Multi-scale (FE²) analysis of material failure in cement/aggregate-type composite structures
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ABSTRACT: The work proposes a FE² multiscale approach to computational modeling of material failure in concrete-like structures, made of cement/aggregate-type composite materials. Keeping the approach in a classical homogenization setting, a multiscale model is proposed, which naturally provides a microscopic length-scale to be exported to the macrostructure. There, this length scale is used as regularization parameter in the context of the Continuum Strong Discontinuity Approach to material failure, and finite elements with embedded strong discontinuities (E-FEM). The resulting technique allows robust modeling of crack propagation at the structural scale, accounting for the mesostructure morphology, supplies proper energy dissipation and solutions independent of the finite element and RVE sizes. Application to a number of examples, in the range from light-aggregate concrete to regular concrete, shows the potentiality of the method.

1 INTRODUCTION

Two-scale computational modeling of materials is a subject of increasing interest in computational mechanics. When dealing with materials displaying a spatially smooth behavior there is wide consensus, and some suitable mechanical approaches to the problem are available in the literature. For instance, the so-called FE² methods, based on the hierarchical, bottom-up one-way coupled, description of the material using the finite element method in both scales, and computational homogenization procedures at the low scale, is nowadays one of the most popular approaches. At the heart of the direct computational homogenization procedure lies the notion of representative volume element (RVE) defined as the smallest possible region representative of the whole heterogeneous media on average.

Alternatively, two-scale computational modeling for material failure analysis is more controversial, and exhibits additional complexity. Either if discrete approaches (based on non-linear softening cohesive models), or continuum approaches (strain localisation-based or regularized models) are used at the lower scale, the kinematic description of some, or both, scales cannot be considered smooth anymore, and the existence of the RVE can be questioned arguing that, in this case, the material loses the statistical homogeneity. A crucial consequence of this issue is the lack of objectivity of the results with respect to the size of the RVE. In (Nguyen et al., 2010) a recent attempt to overcome this flaw, for regularized non-local models, can be found.

This work is an attempt to address this issue in the setting of the Continuum Strong Discontinuity Approach (CSDA) to material failure, developed by the authors in the past (Oliver, 1995, Oliver et al., 2002). The essentials of the method are:

1) At the macroscopic level, material failure is captured via strain-localization and finite elements with embedded regularized strong discontinuities.

2) The microstructure of the smooth-strain part of the body is represented by a classical RVE, whose size is associated to standard statistic representativeness concepts.

3) A failure-cell at the microscopic scale, with the same size and topological properties than the RVE is associated to material points at the strain-localizing part of the microstructure. This failure-cell is enriched with appropriated material failure mechanisms with, apparently, no restriction on their type. Though, for the sake of simplicity, cohesive-bands with a predefined position have been used in this work, there is no “a priori” limitation on using more sophisticated material failure mechanisms, e.g. arbitrarily propagating cracks or strong discontinuities (Oliver, 1995, Armero and Garikipati, 1996,
Alfaiate et al., 2003). In contrast, this failure-cell is not claimed to be a RVE, in the sense of being statistically representative of any part of the macrostructure, although standard homogenization procedures are applied to it.

4) It is proven that homogenization of the RVE and failure-cell returns a macroscopic constitutive model (stress vs. strain) with the same format than classical inelastic-strain-based phenomenological models. A set of macroscopic inelastic-strain-like internal variables emerge naturally, whose evolution equation is ruled by the activation of material non-linearities and failure mechanisms at the failure-cell. In addition, an internal-length arises from that homogenization procedure, and it is naturally determined by the size of the chosen RVE and the amount of activated material failure mechanisms at the microstructure. This internal length is of the same order then the RVE and determines the bandwidth of the macroscopic regularized strain-localization or displacement-discontinuity bands.

5) Based on this internal length, imported from the microstructure, the macrostructure is equipped with finite elements with embedded regularized strain-localization or displacement-discontinuities. Through this method, complete insensitivity of the structural response, with respect to the RVE size, and the macroscopic and microscopic finite element meshes is achieved, and material failure properties, like the fracture energy, are consistently up-scaled.

The mechanical derivation of the model is done in section 2, in section 3 the numerical aspects are presented and, in section 4, representative simulations are presented. Finally, section 5 is devoted to some concluding remarks.

2 MULTISCALE MODEL FOR FRACTURE

Let us consider the body \( B \), in Figure 1. At the macroscopic scale, the body is considered to exhibit strain-localization bands, with typical bandwidth \( h \) (very small in comparison with the dimensions of the macrostructure \( L \), \( h \ll L \)), which, in turn, are \( h \)-regularizations of the discontinuities (cracks) observed at the macroscopic scale.

2.1 Microscopic scale: RVE/failure cell

We assume microstructures characterized by a RVE/failure-cell like that shown in Figure 2, having cohesive bands, \( B_{\mu,k} \), of very small thickness \( (k \ll h_\mu) \) where the dissipative processes can take place and strain localizes.

2.1.1 Kinematics: microscopic strain field

Without loss of generality, we are considering cohesive bands of constant width \( k \). Thus, we define the band length \( meas(s_\mu^* ) = B_{\mu,k} / k \). Then, we assume that: \( meas(B_{\mu,k}) \ll meas(B_\mu) \). In \( B_\mu \), we define the microscopic displacement:

\[
\mathbf{u}_m(y) = \mathbf{u}(x) + \varepsilon(x) \cdot \mathbf{y} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(y)
\]

where, \( \mathbf{u}(x) \) and \( \varepsilon(x) \) are, respectively, the macroscopic displacement and strain of the point \( x \) in \( B \), respectively, and \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m \) is the microscopic displacement fluctuation that, for the cohesive bands is defined, in a local coordinate system \( (\xi, \eta) \) aligned with the band \( B_{\mu,k} \) (see Figure 2), as follows:

\[
\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\xi, \eta) + H_\mu^\varepsilon(\xi) \beta(\eta)
\]
In equation (2), the displacement \( \hat{u} \) is a smooth field (see Figure 3) whereas the second term of the RHS is the k-regularized (k-ramp) function \( H_{s}(\xi(y)) \) given by the expressions:

\[
H_{s}(\xi(y)) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \forall \ y \in (B_{\mu} \setminus B_{\mu, k})^{-} \\
1 & \forall \ y \in (B_{\mu} \setminus B_{\mu, k})^{+} \\
\xi \quad & \forall \ y \in B_{\mu, k} 
\end{cases}
\tag{3}
\]

where, \( \beta(\eta) \) is the displacement jump across the cohesive band. A sketch of the displacement fluctuation field along \( \xi \) is displayed in Figure 3.

From equation (1), the micro strain \( \varepsilon_{\mu} \), assuming infinitesimal strain settings can be obtained as follows:

\[
\varepsilon_{\mu}(y) = \nabla \hat{u}_{\mu} = \varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon_{\mu}(y) = \varepsilon(x) + \nabla \hat{u}_{\mu}(y) \tag{4}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the micro strain fluctuation and superscript \( (\cdot)^{\mathbb{S}} \) denotes the symmetric part of the corresponding tensor.

### 2.1.2 Microscopic constitutive model

The microstructure is considered constituted of a hardening material outside the cohesive bands, whereas this material exhibits strain-softening inside the bands. Then, the stress-strain relationship, in rate form, is given by:

\[
\dot{\sigma}_{\mu}(y) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbf{C}^{\text{hard}}_{\mu} : \varepsilon_{\mu}(y); & \forall \ y \in B_{\mu} \setminus B_{\mu, k} \quad (a) \\
\mathbf{C}^{\text{soft}}_{\mu} : \varepsilon_{\mu}(y); & \forall \ y \in B_{\mu, k} \quad (b) 
\end{cases}
\tag{5}
\]

where \( \sigma_{\mu} \) are the micro-stresses, and \( \mathbf{C}^{\text{hard}}_{\mu} \) and \( \mathbf{C}^{\text{soft}}_{\mu} \) are the microscopic tangent constitutive operators corresponding with the (rate) constitutive behavior at domains \( B_{\mu} \setminus B_{\mu, k} \) and \( B_{\mu, k} \), respectively (see Figure 4). At \( B_{\mu} \setminus B_{\mu, k} \), \( \mathbf{C}^{\text{hard}}_{\mu} \) corresponds with a strain-hardening constitutive behavior, so that no strain localization can take place. At \( B_{\mu, k} \), \( \mathbf{C}^{\text{soft}}_{\mu} \) defines a strain-softening model which gives raise to strain localization. From the Continuum Strong Dis-

---

1 From now on, and with some abuse of the notation, we will denote with the same symbol the domain and its measure, i.e.: \( \text{meas}(B_{\mu}) \equiv B_{\mu} \).
Then, all micro displacement fluctuations: \( \mathbf{u}_m \in V_m \) should be compatible with micro strain fluctuations satisfying the homogeneity condition.

### 2.2.2 Hill-Mandel variational principle

The Hill-Mandel principle equating the microscopic point internal power and the average value of the microscopic internal power reads

\[
\mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{s} (\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{B_m} \int_{\Omega_m} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{s} (\mathbf{x}) d \Omega_m = \frac{1}{B_m} \int_{\Omega_m} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) : (\mathbf{s} (\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \mathbf{u}_m) d \Omega_m \quad (10)
\]

\[
\forall \mathbf{s} (\mathbf{x}) , \mathbf{u}_m \in V_m.
\]

Replacement of the appropriate values of \( \mathbf{s} , \mathbf{u}_m \) in equation (9) returns the classical strain homogenization principle

\[
\mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{B_m} \int_{\Omega_m} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) d \Omega_m \quad (11)
\]

which supplies the homogenized macro stresses, \( \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) \), and the RVE virtual work principle (de Souza Neto and Feijóo, 2006)

\[
\int_{\Omega_m} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) : (\mathbf{s} (\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \mathbf{u}_m) d \Omega_m = 0 \quad (12)
\]

\[
\forall (\mathbf{s} (\mathbf{x}) , \mathbf{u}_m) \in V_m
\]

Equation (12) provides the solution for the micro-fluctuation field \( \mathbf{u}_m \).

### 2.3 Macroscopic scale: homogenized constitutive model

Substitution of the solution \( \mathbf{u}_m (y, \xi) \) of equation (12) into equation (11) yields the macroscopic constitutive equation, whose rate form reads

\[
\dot{\mathbf{S}} (\mathbf{e}) = \frac{1}{B_m} \int_{\Omega_m} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{e} + \nabla \mathbf{u}_m (y, \xi) d \Omega_m \quad (13)
\]

Then, algebraic elaboration on equation (13), considering equations (4) and (5), yields the following macroscopic homogenized constitutive model

\[
\dot{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{e} \quad (14)
\]

where \( \mathbf{C} \) is the macroscopic homogenized value of the hardening constitutive operator \( \mathbf{C}^{hard} \) (de Souza Neto and Feijóo, 2006).

In equation (14) \( \mathbf{e} \) are macroscopic internal variables, playing the role of inelastic strains, whose evolution is described in terms of the micro-structural variables as

\[
\mathbf{e} (t) = \frac{1}{l_m} \mathbf{\zeta} = \frac{1}{l_m} \left( \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{\beta} \right)_{S_i} (15)
\]

i.e.: in terms of the activated failure mechanism and the band opening process at the microscale. In equation (15) notation \( \cdot , \dot{\cdot} \) stands for the mean value of \( (\cdot) \) along the microscopic activated failure mechanism, i.e.:

\[
\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{\zeta} = \frac{1}{S_i} \int_{S_i} (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{\beta})_{S_i} d S_i
\]

In addition, the evolution equation (15) incorporates the macroscale length \( l_m \), defined as:

\[
l_m = \frac{B_m}{S_i} = \mathcal{O} (h_n)
\]

In summary, in the context of the description of complex materials equipped with morphological descriptors (Oliver et al., 2012, Huespe et al., 2013) equations (14) to (17) retrieve a constitutive equation equipped with an internal length and with internal variables described by the microstructure behavior.

### 3 NUMERICAL ASPECTS. FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

The multiscale formulation described above is implemented by means of a finite element model following the outlines given by the FE\(^2\) technology (Özdemir et al., 2008). Accordingly, two finite element models are used, one for the macroscopic structure and another for the microstructure through a discretized RVE/failure-cell.

#### 3.1 RVE/failure-cell finite element model

Standard quadrilateral finite elements are adopted for the numerical simulation of the RVE/failure-cell model. The cohesive bands are modeled by quads of very small thickness \( (k \ll h_n) \) as shown in Figure 5. They are equipped with the constitutive models defined in Figure 4 and equation (5), so that only elements on the cohesive band can exhibit strain localization. The model is solved according with the RVE virtual work principle in equation (12).

Notice that the driving force (external action) for the equation solution is the macroscopic strain \( \mathbf{e} \) and no external force is explicitly applied.
The corresponding non-linear problem in the RVE/failure-cell is then solved for the micro-displacements fluctuation discretized as

\[ \tilde{u}_\kappa(y, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} N_i(y) d_{i, \mu}(\varepsilon) \]  

where \( N_i(y) \) are the standard interpolation functions for quadrilaterals and \( d_{i, \mu} \) are the nodal values. Dirichlet boundary conditions precluding the rigid body motions and, in addition, the minimal boundary restriction in equations (9) are also imposed.

Then, material failure propagates naturally, as the softening behavior and, therefore, strain localization, is incepted in the finite elements defining the cohesive bands. At every time step of the analysis, those elements who are in in-loading state, define the active set of cohesive bands \( B_{p,k} \) (see Figure 5) or the active failure mechanism.

3.2 Finite element model at the macro-structure. Material failure propagation.

At the macroscopic scale, propagation of material failure is modeled using the crack path field and strain injection techniques recently developed by the authors (Oliver et al., 2014). They are based on using goal oriented assumed-strain fields injected in selected domains, via mixed formulations.

- In a first injection stage, embedded localization bands, of bandwidth \( l_m \), are incrementally injected (prior to development of displacement discontinuities). At a given subdomain of the macro-structure, \( B_{loc} \) (see Figure 6) were both the material discontinuous bifurcation (William and Sobh, 1987) an the in-loading conditions are fulfilled.

- In a second injection stage, the obtained crack path field, \( S \) (see Figure 6) is used to determine the correct position of an elemental embedded strong-discontinuity strain field, which is incrementally injected in the set of elements, \( B_{dis} \) (see Figure 6).

The resulting procedure is a robust and efficient technique to model propagating material failure in a finite element discretized body. Its intra-elemental character (one-element bandwidth band captures the regularized strain-localization) makes it especially appropriate for capturing material failure propagation in coarse meshes, in contrastion of the alternative extra elemental character techniques (e.g. phase field models) for capturing propagating material failure, where several elements have to span the localization band, this leading to, sometimes un-affordably fine finite element meshes (B. Bourdin et al., 2000, Miehe et al., 2010). In addition, its implementation in an existing finite element code has a little invasive character.

4. REPRESENTATIVE SIMULATIONS. TWO-SCALE MODELING OF FAILURE PROPAGATION IN A CONCRETE-LIKE MATERIAL.

In order to explore the potential of the proposed formulation, numerical experimentation is performed on the basis of a material whose mesostructure mimics the cement-aggregate composition of concrete. This is not an attempt to obtain quantitative results, to fit some specific experiment, but, rather, to evaluate the potential of multiscale modeling, incorporating the microstructure morphology, in simulation of complex macroscopic behavior using simple microscopic/mesoscopic models. It should be mentioned that, unlike what is regularly done in the literature, the issue tackled here is not only modeling the macroscopic homogenized behavior at a single point of the macrostructure, but, also, the full macroscopic structural behavior, including material failure onset and propagation and obtaining a complete action/response behavior during the structural failure.

The strip in Figure 7, incorporating a central opening to break the inhomogeneity, is subjected to horizontal stretch-
ing, induced by a constant displacement, $\Delta$, under the action of the external force $F$.

The mesostructure morphology is sketched by the failure-cell of Figure 8 roughly characterized by some cylindrical aggregates of different sizes, immersed in a matrix of mortar. Grains and matrix are numerically modeled by quadrilateral finite elements. Additionally, the failure cell is equipped with a number of very narrow, cohesive bands; some of them surrounding the aggregates (thus its failure representing possible aggregate/mortar decohesion) and some others crossing the matrix and connecting grains (its failure corresponding to mortar cracks).

Their activation allows modeling a number of failure mechanisms: those excluding the interior of the aggregates i.e.: extra-granular failure mechanisms or those including the grains breakage i.e.: intra-granular failure mechanisms.

Constitutive behavior at the matrix is assumed to be elastic; at the cohesive bands it is assumed to be ruled by a very simple model: the only-tension-failure constitutive model developed by the authors in the past (Oliver et al., 1990) that precludes inelastic behavior in pure compression stress states (see Figure 9).

The mesostructure in Figure 8 is equipped with the material properties displayed in Table 1.

The goal of the experiments is to observe how, for the same experiment, the mechanical properties of the aggregates affect the mesoscopic failure mechanism and, in turn, the macroscopic structural response.

4.1 Regular weight aggregate (RWA) concrete modeling

The mesostructure in Figure 8 is equipped with the material properties displayed in Table 1.
Table 1: Regular Weight Aggregate (RWA) concrete. Material properties at the mesoscopic level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Young modulus $E$ (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson ratio $\nu$</th>
<th>Ultimate stress $\sigma_u$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Fracture energy $G_{f}^{\text{m}}$ ($\text{J/m}^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (bulk)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (cohesive bands)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates (bulk)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates (cohesive bands)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 10, qualitative results at the macroscopic level are presented.

![Figure 10](image)

Those results show a macroscopic, approximately vertical, crack starting at the opening and exhibiting a mixed Mode I failure.

At the mesoscopic level, results for the deformation (fluctuations) of a typical failure-cell (nearby the opening in the macroscopic failure path) can be seen, conveniently amplified, in Figure 11. There it can be checked that, at an initial stage of the macroscopic localization, the failure-cell displays several (very small in amplitude) competing failure mechanisms (Figure 11-a)). Later on, an extra-granular failure mechanism, involving aggregate/matrix decohesion and mortar cracking, prevails (Figure 11-b)), characterizing the mesoscopic failure for this type of concrete.

![Figure 11](image)

In Figure 12 the evolution of the macroscopic energy along the process is presented. It can be noticed that the numerically observed dissipated energy computed as

$$E_{\text{num}} = \int_{t=0}^{t=\infty} F(t) \cdot \Delta(t) \, dt$$

matches very well the theoretical value computed as:

$$E_{\text{theo}} = L_{\text{macro}} G_{\text{macro}}$$

where $F$ and $\Delta$ are defined in Figure 7-a), see also Figure 15.)

The theoretical value of $G_{\text{macro}}$ is computed as the average, along the mesoscopic failure-cell failure path in Figure 11-b), of the mesoscopic fracture energy ($G_{f}^{\text{m}}$ in Table 1).

![Figure 12](image)
4.2 Light weight aggregate (LWA) concrete modeling

Now, light weight aggregate (LWA) concrete is considered. The material properties, for a ceramic-type aggregate are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Light Weight Aggregate (LWA) concrete. Material properties at the mesoscopic level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Young modulus E</th>
<th>Poisson ratio ν</th>
<th>Ultimate stress σ_u</th>
<th>Fracture energy G_{macro}^f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (bulk)</td>
<td>28.6 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (cohesive bands)</td>
<td>28.6 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.0 Mpa</td>
<td>700 J/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates (bulk)</td>
<td>6.8 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates (cohesive bands)</td>
<td>6.8 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7 Mpa</td>
<td>166 J/m^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13 displays a macroscopic failure mechanism fairly similar to the one in the previous (RWA) case. However the mesoscopic failure mechanism is substantially different: the activated mechanism goes through the aggregates (see Figure 14-b), thus defining an intra-granular failure mechanism.

Figure 14. Light-weight aggregate (LWA) concrete. Mesoscopic results. a) Deformation of a typical failure cell displaying the failure modes: a) at the initiation of the macroscopic localization (amplification factor =1000), b) at the end of the loading process (amplification factor = 200).

As for the energy dissipation, in Figure 15 good agreement of the theoretical energy dissipation and the numerical one (computed according equation (19), see also Figure 16) is shown.

Notice that the energy dissipation of the process for this LWA concrete (850 J) is smaller than the one for NWA concrete in Figure 12 (1500 J). This is due to the fact that, in the present case, the mesoscopic failure mechanism, in Figure 14-b), crosses the (weaker) aggregates, which are endowed with a lower fracture energy (check G_{macro}^f in Table 2 versus Table 1). Therefore the macroscopic fracture energy, G_{macro}^f , in equation (20) is smaller.

4.3 Medium weight aggregate (MWA) concrete modeling

As an intermediate case, the numerical experiment is repeated with the material properties presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Medium Weight Aggregate (MWA) concrete. Material properties at the mesoscopic level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Young modulus E</th>
<th>Poisson ratio ν</th>
<th>Ultimate stress σ_u</th>
<th>Fracture energy G_{macro}^f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (bulk)</td>
<td>28.6 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (cohesive bands)</td>
<td>28.6 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.0 Mpa</td>
<td>700 J/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates (bulk)</td>
<td>19.9 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
<td>(elastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates (cohesive bands)</td>
<td>19.9 Gpa</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.1 Mpa</td>
<td>487 J/m^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The macroscopic and mesoscopic failure mechanisms (not displayed) are similar to the ones, in Figure 10 and Figure 11, for RWA concrete. However, even if the qualitative effects of the different mesoscopic properties are not relevant, the quantitative structural response is very affected as it will be shown in next paragraph.
4.4 Structural response

Quantitative responses are now measured in terms of the force-displacement evolution curves, $F - \Delta$ in Figure 7-a), as shown in Figure 16.

![Figure 16 Structural $F - \Delta$ responses for the three considered types of concrete. Regular weight aggregate (RWA) concrete, light weight aggregate (LWA) concrete and medium weight aggregate (MWA) concrete.](image)

There it can be observed that:

- RWA concrete (regular concrete) behaves as observed in normal plain concrete. After an initial elastic branch, the structure exhibits some inelastic hardening and then fails abruptly (brittle failure).

- Introduction of light aggregate (MWA, LWA) concrete translates into substantial loss of the maximum carrying capacity but, also, in a more ductile failure: the non-linear hardening branch disappears and, immediately after the elastic branch, the structure softens in a smoother manner (ductile failure).

Both observations coincide with the expectations for this types of concrete.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Along this work, multiscale (FE$^2$) techniques have been used to model material failure of concrete-like materials.

A computational homogenization setting has been proposed which, keeping the essentials of classical computational homogenization techniques, naturally introduces a length scale, related to the RVE size and the mesoscale failure mechanism, into the induced macroscopic homogenized model. This microscopic length scale can be interpreted as the actual width of the fracture process zone, defining the bandwidth of the macroscopic localization band that captures the crack at the structural level. It has been frequently claimed in modeling of concrete materials (Bazant and Jirásek, 2002) and it is automatically retrieved here through the homogenization process.

At the macroscopic scale, this microscopic length scale is locally used in the context of the Continuum Strong Discontinuity Approach to material failure (Oliver and Huespe, 2004a), and introduced, as a regularization parameter, into a new technique (Oliver et al., 2014) for capturing the macroscopic propagation of cracks by means of finite elements with embedded discontinuities.

The result is a multiscale approach, that preserves the correct dissipation and objectivity, with respect both the macroscopic size of the finite element mesh and the size of the failure cell, which, in turn, can be readily connected with recent proposals for similar purposes (Sánchez et al., 2013).

Finally, the proposed techniques have been applied to modeling concrete like materials (i.e., materials that, at the mesoscopic level, are constituted by aggregates and mortar). Although the complexity of the mesoscale morphology is very limited by the computational cost of the combined multiscale computations, the potential of the approach is clearly stated by the presented examples.
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