases}$$
(24)

Substituting Equation (24) to (23), the following equation can be obtained as

$$(\omega_e L_q i_q)^2 + (\omega_e L_d i_d + \omega_e \psi_{pm})^2 \le \left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 \tag{25}$$

We can still write Equation (25) as

$$\omega_e \le \frac{\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{\left(L_q i_q\right)^2 + \left(L_d i_d + \psi_{pm}\right)^2}}$$
(26)

According to Equations (12) and (26), when the maximum speed ω_e is achieved, the reference current in constant power region can be obtained as (Appendix B)

$$\begin{cases} i_{dref} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3\omega_e}}\right)^2 - (L_q i_{qref})^2} - \psi_{pm}}{2T_e^{L_d}} \\ i_{qref} = \frac{2T_e^{L_d}}{3p[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_{dref}]} \end{cases}$$
(27)

As described in Figure 9, when the operating point moves from A to C, the AFSFPM machine operates in constant power region I. The above-mentioned flux-weakening control strategy, so-called traditional flux-weakening control strategy, is adopted. From C to E or D, the machine operates in constant power region II. To broaden the range of speed-regulation and increase load capability, a maximum output power flux-weakening control (MOP-FWC) strategy for the AFSFPM machine is presented in constant power region II and the operating point moves from C to D.

The power equation of AFSFPM machine is expressed as

$$P_{e} = \frac{3}{2}p\omega_{e}i_{q}[\psi_{pm} + (L_{d} - L_{q})i_{d}]$$
(28)

Energies 2018, 11, 1859

Based on Equations (25) and (28), the Lagrange function with constant back-EMF in constant power region is constructed as

$$L = 1.5p\omega_e i_q [\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d] + \lambda \{ (\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}) - \omega_e \sqrt{(\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d)^2 + (L_q i_q)^2} \}$$
(29)

Setting the partial differentiation of (29) with respect to the i_d , i_q and λ to zeros yield, the following equation can be gotten as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial i_d} = \frac{3}{2} p \omega_e (L_d - L_q) i_q - \lambda \frac{\omega_e L_d (\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d)^2}{\sqrt{(\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d)^2 + (L_q i_q)^2}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial i_q} = \frac{3}{2} p \omega_e [\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q) i_d] - \lambda \frac{\omega_e L_q^2 i_q}{\sqrt{(\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d)^2 + (L_q i_q)^2}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}} - \omega_e \sqrt{(\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d)^2 + (L_q i_q)^2} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(30)

According to Equation (30), the reference current can be obtained as

$$\begin{cases} i_{dref} = -\frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_d} + \Delta i_d \\ i_{qref} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(U_{dc}/\sqrt{3}\omega_e\right)^2 - \left(L_d\Delta i_d\right)^2}}{L_q} \end{cases}$$
(31)

where

$$\Delta i_d = \frac{\rho \psi_{pm} \pm \sqrt{\rho^2 \psi_{pm}^2 + 8(\rho - 1)^2 \left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3\omega_e}}\right)^2}}{4(\rho - 1)L_d}$$
(32)

In order to study the operating characteristics of AFSFPM machine drive system in each operation region with different control strategies, on the basis of the above-mentioned analysis, the control system of AFSFPM machine under MPC and traditional PI control is established, as shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10a describes the control system of AFSFPM machine and two kind of control method of the traditional PI control and MPC are shown in Figure 10b. Based on the error current between the reference current and real current, the PI controllers are used to produce the reference voltage under the traditional PI control method. To achieve the MPC objective in each operating region of the AFSFPM machine, the different multi-objective cost functions, considering such as the MTPA strategy and torque in constant torque region and the MOP-FWC strategy as well as voltage limitation in constant power region, are designed. Multiple right weight coefficients are chosen and analyzed to optimize the control efficiency of MPC and the performance of control system.



(a)



(b)

Figure 10. Block diagram control system under model predictive control (MPC) and PI control. (**a**) Control system; (**b**) Control method.

5. Simulation and Experiment

5.1. Simulation Analysis

Based on MATLAB/Simulink, a simulation system is established and conducted to study the operating performance of an AFSFPM machine prototype and to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control scheme using the parameters of the experimental prototype shown in Table 2.

Parameters	Value
Table 2. Parameters of the proto	

Parameters	Value
Slot/pole	12/10
Rated power	600 W
Rated phase voltage	67 V
Rated phase current	3.5 A
Rated torque	7 N∙m
Stator resistance R_s	1.5Ω
<i>d</i> -axis inductance L_d	4 mH
q-axis inductance L_q	5 mH

Firstly, a reference speed of 750 r/min and a load of 2 N·m are given and the load is increased to 7 N·m at 0.6 s and decrease to 2 N·m at 1 s respectively. The given speed is increased to 950 r/min at



1.2 s and the simulation results adopting the MPC method are shown in Figure 11 and compared to the traditional PI control method.

Figure 11. Simulation results of AFSFPM machine. (**a**) Load; (**b**) Speed; (**c**) *d*-axis current under PI control; (**d**) *q*-axis current under PI control; (**e**) *d*-axis current under MPC; (**f**) *q*-axis current under MPC; (**g**) Torque-speed and power-speed envelopes.

The MTPA and flux-weakening strategies are employed in constant torque and constant power region, respectively. As seen from Figure 11, to accelerate the starting process, the torque is increased to the maximum value and the *q*-axis current is about 12.8 A. Because of the MTPA control, the *d*-axis current is -0.8 A. When the machine operates at 750 r/min steadily, the *d*-, *q*-axis currents are about -0.2 A and 1.35 A respectively. The speed has a ripple with the increasing or decreasing load and returns quickly to the given command after adjustment. The machine operates at the constant power region I after 1.2 s and the traditional flux-weakening strategy, namely constant back-EMF strategy is adopted. When the speed achieves 875 r/min, the MOP flux-weakening strategy is used to broaden the speed operation range. Due to the limitation of *d*-axis inductance and current, when the *d*-axis current is -5.2 A, the speed achieves the maximum of 950 r/min. The torque-speed and power-speed envelopes are depicted in Figure 11g.

To analyze and access the dynamic response and robustness, the different speed references and load steps are applied to the drive system and the load step is considered as a disturbance. It can be seen that the predictive current can track the reference current quickly and accurately and the starting time is shorter and the anti-load-disturbance capability is better under the proposed MPC method in comparison with the PI control method. The drive system of the AFSFPM machine has fast dynamic response ability and excellent robustness and the influences of the cogging torque and load-disturbance on the performance of drive system are reduced. The validity of the proposed control methods is verified.

5.2. Experimental Research

On the basis of the optimized parameters shown in Table 1, the prototype of the AFSFPM machine has been manufactured, as seen in Figure 12. The prototype contains double stators and single rotor.





Figure 12. Prototype of a 12/10 AFSFPM machine. (a) Stator 1; (b) Stator 2; (c) Rotor; (d) Assembled prototype.

As shown in Figure 13, the experimental platform for the AFSFPM machine based on the dSPACE 1103 is designed and established and the proposed control algorithm and the performance of AFSFPM machine have been tested and verified.



Figure 13. Experimental setup of AFSFPM machine control system.

On the basis of the experimental platform shown in Figure 13, the EMF and cogging torque of the AFSFPM machine are measured and analyzed and the results are exhibited in Figure 14.



Figure 14. Measured results of AFSFPM machine. (a) EMF; (b) Cogging torque.

As seen from Figure 13a, three-phase EMF are sinusoidal distribution and symmetrical and the amplitude under no-load at rated speed of 750 r/min is about 82 V, which is lower than the FE calculation due to the end effect. As shown in Figure 13b, because of the flux-focusing and machining accuracy, the real cogging torque of 1.15 N·m is larger than the FE calculation value of 0.95 N·m. The measured results basically match up with the theoretical analysis and FE calculation. Therefore, the AFSFPM machine is very suitable for brushless AC operation.

The experimental results of the dynamic characteristics with the MPC and PI method in the whole speed operating region are described in Figure 15. With a given reference speed of 600 r/min with no-load, the load increases and decreases by 6 N·m at 4 s and 6 s, respectively. At 8 s, the speed is increased to 950 r/min.





Figure 15. Experimental results of AFSFPM machine. (**a**) Speed; (**b**) *q*-axis current; (**c**) *d*-axis current; (**d**) Measured torque-speed and power-speed envelopes.

As can be seen from Figure 15, the MTPA strategy is employed at the starting and when the machine operates at steady-condition, the i_d and i_q are about -0.2 A and 1.5 A respectively due to the cogging torque and axle friction. The starting time of 0.75 s is shorter and the speed ripple is smaller with the MPC method than under the PI method. There is a speed fluctuation under the increasing or decreasing load but the speed fast returns to the reference speed command after adjustment and the recovery time is shorter and anti-load disturbance capability is better by using the MPC method than the PI method. After 8.35 s, the machine operates at constant power region over the rated speed of 750 r/min and the constant back-EMF flux-weakening strategy is adopted. When the speed achieves 875 r/min, the MOP flux-weakening strategy is used to broaden the operating range. The maximum speed achieves 950 r/min under the MOP flux-weakening strategy, which is larger than 920 r/min under the traditional flux-weakening strategy with the same load. Figure 15d shows the torque-speed and power-speed envelopes under two different flux-weakening strategies of the traditional and MOP strategies. Compared to under the traditional strategy, the load capacity is improved and the speed-regulation range is broadened by using the MOP strategy. Under the same load and speed, the copper loss of the AFSFPM is reduced by adopted the MTPA and MOP strategies and the efficiency is improved.

According to the above-mentioned research, the experimental results are consistent with the theoretical calculation and simulation calculations. The operating performance of the AFSFPM machine and the effectiveness of the proposed control methods are verified. The operating characteristics of the AFSFPM machine including the cogging torque, power/torque density as well as speed-regulation range will be further optimized and the results will be reported in future paper.

6. Conclusions

This paper investigated a three-phase 12/10 AFSFPM machine with dual-stator-single-rotor structure including optimization design, analysis and control, which is interesting for EV/HEV applications. The operating principle and design procedure of the AFSFPM machine were analyzed in detailed and the electromagnetic characteristics were studied by 3-D FE method. A prototype has been manufactured and tested and the measured EMF and cogging torque are consistent with the predicted results using FE method, which is suitable for brushless AC operation. Based on the virtual stator-PM-flux-oriented control, the operating performance of the AFSFPM machine was studied in the entire speed operating region. It can be concluded that the MTPA strategy takes advantage of the reluctance torque and maximizes the load capability and the MOP flux-weakening strategy broadens the operating range of constant power operation region. Furthermore, the anti-load disturbance capability and dynamic response are improved by using the MPC method. The feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed control method and the performance of the AFSFPM machine were verified by experimental results. The results show the drive system of the AFSFPM machine can

provide high power/torque density, excellent load capacity, fast dynamic response, good tracking capability and strong robustness. The key conclusion from this research is that the AFSFPM machine has lot of potential to be useful for many traction system applications such as EV or HEV.

Author Contributions: All authors have contributed to this work. J.Z. presented of the idea of the research, finished the machine design and experiment. X.Q. and M.J. helped to finish the experiments. The readability of the manuscript was improved by M.L. and N.L. All authors revised and approved the publication.

Funding: National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 50977010.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 51707156, the Nature Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China under Grant 2017JQ5077 and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant 2018M633645XB.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial i_d} = 2i_d + \frac{3}{2}\lambda p(L_d - L_q)i_q = 0 \quad (A1.1) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial i_q} = 2i_q + \frac{3}{2}\lambda p[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d] = 0 \quad (A1.2) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{3}{2}p[\psi_{pm}i_q + (L_d - L_q)i_di_q] - T_e = 0 \quad (A1.3) \end{cases}$$

According to Equation (A1), the following equation can be obtained as

$$\begin{cases}
-\frac{3}{4}\lambda p = \frac{(L_d - L_q)i_q}{i_d} \quad (A2.1) \\
-\frac{3}{4}\lambda p = \frac{[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d]}{i_q} \quad (A2.2) \\
\frac{3}{2}p[\psi_{pm}i_q + (L_d - L_q)i_di_q] - T_e = 0 \quad (A2.3)
\end{cases}$$
(A2)

Substituting (A2.2) into (A2.1), the following equation can be gotten as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{(L_d - L_q)i_q}{i_d} = \frac{[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d]}{i_q} & (A3.1) \\
\frac{3}{2}p[\psi_{pm}i_q + (L_d - L_q)i_di_q] - T_e = 0 & (A3.2)
\end{cases}$$
(A3)

$$\begin{cases} i_d = \frac{\psi_{pm} - \sqrt{\psi_{pm}^2 + 4(L_q - L_d)^2 i_q^2}}{2(L_q - L_d)} & (A4.1) \\ T_e = \frac{3}{2} p i_q [\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q) i_d] & (A4.2) \end{cases}$$

Substituting (A4.1) into (A4.2), the reference current is obtained as

$$\begin{cases} i_{dref} = \frac{\psi_{pm} - \sqrt{4(L_q - L_d)^2 i_{qref}^2 + \psi_{pm}^2}}{2(L_q - L_d)} & (A5.1) \\ i_{qref} = \left[\frac{4T_e^2 - 6p\psi_{pm}T_e i_{qref}}{9(L_q - L_d)^2 p^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} & (A5.2) \end{cases}$$

Equation (A5.2) is a quartic equation with respect to i_{qref} and the newton iteration method is used to solve Equation (A5.2). According to the different T_e , the i_{qref} can be obtained off-line. Finally, we created a table about the relationship between the T_e and i_{qref} and the table is used to search the appropriate i_{qref} on-line base on the different reference torque T_e . Substituting the i_{qref} into the Equation (A5.2), the i_{dref} can be gotten.

Appendix **B**

The stator voltage equation of the AFSFPM machine is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} u_d = R_s i_d + L_d \frac{di_d}{dt} - \omega_e L_q i_q \\ u_q = R_s i_q + L_q \frac{di_q}{dt} + \omega_e(\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d) \end{cases}$$
(A6)

Under the steady-state operating condition, Equation (A6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} u_d = R_s i_d - \omega_e L_q i_q \\ u_q = R_s i_q + \omega_e (\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d) \end{cases}$$
(A7)

Ignoring the resistance voltage drops of the armature windings in constant power region, Equation (A7) is described as

$$\begin{cases} u_d = -\omega_e L_q i_q \\ u_q = \omega_e (\psi_{pm} + L_d i_d) \end{cases}$$
(A8)

The stator voltage limitation of AFSFPM machine can be expressed as

$$u_d^2 + u_q^2 \le u_{smax}^2 = \left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2$$
 (A9)

Substituting Equation (A8) to (A9), the following equation can be obtained as

$$(\omega_e L_q i_q)^2 + (\omega_e L_d i_d + \omega_e \psi_{pm})^2 \le \left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 \tag{A10}$$

We can still write Equation (A10) as

$$\omega_e \le \frac{\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{(L_q i_q)^2 + (L_d i_d + \psi_{pm})^2}}$$
(A11)

When the maximum speed ω_e is achieved, Equation (A11) can be described as

$$\sqrt{(L_q i_q)^2 + (L_d i_d + \psi_{pm})^2} = \frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3\omega_e}}$$
(A12)

The electromagnet torque equation of the AFSFPM machine is expressed as

$$T_e = \frac{3}{2}pi_q[\psi_{pm} + (L_d - L_q)i_d] = \frac{3}{2}pi_q[\psi_{pm} + L_d(1 - \rho)i_d]$$
(A13)

According to Equations (A12) and (A13), the reference current in constant power region can be obtained as

$$\begin{cases} i_{dref} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3\omega_e}}\right)^2 - \left(L_q i_{qref}\right)^2} - \psi_{pm}}{i_{qref}} \\ i_{qref} = \frac{2T_e}{3p[\psi_{pm} + \left(L_d - L_q\right)i_{dref}]} \end{cases}$$
(A14)

Equation (A14) presents the relationship between the i_{dref} and i_{qref} . In this paper, a simplified constant back-EMF flux-weakening control strategy is adopted as follows.

Because the i_d is very small under the rated operating condition, the approximate *q*-axis rated voltage u_{qN} based Equation (A8) can be expressed as

$$u_{q_N} = \omega_{eN} \psi_{pm} \tag{A15}$$

where ω_{eN} is the rated synchronous electrical rotation speed.

In order to obtain the maximum speed in constant power region, we consider that Equation (A8) is approximate equal to Equation (A15) ($u_q = u_{qN}$) and the following equation can be obtained as

$$\omega_{eN}\psi_{pm} = \omega_e(L_d i_d + \psi_{pm}) \tag{A16}$$

According to Equation (A16), the *d*-axis reference current can be gotten as

$$i_{dref} = \frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_d} (\frac{\omega_{eN}}{\omega_e} - 1)$$
(A17)

Substituting Equation (A17) into (A13), the *q*-axis reference current can be obtained as

$$i_{qref} = \frac{2T_e L_d \omega_e}{3p\psi_{pm}[\omega_{eN}(L_d - L_q) + L_q \omega_e]}$$
(A18)

So, the reference current in constant power region can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} i_{dref} = \frac{\psi_{pm}}{L_d} \left(\frac{\omega_{eN}}{\omega_e} - 1 \right) \\ i_{qref} = \frac{2T_e L_d \omega_e}{3p \psi_{pm} [\omega_{eN} (L_d - L_q) + L_q \omega_e]} \end{cases}$$
(A19)

References

- 1. Chan, T.F.; Lai, L.L. An axial-flux permanent-magnet synchronous generator for a direct-coupled wind-turbine system. *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* **2007**, *22*, 86–94. [CrossRef]
- Marignetti, F.; Colli, V.D.; Coia, Y. Design of axial flux PM synchronous machines through 3-D coupled electromagnetic thermal and fluid-dynamical finite-element analysis. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2008, 55, 3591–3601. [CrossRef]
- 3. Capponi, F.G.; De Donato, G.; Caricchi, F. Recent advances in axial-flux permanent-magnet machine technology. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **2012**, *48*, 2190–2205. [CrossRef]
- De Bisschop, J.; Sergeant, P.; Hemeida, A.; Vansompel, H.; Dupré, L. Analytical model for combined study of magnet demagnetization and eccentricity defects in axial flux permanent magnet synchronous machines. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 2017, 53, 8107712. [CrossRef]
- Hemeida, A.; Taha, M.; Abdallh, A.A.E.; Vansompel, H.; Dupré, L.; Sergeant, P. Applicability of fractional slot axial flux permanent magnet synchronous machines in the field weakening region. *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 2017, 32, 111–121. [CrossRef]
- Ogidi, O.O.; Barendse, P.S.; Khan, M.A. Influence of rotor topologies and cogging torque minimization techniques in the detection of static eccentricities in axial-flux permanent-magnet machine. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 2017, 53, 161–170. [CrossRef]
- Kappatou, J.C.; Zalokostas, G.D.; Spyratos, D.A. 3-D FEM Analysis, Prototyping and Tests of an Axial Flux Permanent-Magnet Wind Generator. *Energies* 2017, 10, 1269. [CrossRef]
- Hoang, E.; Ben-Ahmed, A.H.; Lucidarme, J. Switching flux permanent magnet polyphased synchronous machines. In Proceedings of the 7th Europe Conference Power Electronics and Applications, Trondheim, Norway, 8–10 September 1997; pp. 903–908.
- Zhu, Z.Q.; Chen, J.T. Advanced switched-flux permanent magnet brushless machines. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 2010, 46, 1447–1453. [CrossRef]
- Yu, F.; Cheng, M.; Chau, K.T.; Li, F. Control and Performance Evaluation of Multiphase FSPM Motor in Low-Speed Region for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *Energies* 2015, *8*, 10335–10353. [CrossRef]
- Dupas, A.; Hlioui, S.; Hoang, E.; Gabsi, M.; Lecrivain, M. Investigation of a new topology of hybrid-excited switched-flux machine with static global winding: experiments and modeling. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 2016, 52, 1413–1421.
- 12. Wu, Z.Z.; Zhu, Z.Q. Analysis of magnetic gearing effect in partitioned stator switched flux PM machines. *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* **2016**, *31*, 1239–1249. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, X.; Shu, Z.; Quan, L.; Xiang, Z.; Pan, X. Multi-objective optimization of an outer-rotor V-shaped permanent magnet flux switching motor based on multi-level design method. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 2016, 52, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hua, W.; Zhang, H.; Cheng, M.; Meng, J.; Hou, C. An outer-rotor switched-flux permanent-magnet machine with wedge-shaped magnets for in-wheel light traction. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2017**, *64*, 69–80. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhao, J.; Zheng, Y.; Zhu, C.; Liu, X.; Li, B. A Novel Modular-Stator Outer-Rotor Flux-Switching Permanent-Magnet Motor. *Energies* 2017, *10*, 937. [CrossRef]

- 16. Hao, L.; Lin, M.; Li, W.; Luo, H.; Fu, X.; Jing, P. Novel dual-rotor axial field switched-flux permanent magnet machine. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2012**, *48*, 4232–4235. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hao, L.; Lin, M.; Xu, D.; Fu, X.; Zhang, W. Static Characteristics of a Novel Axial Field Switched-flux Permanent Magnet Motor with Three Stator Structures. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2014**, *50*, 4002604. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zhang, W.; Lin, M.; Xu, D.; Fu, X.; Hao, L. Novel fault-tolerant design of axial field switched-flux permanent magnet machine. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **2014**, *24*, 0503804.
- 19. Zhang, W.; Liang, X.; Lin, M.; Hao, L.; Li, N. Design and analysis of novel hybrid-excited axial field switched-flux permanent magnet machines. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **2016**, *26*, 1–5.
- 20. Zhao, W.; Lipo, T. A.; Kwon, B.I. A novel dual-rotor, axial field, fault-tolerant switched-flux permanent magnet machine with high-torque performance. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2015**, *51*, 7112204. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kim, J.H.; Li, Y.; Sarlioglu, B. Novel six-slot four-pole axial switched-flux permanent magnet machine for electric vehicle. *IEEE Trans. Transp. Electrification* **2017**, *3*, 108–117. [CrossRef]
- 22. Syed, Q.A.S.; Kurtović, H.; Hahn, I. New single-phase flux switching axial flux Permanent magnet motor. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2017**, *53*, 8209505. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhao, J.; Lin, M.; Xu, D.; Hao, L.; Zhang, W. Vector control of a hybrid axial field switched-flux permanent magnet machine based on particle swarm optimization. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2015**, *51*, 1–4.
- 24. Hasanien, H.M.; Abd-Rabou, A.S.; Sakr, S.M. Design optimization of transverse flux linear motor for weight reduction and performance improvement using response surface methodology and genetic algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* **2010**, *25*, 598–605. [CrossRef]
- Jędryczka, C.; Knypiński, Ł.; Demenko, A.; Sykulski, J.K. Methodology for Cage Shape Optimization of a Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Under Line Start Conditions. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 2018, 54, 8102304. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lei, G.; Xu, W.; Hu, J.F.; Zhu, J.G.; Guo, Y.G.; Shao, K.R. Multilevel design optimization of a FSPMM drive system by using sequential subspace optimization method. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 2014, *50*, 7016904. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ma, B.; Lei, G.; Zhu, J.; Guo, Y.; Liu, C. Application-Oriented Robust Design Optimization Method for Batch Production of Permanent-Magnet Motors. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2018**, *65*, 1728–1739. [CrossRef]
- 28. Lei, G.; Zhu, J.G.; Guo, Y.G.; Liu, C.C.; Ma, B. A Review of Design Optimization Methods for Electrical Machines. *Energies* **2017**, *10*, 1962. [CrossRef]
- Morel, F.; Lin-Shi, X.; Retif, J.M.; Allard, B.; Buttay, C. A comparative study of predictive current control schemes for a permanent-magnet synchronous machine drive. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2009, 56, 2715–2728. [CrossRef]
- 30. Formentini, A.; Trentin, A.; Marchesoni, M.; Zanchetta, P.; Wheeler, P. Speed finite control set model predictive control of a PMSM fed by matrix converter. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2015**, *62*, 6786–6796. [CrossRef]
- 31. Siami, M.; Arab Khaburi, D.; Rodriguez, J. Simplified finite control set-model predictive control for matrix converter-fed PMSM drives. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2018**, *33*, 2438–2446. [CrossRef]
- 32. Siami, M.; Khaburi, D.A.; Rodríguez, J. Torque ripple reduction of predictive torque control for PMSM drives with parameter mismatch. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2017**, *32*, 7160–7168. [CrossRef]
- Wang, W.; Zhang, J.; Cheng, M. Common model predictive control for permanent-magnet synchronous machine drives considering single-phase open-circuit fault. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2017, 32, 5862–5872. [CrossRef]
- Hao, L.; Lin, M.; Zhao, X.; Fu, X.; Zhu, Z.Q.; Jin, P. Static characteristics analysis and experimental study of a novel axial field switched-flux permanent magnet generator. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 2012, 48, 4212–4215. [CrossRef]
- 35. Hao, L.; Lin, M.; Xu, D.; Li, N.; Zhang, W. Cogging torque reduction of axial-field switched-flux permanent magnet machine by rotor tooth notching. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2015**, *51*, 1–4.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).