Submitted to the International Journal of Geomechanics

26th April 2016

TITLE:

A Fully Coupled THM Double Porosity Formulation for Unsaturated Soils

AUTHORS:

Marcelo Sánchez¹, Antonio Gens², Maria Victoria Villar³ and Sebastià Olivella⁴

AFFILIATIONS:

¹ Zachry Department of Civil Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, US.

msanchez@civil.tamu.edu

² Department of Geotechnical Engineering and Geosciences, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain. antonio.gens@upc.edu

³ CIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid, Spain. mv.villar@ciemat.es

⁴ Department of Geotechnical Engineering and Geosciences, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain. sebastia.olivella@upc.edu

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr Marcelo Sánchez
Associate Professor
Zachry Department of Civil Engineering
Texas A&M University
College Station Texas
77843-3136, USA
Telephone: (+1) 979 862 6604
Fax: (+1) 979 862 7696
E-mail: msanchez@civil.tamu.edu

Keywords: double porosity, unsaturated soils, THM coupled phenomena, compacted expansive clays, fabric/structure of materials, multiphase flow, nuclear waste disposal.

ABSTRACT

This work presents a fully coupled formulation developed to handle engineering problems in unsaturated (and saturated) soils that present two dominant void levels. The proposed framework assumes the presence of two porous media linked through a mass transfer term between them. In its more general form, the proposed approach allows the consideration of non-isothermal multiphase flow coupled with the mechanical problem. The double porosity formulation was implemented in a finite element code and it has been used to analyze a variety of engineering problems. The approach is especially suitable for cases in which the material exhibits a strong coupling between the mechanical and the hydraulic problems in both media, as for example swelling clays. For those types of problems the proposed formulation is used in conjunction with the mechanical double structure model already proposed by the authors. This paper presents the coupled formulation and the application of the proposed approach to problems involving expansive unsaturated clays. Very satisfactory results were obtained in these analyses.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

INTRODUCTION

Often, saturated and unsaturated soils exhibit a double porosity. For example, in many compacted soils (especially when compacted on the dry side) the fabric is composed by an assembly of quasi-saturated aggregates forming a rather open structure that must be distinguished from the soil microstructure itself. Expansive clays typically exhibit a clear dual porosity with two dominant void sizes, namely: the macropores (or inter-aggregate voids) and the micropores (or intra-aggregates voids). In other cases, the double structure is directly related to the material manufacturing, for instance in seals composed of high-density clay-pellets (Fig. 1). The need to distinguish between different kinds of voids can also be found in other type of engineering problems involving fractured rocks and/or fissured soils, where the distinction between the pore levels associated with matrix and joints is necessary to achieve a good representation of the problem.

The analysis of problems like the ones listed above is generally performed using single porosity models, assuming average material properties over an elementary representative

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

volume. However, such kind of approaches fall short in properly capturing the behavior of soil that exhibits a clear double porosity. In this paper, an existing fully coupled Thermo-Hydro-Mechanical (THM) formulation for single porosity media (Olivella et al., 1994) is extended to double porosity media with the aid of the double porosity theory (e.g. Barrenbaltt et al., 1960; Warren and Root, 196; Aifantis, 1980). Double porosity models consider the porous medium as two interacting continuous media coupled through a leakage term that controls the mass transfer between them. This simple idea allows extending many of the concepts and laws typically used in single porosity models to problems in which it is necessary to account for the different type of void levels present in the material.

The double porosity theory has been extensively used in different fields. The earlier applications are related to the modelling of ground water and oil flow in fractured reservoirs. Barrenblatt et al. (1960) and Warren and Root (1963) used the double porosity theory to model saturated flows in non-deformable media. Later on, this theory was extended to deformable media by Aifantis (1980), and was widely used to model problems involving deformable fractured/fissured porous media (e.g. Wilson and Aifantis, 1982; Ellsworth and Bai, 1992; Musso and Federico, 1993; Bai et al., 1994; Ghafouri and Lewis, 1996; Khalili et al., 1999; Callari and Federico, 2000). The double porosity theory has been extended to the case of multiphase flow, in particular to applications related to oil production in fractured media (e.g. Lewis and Ghafouri, 1997). The effect of temperature has also been considered in dual porosity media (e.g. Master et al., 2000, Khalili and Selvadurai, 2003). The double porosity models have also been widely used to solve solute-transport problems in which the matrix diffusion is a relevant phenomenon (e.g. Carrera et al., 1997; Birkholzer et al., 2000). Double structure concepts have been used to describe the

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

and Alonso, 1992; Sánchez et al., 2005, 2012.b; Mašin, 2013). More recently, Borja and Koliji (2009) applied double porosity concepts and continuum principles of thermodynamics to derive an expression for the effective stress tensor in multiphase porous media displaying two porosity scales. The double porosity theory/concept has been extensively used in the past. Therefore, the review presented above does not pretend to cover all the published works in this subject.

Concerning the treatment of the mechanical problem, different assumptions have been made in double porosity theories. For instance, in some problems the compressibility of

the fractured/fissured media was ignored and only the deformation of the matrix domain was considered (e.g. Ghafouri and Lewis, 1996; Lewis and Ghafouri, 1997; Master et al., 2000). Another common hypothesis considered when modeling the consolidation processes in fractured or fissured materials is that the behavior of the whole medium is elastic and average mechanical properties of the media are adopted (e.g. Wilson and Aifantis, 1982; Ellsworth and Bai, 1992; Bai et al., 1994; Musso and Federico, 1993). Previous double porosity formulations, and their applications, have been limited to elastic media. The formulation presented in this work is capable of considering independent mechanical constitutive laws models for each medium, including nonlinear and elasto-plastic mechanical models. It is also able to consider unsaturated flow under non-isothermal conditions. Special attention is paid to the incorporation of the hydro-mechanical couplings in the governing equations. The proposed double porosity formulation has been implemented in the finite element program CODE BRIGHT (Olivella et al., 1996), and it has been used to analyze a variety of problems, including the behavior of unsaturated clay barriers and seals intended for the isolation of nuclear waste using an advanced elasto-plastic mechanical model.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A good schematic representation of the double porosity concept is presented in Fig. 2a) for the case of fractured/fissured porous media, where two overlapping continua are distinguished: i) a porous medium '1', accounting for the discontinuities; and ii) a porous medium '2', representing the porous matrix. The mass transfer between both sub-domains is controlled by a leakage term. A similar conceptual model can be adopted for expansive materials in which two different structure of pores can generally be distinguished (Fig.2b): a

121 medium '1', associated with the macro (or interaggregate) pores between the clay
122 aggregates, and ii) a medium '2' associated with the clay matrix.

123 The concepts described above have been used in this work to extend an existing fully
124 coupled *THM* formulation for single porosity media to deal with problems involving
125 materials presenting two porosity scales. The starting point is the *THM* formulation
126 proposed by Olivella et al. (1994) and the associated finite element program
127 CODE_BRIGHT. This formulation and code have been widely validated and satisfactorily
128 applied in different geo-engineering problems (e.g. Gens et al., 1998; 2009; Alonso et al.,
129 2005; Sánchez et al., 2012.a, 2011.b).

130 The main basic assumptions of the double porosity formulation presented in this paper are
131 summarized as follows:

132 • Two overlapping porous media have been considered, with the definitions of two
133 different global porosities:

$$a) \phi_1 = \frac{V_{v1}}{V} ; \quad b) \phi_2 = \frac{V_{v2}}{V} ; \quad c) \phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \quad (1)$$

134 where ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , ϕ are the porosities related to medium 1, 2 and total, respectively.

135 V_{v1} , V_{v2} and V are the volume of voids medium 1, 2 and total, respectively.

- 136 • Fluid pressures, permeability, partial saturation and other properties can be
137 (separately) considered for each porous medium.
- 138 • Stress-small strain constitutive laws can be defined for each porous medium.
- 139 • Multiphase flow is considered for each domain.
- 140 • Mass transfer between the porous media is controlled through leakage terms.

- Thermal equilibrium between phases and media is assumed.
- The relevant *THM* phenomena are considered in a fully coupled way.
- The boundary conditions can be prescribed on both media.

It is also assumed that the porous media are made up of three phases: solid, liquid and gas. The liquid phase contains water and dissolved air whereas the gas phase is made up of dry air and water vapor. The problem is approached using a multi-phases, multi-species formulation that expresses mathematically the main coupled *THM* phenomena in terms of: balance equations, constitutive equations and equilibrium restrictions. In the following sections these three main components of the formulation are presented.

BALANCE EQUATIONS

The compositional approach was adopted to establish the mass balance equations. This implies that mass balances of species (rather than phases) are established. In this way the phase change terms do not appear explicitly in the governing equations (Olivella et al., 1994). The subscript identifies the phase (*s* for solid, *l* for liquid and *g* for gas), while the superscript indicates the species (*w* for water, and *a* for air). It has been assumed that the

mineral coincides with the solid phase. The rest of the notation is indicated in notation.

SOLID MASS BALANCE EQUATION

The balance of the mineral can be expressed as (Olivella et al., 1994):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_s(1-\phi)) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_s(1-\phi)\dot{\mathbf{u}}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where ρ_s is the solid density and $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ is the solid velocity vector. A more convenient form of the balance equations is obtained considering the definitions of material derivative with respect to the solid velocity (i.e. Olivella et al., 1994):

$$\frac{D\phi}{Dt} = \frac{(1-\phi)}{\rho_s} \frac{D\rho_s}{Dt} + (1-\phi) \nabla \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{(1-\phi)}{\rho_s} \frac{D\rho_s}{Dt} + (1-\phi) \dot{\epsilon}_v \quad (3)$$

where $\nabla \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \dot{\epsilon}_v$ is the increment of the total volumetric strain. This equation shows that the variation of porosity in time is related to the porosity changes induced by the variations in solid density, plus the porosity variations caused by volumetric deformation of the soil skeleton. Considering Eq. (1c) and assuming that the total volumetric strain can be written

as the sum of contributions from each medium (i.e. $\dot{\epsilon}_v = \dot{\epsilon}_{v1} + \dot{\epsilon}_{v2}$), Eq. (3) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{D\phi}{Dt} = \frac{D\phi_1}{Dt} + \frac{D\phi_2}{Dt} = \frac{(1-\phi)}{\rho_s} \frac{D\rho_s}{Dt} + (1-\phi_1 - \phi_2) (\dot{\epsilon}_{v1} + \dot{\epsilon}_{v2}) \quad (4)$$

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

WATER MASS BALANCE EQUATION

Applying double porosity concepts, the water mass balance equation for each medium j ($j=1,2$) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\theta_{lj}'' S_{\alpha j} \phi_j + \theta_{gj}'' S_{\alpha j} \phi_j) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_{lj}'' + \mathbf{j}_{gj}'') + (-1)^{j+1} \Gamma'' = f_j'' \quad j=1,2 \quad (5)$$

where θ_{lj}'' and θ_{gj}'' are the mass of water per unit volume of liquid and gas phases of medium j ; $S_{\alpha j}$ is the volumetric fraction of the pore volume occupied by the α phase ($\alpha=l, g$).

g) in medium j ; $\mathbf{j}_{lj}''$ and $\mathbf{j}_{gj}''$ denote the total mass fluxes of water in the liquid and gas phases in medium j with respect to a fixed reference system; f_j'' is the external mass supply of water per unit volume of medium j ; and Γ'' is the term related to the mass exchange term between the two porous media. A quasi-steady model (e.g. Huyakorn, 1983) was adopted in this work for the mass transfer term, as follows:

$$\Gamma'' = \gamma(P_{l1} - P_{l2}) \quad (6)$$

where γ is the leakage parameter. A more refined treatment of this term can be made through unsteady models (e.g. Huayakorn et al., 1983, Kazemi et al., 1976).

The water flux in each phase of a medium j is expressed as:

$$\mathbf{j}_{lj}'' = \mathbf{i}_{lj}'' + \theta_{lj}'' \mathbf{q}_{lj}'' + \theta_{lj}'' S_{lj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{j}_{lj}'' + \theta_{lj}'' S_{lj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}}; \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{i}_{lj}''$ and $\mathbf{i}_{gj}''$ are the non-advective fluxes of water in the liquid and gas phases; $\mathbf{j}_{lj}''$ and $\mathbf{j}_{gj}''$ denote the total mass fluxes of water in the liquid and gas phases in the medium j with respect to the solid phase that are obtained as the sum of non-advective (i.e. $\mathbf{i}_{lj}''$ and $\mathbf{i}_{gj}''$) and advective (Darcy's) fluxes (i.e. $\mathbf{q}_{lj}''$ and $\mathbf{q}_{gj}''$) associated with the motion of the phases in each medium j . Equations (7) and (8) also account for the movement of the water induced by the solid displacement $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ (i.e. the water that is dragged by the soil during deformation).

188 After applying the concept of material derivative, and following an approach similar to the
 189 one described in Olivella et al. (1994), the following form of the water mass balance
 190 equations is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j \frac{D}{Dt} (\theta_{lj}^w S_{lj} + \theta_{gj}^w S_{gj}) + (\theta_{lj}^w S_{lj} + \theta_{gj}^w S_{gj}) \left[\left(\frac{(1-\phi)}{\rho_s} \frac{D\rho_s}{Dt} \right)^{(1-j)} + \dot{\epsilon}_{lj} \right] + \\ + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_{lj}^w + \mathbf{j}_{gj}^w) + (-1)^{j+1} \Gamma^w = f_j^w \quad j = 1, 2 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

191 **AIR MASS BALANCE EQUATION**

192 The equations for the mass balance of air are obtained following an analogous procedure
 193 to the explained above:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j \frac{D}{Dt} (\theta_{lj}^a S_{lj} + \theta_{gj}^a S_{gj}) + (\theta_{lj}^a S_{lj} + \theta_{gj}^a S_{gj}) \left[\left(\frac{(1-\phi)}{\rho_s} \frac{D\rho_s}{Dt} \right)^{(1-j)} + \dot{\epsilon}_{lj} \right] + \\ + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_{lj}^a + \mathbf{j}_{gj}^a) + (-1)^{j+1} \Gamma^a = f_j^a \quad j = 1, 2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

195 medium; $\mathbf{j}_{lj}^a$ and $\mathbf{j}_{gj}^a$ denote the relative mass fluxes of air in the liquid and gas phases, with
 196 respect to the solid phase; f_j^a is the external mass supply of air per unit volume of j medium;
 197 and Γ^a is the term related to the air mass exchange between the two media. In a similar
 198 way, a quasi-steady model was also adopted for the air transfer term, as follows:

$$\Gamma^a = \gamma (P_{g1} - P_{g2}) \quad (11)$$

199 The air flux in each phase of the medium j is expressed as:

$$\mathbf{j}_j^a = \mathbf{i}_j^a + \theta_j^a \mathbf{q}_j^a + \theta_j^a S_j \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{j}_j'^a + \theta_j^a S_j \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}}; \quad j=1,2 \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{gj}^a = \mathbf{i}_{gj}^a + \theta_{gj}^a \mathbf{q}_{gj}^a + \theta_{gj}^a S_{gj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{j}_{gj}'^a + \theta_{gj}^a S_{gj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}}; \quad j=1,2 \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{i}_j^a$ and $\mathbf{i}_{gj}^a$ are the non-advective fluxes of air in the liquid and gas phases; $\mathbf{j}_j'^a$ and $\mathbf{j}_{gj}'^a$ denote the total mass fluxes of air in the liquid and gas phases in the medium j with respect to the solid phase; which are obtained as the sum of non-advective (i.e. $\mathbf{i}_j^a$ and $\mathbf{i}_{gj}^a$) and advective (Darcy's) fluxes (i.e. $\mathbf{q}_j^a$ and $\mathbf{q}_{gj}^a$) associated with the motion of the phases in each medium j . The equations (12) and (13) also account for the movement of the air induced by the solid displacement $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ (i.e. the air that is dragged by the soil during deformation).

ENERGY BALANCE EQUATION

The balance of energy is expressed in terms of internal energy. Thermal equilibrium between phases and media is assumed. Therefore, at a given material point, the temperature is the same for all the phases and only one equation related to the total energy for the whole porous medium is required. Generally, the flow in porous media is very slow (especially in clayed soils/rocks), therefore this assumption can be considered valid in many applications. However, if the complexity of the problem (e.g. fluid injection in highly fractured rocks) justifies the necessity of a more detailed treatment of this equation, the formulation can be upgraded to consider different temperatures in the two media and phases.

Extending the approach adopted by Olivella et al. (1994) to double porosity media, the total internal energy per unit volume of porous medium was calculated by adding the internal energy of each phase in each media, as follows:

$$E = E_s \rho_s (1 - \phi) + \sum_{j=1}^2 (E_{lj} \rho_{lj} S_{lj} \phi_j + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj} \phi_j) \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (14)$$

219 where E_s is the specific internal energies corresponding to the solid phase; E_{lj} and E_{gj} are
 220 the specific internal energies corresponding to the liquid and phases, respectively, of the
 221 medium j ; and ρ_{lj} and ρ_{gj} are the liquid and gas phase densities. The gas phase internal
 222 energy equation adopted by Olivella et al. (1994) can be extended to double porosity media
 223 as:

$$E_{gj} \rho_{gj} = (E_g^w \omega_{gj}^w + E_g^a \omega_{gj}^a) \rho_{gj} = E_g^w \theta_{gj}^w + E_g^a \theta_{gj}^a \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (15)$$

224 where E_g^w and E_g^a are the specific internal energies of water and air in the gas phase,
 225 respectively; ω_{gj}^w and ω_{gj}^a are the mass fractions of water and air in the gas phase,
 226 respectively, per medium j . As in Olivella et al. (1994), this additive decomposition is also
 227 used for the liquid phase, and extended here for double porosity media:

$$E_{lj} \rho_{lj} = (E_l^w \omega_{lj}^w + E_l^a \omega_{lj}^a) \rho_{lj} = E_l^w \theta_{lj}^w + E_l^a \theta_{lj}^a \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (16)$$

228 where E_l^w and E_l^a are the specific internal energies of water and air in the liquid phase,
 229 respectively; ω_{lj}^w and ω_{lj}^a are the mass fractions of water and air in the liquid phase,
 230 respectively, per medium j . The specific internal energies for the individual species are
 231 (Batchelor, 1983; Pollock, 1983, and Gens and Olivella, 2001): $E_l^w = 4180.0 (T - T_0)$ [J/kg];
 232 $E_g^w = 2.5e^6 + 1900.0 (T - T_0)$ [J/kg]; $E_g^a = 1006.0 (T - T_0)$ [J/kg], and $E_l^a = 1006.0 (T - T_0)$ [J/kg].
 233 In these expressions T_0 is the reference temperature and T is [°C]. It can be noted that the

specific internal energy of the vapor (i.e. water in gas phase) contains an additional term that represents the latent heat in vapor. The thermal consequences of evaporation/condensation are therefore taken into account in a straightforward way (Gens and Olivella, 2001). As for the internal energy per unit mass of the solid phase, the law suggested in the FEBEX Report (1998) was adopted in this work:

$$E_s = E_s^o T + c_p T^2 \quad (17)$$

where the two models parameters are: $E_s^o = 732.52 \text{ [J/kg/T]}$ and $c_p = 1.38 \text{ [J/kg/T}^2]$ (FEBEX Report, 1998). This law was adopted here because of the type of problems presented in this paper, but it can be replaced in problems dealing with other materials.

Using the specific internal energies and the species mass fluxes, the energy fluxes due to the motion of phases can be written as:

$$\mathbf{j}_{E_s} = E_s \rho_s (1 - \phi) \dot{\mathbf{u}} \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{E_{lj}} = \mathbf{j}_{lj}^w E_{lj}^w + \mathbf{j}_{lj}^a E_{lj}^a + E_{lj} \rho_{lj} S_{lj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{j}_{E_{lj}}' + E_{lj} \rho_{lj} S_{lj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}}; \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{E_{gj}} = \mathbf{j}_{gj}^w E_{gj}^w + \mathbf{j}_{gj}^a E_{gj}^a + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{j}_{E_{gj}}' + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj} \phi_j \dot{\mathbf{u}}; \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{j}_{El}'$ and $\mathbf{j}_{Eg}'$ are the advective energy fluxes with respect to the solid phase.

Considering the hypothesis and equations discussed above, the internal energy balance equation is expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [E_s \rho_s (1-\phi)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum_{j=1}^2 (E_{fj} \rho_{fj} S_{fj} \phi_j + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj} \phi_j) \right] + \\
& + \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{i}_c + \mathbf{j}_{Es} + \sum_{j=1}^2 (\mathbf{j}_{E_{fj}} + \mathbf{j}_{E_{gj}}) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^2 f_j^E \quad j = 1, 2
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

247 where $\mathbf{i}_c$ is the conductive heat flux; and f_j^E is the energy supply per unit volume of medium
248 j .

249 This equation is also transformed following a similar procedure to the one explained in
250 before for the mass balance of energy, the final form of the energy balance equation is
251 given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^2 \phi_j \frac{D}{Dt} (E_{fj} \rho_{fj} S_{fj} + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj}) + (1-\phi) \frac{D}{Dt} E_s \rho_s + \\
& + \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 (E_{fj} \rho_{fj} S_{fj} + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj}) - E_s \rho_s \right) \frac{(1-\phi)}{\rho_s} \frac{D\rho_s}{Dt} + \sum_{j=1}^2 (E_{fj} \rho_{fj} S_{fj} + E_{gj} \rho_{gj} S_{gj}) \dot{\epsilon}_{vj} + \\
& + \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{i}_c + \mathbf{j}_{Es} + \sum_{j=1}^2 (\mathbf{j}_{E_{fj}} + \mathbf{j}_{E_{gj}}) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^2 f_j^E \quad j = 1, 2
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

252 **MOMENTUM BALANCE (EQUILIBRIUM)**

253 If inertial terms are neglected, the balance of momentum for the porous medium reduces
254 to the equilibrium equation for total stresses:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_t + \mathbf{b} = 0 \tag{23}$$

255 where $\mathbf{b}$ the vector of body forces, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t$ is the total stress tensor (which is common for
256 both porous media). However, effective/net stresses and fluids pressures are different for

257 each medium. Therefore strain-rates per each porous medium are computed. A possible
 258 decomposition of strains is:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \sum_{j=1,2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_j = \sum_{j=1,2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_j^e + \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_j^p; \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (24)$$

259 where $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_j^e$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_j^p$ are the medium j elastic and plastic strain-rates, respectively. The total
 260 strain rate ($\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$) is related to solid velocities through the compatibility condition:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}^T \right) \quad (25)$$

261 **CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS**

262 The constitutive equations establish the link between the unknowns (i.e. P_{lj} , P_{gj} , T and $\mathbf{u}$)
 263 and the dependent variables.

264 **THERMAL CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS**

265 Heat conduction is assumed to be governed by Fourier's law. As mentioned above, thermal
 266 equilibrium between phases and media was assumed, therefore the following equation can
 267 be used to calculate the conductive heat:

$$\mathbf{i}_e = -\lambda \nabla T \quad (26)$$

268 where λ is the global thermal conductivity of the porous medium. In this paper the global
 269 thermal conductivity proposed for the FEBEX bentonite based on the geometric mean of
 270 the thermal conductivities of the three phases was adopted (FEBEX Report, 2000):

$$\lambda = \lambda_s^{(1-\phi)} \lambda_l^{\phi S_l} \lambda_g^{\phi(1-S_l)} = \lambda_{sat}^{S_l} \lambda_{dry}^{(1-S_l)} \quad (27)$$

271 where λ_s , λ_l and λ_g are the thermal conductivities of the solid, liquid and gas phases,
 272 respectively; λ_{sat} and λ_{dry} are the thermal conductivities of the fully saturated and fully dry
 273 soil, respectively; they can be calculated through:

$$\lambda_{sat} = \lambda_s^{(1-\phi)} \lambda_l^{\phi}; \quad \lambda_{dry} = \lambda_s^{(1-\phi)} \lambda_g^{\phi} \quad (28)$$

274 and:

$$S_l = \frac{S_{l1}\phi_1 + S_{l2}\phi_2}{\phi} \quad (29)$$

275 The thermal conductivity law can be modified/changed based on the particular materials
 276 involved in the case to be analyzed.

277 **HYDRAULIC CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS**

278 Advective fluxes of fluid phases in each medium are computed using a generalized Darcy's
 279 law:

$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha j} = -\mathbf{K}_{\alpha j} (\nabla P_{\alpha j} - \rho_{\alpha j} \mathbf{g}) \quad \alpha = l, g ; j = 1, 2 \quad (30)$$

280 where $P_{\alpha j}$ is the α phase pressure in medium j ; and $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha j}$ is the permeability tensor of the α
 281 phase in medium j , evaluated as:

$$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha j} = \mathbf{k}_j \frac{k_{r\alpha j}}{\mu_{\alpha j}} \quad \alpha = l, g ; j = 1, 2 \quad (31)$$

where $\mu_{\alpha j}$ is the dynamic viscosity of the α phase in the medium j ; and $k_{r\alpha j}$ is the phase relative permeability of the phase α in the medium j (expressed generally as a function of the phase degree of saturation, see Eq. (33)); $\mathbf{k}_j$ is the intrinsic permeability tensor for medium j that depends on its pore structure (generally expressed through the medium porosity). Different laws are available to model this dependence, which may be selected according to the problem to be handled. For example, an exponential law (Eq. 32) was adapted to model the dependence of medium permeability on medium porosity for the compacted clay studied in the application case presented later on in this paper.

The non-advective fluxes of species inside the fluid phases, in each porous medium are computed through the Fick's law as:

$$\mathbf{i}_{\alpha j}^i = -\mathbf{D}_{\alpha j}^i \nabla \omega_{\alpha j}^i ; \quad i = w, a; \quad \alpha = l, g; \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (32)$$

where $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha j}^i$ is the dispersion tensor, and $\omega_{\alpha j}$ are the mass fractions (Olivella et al., 1994). Finally, the soil water retention curve (SWRC) establishes the link between the phase saturations of the medium j and the corresponding medium suction (a specific SWRC model is presented when studying the application case in a following section).

MECHANICAL CONSTITUTIVE EQUATION

A general form of the mechanical law showing (explicitly) the contributions of strains, temperature and fluid pressures is:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_j = \mathbf{D}_j \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_j + \mathbf{f}_j \dot{s}_j + \mathbf{t}_j \dot{T}_j; \quad j=1, 2 \quad (33)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$ is the constitutive stress (net or effective stress tensor), $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j$ is the strain, s_j is the suction, $\mathbf{D}_j$ is the constitutive stiffness matrix, $\mathbf{f}_j$ is the generic constitutive vector relating the changes in the fluid pressures and stresses and $\mathbf{t}_j$ is the constitutive vector relating stresses and temperature (a specific mechanical model is presented in the application case).

EQUILIBRIUM RESTRICTIONS

It is assumed that the phase changes are rapid in relation to the characteristic times of the flow problem. Therefore, they can be considered in local equilibrium giving rise to a set of equilibrium restrictions that must be satisfied at all times. Equilibrium restrictions are given by the concentration of water vapor in gas phase (computed through the psychometric law), and for the concentration of dissolved air in liquid phase (evaluated by means of the Henry's law). The formulation is completed with the equations related to properties of the phases for each medium j .

NUMERICAL APPROACH

The equations for double porosity media quoted above were implemented in the finite element program CODE_BRIGHT. One unknown (or state variable) is associated with each one of the balance equations presented above, as follows: P_{fj} are related to the water mass balance equations (Eq. 9) for medium j ($j=1,2$); P_{gj} are related to the air mass balance equations (Eq. 10) for medium j ($j=1,2$); T is related to the balance of internal energy equation (Eq. 22); and $\mathbf{U}$ is related to momentum balance equation (Eq. 23) The unknowns are obtained by solving simultaneously the system of PDE's (Partial Differential Equations)

numerically in a fully coupled way. From state variables, the dependent variables are calculated using the constitutive equations or the equilibrium restrictions.

The same procedure suggested in Olivella et al. (1996) was followed for the numerical treatment of the different terms of the balance equations. In summary, it can be mentioned that the numerical approach can be viewed as divided into two parts: spatial and temporal discretization. Galerkin finite element method is used for the spatial discretization while finite differences are used for the temporal discretization. The discretization in time is linear and an implicit scheme is used. Finally, since the problem presented here is non-linear, the Newton-Raphson method was adopted as iterative scheme.

Linear and quadratic interpolation functions were implemented. Analytical integration was adopted for segments, triangles and tetrahedrons. Numerical integration is used for quadrilateral, triangular prisms (6 points) and quadrilateral prisms (8 points). For the mechanical problem, selective integration was used for quadrilateral and quadrilateral prisms. Finally, for all elements the flow equations are solved using element-wise and cell-wise approximations (Olivella et al., 1996). The program has an automatic discretization of time. More details can be found elsewhere (e.g. Olivella et al., 1996; CODE_BRIGHT User's Manual, 2015).

ANALYSIS OF AN EXPANSIVE SEAL MATERIAL

In this section the hydration under confined conditions of a seal material intended for the isolation of nuclear waste is analyzed. The sample was made up of a mixture of clay-pellets and clay-powder. The pellets are generally fabricated at the factory from highly expansive clay, obtaining heavily compacted granulated materials (dry density, $\rho_d \sim 2.0 \text{ Mg/m}^3$).

The clay-pellet mixtures have been actively studied in the context of the high level waste repository design (Alonso et al., 1995, 2011; Volckaert et al., 2000; Pasquiou, 2001; Hoffmann et al., 2007; Gens et al., 2011). This material exhibits the typical response of expansive soils, among others: large swelling strains under wetting, high swelling pressures under confinement conditions, macro-structural collapses, more than one swelling stages, and irreversible behavior. These types of mixtures have a number of convenient characteristics (and properties) that made them very interesting for constructing barriers and seals. For example, thanks to the high-density of the clay-pellets, it is possible to achieve target densities of the barrier with a low compaction effort. This is particularly useful when a small and/or irregular volume needs to be sealed. Furthermore, these mixtures are able to satisfy the basic properties imposed to engineered barriers and seals, such as: very low permeability, mechanical stability for the waste canister, and ability to seal discontinuities in the emplacement boreholes and drifts.

In these types of mixtures it is possible to distinguish two basic pore levels:

- Medium 1: corresponds to the granular skeleton of the clay mixture (macrostructure). It is composed by the arrangements of the clay-pellets, the clay powder (if any), and the macropores between them (Fig. 2b).
- Medium 2: corresponds to the high density pellets and the small pores inside them (microstructure).

Obviously it may be possible to include more pore levels in the analysis, for example, one could consider that inside the clay-pellets there are clay-aggregates, with their inter-

aggregate and intra-aggregate pores space. In this case three different types of pores can be distinguished: i) the inter-pellets pores (i.e. the big macro pores between pellets), ii) the inter-aggregates pores (i.e. pores between clay aggregates inside the pellets); and iii) the intra-aggregates pores (i.e. the pores inside the clay aggregates). However, in the analysis presented hereafter, only two basic structural levels were considered, and the pores types ii) and iii) were associated with the microstructure. If deemed convenient, the approach can always be extended to include one or more additional pores levels.

In order to properly handle this complex mechanical behavior the double structure (DS) approach proposed originally by Gens and Alonso (1992) was adopted in this work. This framework was then upgraded by (among others): Alonso et al. (1999) and Sánchez et al. (2005). This last version was adopted in this work. The DS model is very appropriate for analyzing this type of problem, because it explicitly contemplates the two porous media identified above, as explained below.

DS MECHANICAL MODEL

The double structure constitutive model adopted in this work has been discussed in detail in previous publications (e.g. Gens and Alonso 1992; Alonso et al., 1999; and Sánchez et al., 2005, 2012). For sake of completeness, only the main model components are briefly introduced as follows. The model consists of three main components: i) constitutive equation for describing the behavior of the macrostructure; ii) a model for describing the response of the microstructure; and iii) a law for modeling the interaction between the macro and micro structures.

A modified Barcelona Basic Model (*BBM*) (Alonso et al., 1990) is adopted for the macrostructure. The *BBM* considers two independent stress variables: the net stresses (σ),

computed as the excess of the total stresses over the gas pressure ($\sigma_t - I p_g$), and the matric suction associated with medium 1 (s_t), computed as the difference between the gas and liquid pressures ($s_t = p_g - p_l$). The elasto-plastic *BBM* allows the extension of the modified Cam-Clay model to the unsaturated condition by including a dependence of the yield surface on matric suction (Fig. 3.a):

$$F_{LC} = 3J^2 - \left[\frac{g(\theta)}{g(-30^\circ)} \right]^2 M^2 (p + p_s)(p_0 - p) = 0 \quad (34)$$

where M is the slope of the critical state; p_0 is the apparent unsaturated isotropic pre-consolidation pressure at a specific value of suction; $g(\theta)$ is the Lode's angle function; and p_s considers the dependence of shear strength on suction and temperature. When yielding takes place, the increment of plastic deformations is evaluated through:

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{LC}^p = \lambda_{LC} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \quad (35)$$

where λ_{LC} is the plastic multiplier and G is the plastic potential (Eq. (A.1), Appendix). The hardening law is expressed as a rate relation between the volumetric plastic strain and the saturated isotropic pre-consolidation stress ' p_0^* ' (Fig. 3a)):

$$\frac{\dot{p}_0^*}{p_0^*} = \frac{(1+e)}{(\lambda_{(0)} - \kappa)} \dot{\epsilon}_v^p \quad (36)$$

where e is the void ratio; ε_v^p is the volumetric plastic strain; κ is the elastic compression index for changes in p ; and $\lambda_{(0)}$ is the stiffness parameter for changes in p for virgin states of the soil in saturated condition. The trace of the yield surface on the isotropic p - s_1 plane is called the *LC* (Loading-Collapse) yield curve and it represents the locus of activation of irreversible deformations due to loading increments or wetting collapse (Fig. 3b). More details about the *BBM* are presented in the Appendix.

It is assumed that the behavior of the high-density clay-pellets (medium 2, microstructure) is mainly volumetric and controlled by a non-linear elastic law:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{v2}^e = \frac{\dot{\hat{p}}}{K_2} \quad (37)$$

where K_2 is the bulk modulus of medium 2 (see Appendix) and $\hat{p}$ is a generalized effective stress:

$$\hat{p} = p_2 + \chi s_2 \quad (38)$$

where p_2 is the net mean stress; and s_2 is the suction related to medium 2 (i.e. $p_s - p_{l2}$). The framework can be extended to consider plastic behavior of the pellets as well, however in this case, and for the sake of the simplicity, a nonlinear elastic behavior was assumed.

It was assumed that χ is a constant ($\chi > 0$). Fig. 3b) shows a schematic representation of the model on the p - s plane. In these plots s is a generic suction, which can be s_1 or s_2 depending on if medium 1 or 2 is analyzed, respectively. In the p - s_2 plane the line corresponding to constant $\hat{p}$ is referred to as Neutral Line (F_{NL}), since no microstructural deformation occurs when the stress path moves on it.

The interaction between the two pore levels is considered by assuming that elastic volume changes of the pellets (microstructure) may modify the global arrangement of the material (macrostructure) in an irreversible manner (e.g. Gens and Alonso, 1992). The associated plastic irreversible deformations are computed according to:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{v \rightarrow 2}^p = f \dot{\varepsilon}_{v 2}^e \quad (39)$$

where f is an interaction function that depends on the degree of openness of the macrostructure through the ratio p/p_o (e.g. Gens and Alonso, 1992).

The total plastic macrostructural strain $\left(\dot{\varepsilon}_v^p\right)$ used in Eq. (36) is obtained as:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_v^p = \dot{\varepsilon}_{v LC}^p + \dot{\varepsilon}_{v \rightarrow 2}^p \quad (40)$$

The coupling between macro and micro levels is given by p_o^* (the hardening variable of the macrostructure, Fig. 3a), which depends on the total plastic volumetric strain (36). In this way it is considered that the microstructural changes can affect the global arrangements of clay aggregates. More details can be found elsewhere (e.g. Alonso 1998; Sánchez et al., 2005).

HYDRAULIC MODEL

Darcy's generalized law (Eq. 30) rules the unsaturated flow in the two porous media. Note that both porous media contain interconnect porosity and the flow will depend basically on the permeability of each media and the corresponding gradient of pressures. The saturated permeability of expansive clays shows a strong dependence on porosity. Fig. 4a) shows

experimental data related to the Serrata clay, also known as FEBEX bentonite (Volckaert et al. 2000; and Huertas et al., 2006). The following exponential law was adapted to model the dependence of permeability on medium porosity:

$$\mathbf{k}_j = \mathbf{k}_o \exp(b(\phi_j - \phi_o)) \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (41)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_o$ is the reference permeability tensor at the reference porosity ϕ_o , and b is a model parameter. It should be noted that both media have the same parameters with the only difference being the value of the actual (medium) porosity.

The well-known cubic law was adopted for the relative permeability model:

$$k_{rj} = S_{lj}^3 \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (42)$$

A SWRC based on a modified van Genuchten model was adopted in this study:

$$S_{lj} = f_d \left[1 + \left(\frac{S_j}{P_o} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_o}} \right]^{-\lambda_o} ; \text{ with } f_d = \left(1 - \frac{S_j}{P_d} \right)^{\lambda_d} \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (43)$$

where P_o and λ_o are models parameter. The function f_d is included to improve the model prediction at high suctions, P_d being a parameter related to the suction when $S \sim 0$ (P_d being ~ 1100 MPa), and λ_d is a model parameter. When $\lambda_d = 0$ the original van Genuchten (1980) model is recovered. Fig. 4b) presents tests results for FEBEX bentonite alongside the adopted SWRC models. Finally, it was assumed that the gas pressure in both media is the same (and equal to the atmospheric pressure). In this case only one mass transfer term (i.e. for water) was considered.

MODELING

This section presents the analysis of the test coded as ‘Reseal16’ by Volckaert et al. (2000). The sample was made up from Serrata clay by mixing (in weight) 50% of clay-pellets and 50% of clay-powder, achieving a global density of 1.55 Mg/m³. The dry density of the pellets was 2.05 Mg/m³ and $G_s=2.70$. The test was carried out in a cell with hydration from both ends in a cylindrical sample 5 cm high and 12cm diameter. The experimental data generated in the context of the Reseal project (Volckaert et al. 2000) and FEBEX projects (Huertas et al., 2006), was used to identify the main model parameters. The parameters related to the water retention curves and permeability law were obtained independently from single elements tests performed on compacted samples prepared at different densities. The experimental data was presented in Figures 4a) and 4b). As for the DS model, the BBM parameters κ_o , κ , $\lambda_{(s)}$, ζ , r , P^* , were adopted from independent tests performed in Serrata clay and suggested values reported in Volckaert et al. (2000). The elastic parameters of the microstructure are equal to ones of the elastic part of the BBM. The parameters related to the interaction functions were obtained from model calibration. An initial relative humidity close to 22 % was measured (Volckaert et al. 2000), and therefore an initial suction of 210 MPa was adopted for both media. According to the SWRC the initial saturation in medium 2 (clay-pellets) is $S_{l2} = 0.59$. This implies an initial water content of the clay-pellets ~6.9%, which is very close to the reported one (~6.4 %). As for medium 1, the initial $S_{l1} = 0.06$. Based on the experimental data, the following macro and micro porosity values were adopted: $\phi_1 = 0.335$, and $\phi_2 = 0.097$. The test was simulated as a 1-D boundary value problem. Tables 1 and 2 list the parameters related to the mechanical and flow problems, respectively.

Fig. 5a) presents the comparisons between experimental (symbols) and model (line) results. This test (as all the other tests reported by Volckaert et al. (2000)) showed two swelling steps, with the three main stages: i) an initial stage, related to a (quite fast) swelling; ii) a transition stage, with a moderate swelling; and iii) a second and final swelling. The model was able to reproduce this behavior by distinguishing each swelling phase according to the structural level involved in it. The first swelling is ascribed to the medium 1. The water flowing through the (big) interconnected macropores hydrated first the periphery of the clay-pellets and the clay-powder, inducing an initial expansion of the sample. This swelling tends to close the macropores and also to induce an increase of the swelling pressure (stage 1). Once the more accessible clay minerals were hydrated, the rate of swelling decreases (stage 2). This stage is related to the delayed transfer of water from the macro level (1), to the clay-pellet (intraaggregate) level (2). This stage is mainly control by the leakage term (Eq. 20). Then, the swelling rate increased again (third stage), induced by the delayed hydration (and associated swelling) of the high-density clay-pellets. The model results in terms of water intake are also quite satisfactory. Fig. 5b) shows the time evolution of the two media porosities (scaled respect their initial porosities) for a point located at the center of the sample. It is observed that the macroporosity tends to increase at the beginning of the hydration (i.e. around between 1 and 3 days) because of the initial hydration quoted above, but decrease substantially afterwards when the microstructure swells.

Fig. 5c) presents the time evolution of the suctions (associated with each porous media) at two different positions: i) a point located at the hydration boundary (identify as 'sup'), ii) a point at the center of the specimen (coded as 'cent'). Initially the water flow took place predominantly through the macropores (medium 1) by advection. At the same time water

was slowly transferred from the macropores to the clay-pellets (medium 2), via the leakage term, leading to an equilibration of both suctions in the long term.

Fig. 6a) presents the evolution of the intrinsic permeability of each medium in a zone close to the hydration boundary. Initially, there was a significant permeability contrast between the two porous media, which implies that the macropores acted as preferential path-ways. However, as the pellets were hydrated (through water mass transfer term between media) they expanded and compressed the macropores, leading to a more homogeneous material at the end of the test, with similar permeability values in both media. According to Fig. 6b), the model predicts that this homogenization took place in zones close to hydration front mainly, because in zones away from it (i.e. near the center of the sample) the two different pore levels still remained. This result is in agreement with the observations of the postmortem test of this sample reported by Volckaert et al. (2000).

It has been shown that proposed double porosity formulation was able to reproduce the main relevant physical phenomena observed in this test involving a clay mixture.

ANALYSIS OF CELL INFILTRATION TESTS

The behavior of the unsaturated compacted FEBEX bentonite during infiltration cell tests is studied in this section under thermal gradient and isothermal conditions. The next section focuses on the material and the results from the swelling pressure tests. Afterwards, the infiltration tests are presented together with the associated modeling.

MATERIAL AND SWELLING PRESSURE TESTS

The FEBEX bentonite was selected by ENRESA (Spanish Agency for Radioactive Waste Management) for backfilling and sealing functions in nuclear waste repositories. The montmorillonite content of this clay is higher than 90%. Its liquid and plastic limits are 102% and 53%, respectively. The relatively low values of the Atterberg's limits can be attributed to the significant quantity of 'silt-sized aggregates', which are pseudomorphs of the volcanic minerals transformed into smectite (Villar, 2002). Fig. 7a) shows the (granulated) raw material used in the experiments. Fig. 7b) shows the uniaxially and statically compacted samples in the oedometer ring used to determine the swelling pressure of this material. The inner diameter and length of the specimens were 5.0 and 1.2 cm respectively. Nominal dry densities (ρ_d) varying between 1.40 and 1.70 Mg/m³ were obtained by this method.

Fig. 7c) shows the clear bimodal pore size distribution observed from mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) tests performed on two samples of FEBEX bentonite compacted at two different ρ_d : 1.5 Mg/m³ and 1.8 Mg/m³. The dominant pore size around 10 nm corresponds to the pores inside clay aggregates (i.e. small 'intra-aggregate'). The larger pore size depends on the dry density and ranges from 10 μ m (for ρ_d =1.8 Mg/m³) to 40 μ m (for ρ_d =1.5 Mg/m³). These larger voids would correspond to the 'inter-aggregate' pores. This double structure was also detected using other techniques, such as Scanning Electron Microscope and water retention curve tests (Lloret et al., 2003). The two dominant pore sizes could be associated with two basic structural levels (Fig. 2b)): i) the macrostructure, related to the global arrangements of clay aggregates (with macropores between them); and ii) the microstructure, which corresponds to the active clay minerals (with micropores inside the clay aggregates).

The samples were hydrated at constant volume through the bottom surface with deionized water injected at a pressure of 0.6 MPa, while the upper outlet remained open to atmosphere. At the same time, the load cell measured the swelling pressure exerted by the clay. The small vertical deformations of the specimen, arising mainly from the load cell and frame deformability, were measured by LVDTs. The actual density after the tests differed slightly from the nominal one due to the small displacement allowed by the equipment (e.g. about 0.4 mm when the vertical stress was 7 MPa). The tests were performed at a constant temperature of around $27\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. Fig. 8a) shows a photo of the high pressure oedometer, while Fig. 8b) presents a scheme of the apparatus indicating its main components. The FEBEX bentonite has been extensively investigated during the last few years and detailed information about this material can be found elsewhere (e.g. Villar, 2002; Huertas et al., 2006; Lloret et al.; 2003; Gens et al., 2009).

Typical results of the swelling-pressure tests are presented in Fig. 9. Swelling pressure started to increase as soon as the water was in contact with the clay, but it did not develop at a constant rate. After a sharp initial increase, there was a period of time in which the pressure increased more slowly (or even remained fairly constant for some hours), and then increased again, in a second and final swelling period. This behavior is more apparent in samples of lower ρ_d .

These tests were simulated as a boundary value problem using a 1-D model. The same constitutive laws presented in the previous section were used in the simulations. Table 3 contains the main parameters adopted in the modelling. According to Gens and Alonso (1992), the dependence of swelling pressure on initial density can be taken into account

through p_0^* . Three stages were detected in the tests: i) an initial swelling, that can be associated with the hydration and swelling of the more accessible clay minerals., ii) a transition stage between the first and the second swelling; and iii) a final swelling corresponding to the eventual hydration of the clays aggregates (i.e. the ‘silt-sized aggregates’ mentioned above), modeled in this framework through the microstructural law (Eq. 28). The transition stage is related to the water transfer from the macro to micro structure. In low density (high-porosity) samples, the flow and hydration through the macropores took place very fast, when compared against the time needed for the hydration (and swelling) of the clay aggregates. Because of this, there is a clear differentiation between the first and second swellings. In denser (low permeability) samples, the two characteristic times (i.e. flow through the macropores and aggregates hydration) are similar, and this is why there is not a marked difference between the first and second swellings. This aspect is properly controlled through the leakage term used in this formulation. It can be seen that the model is able to reproduce quite satisfactorily the three observed stages, both in terms of kinetics of swelling and also maximum swelling pressure values.

INFILTRATION TESTS

Two infiltration tests were performed by CIEMAT (Spain) in cylindrical cells 40 cm long and 7 cm diameter. They were made of Teflon[®] to minimize lateral heat conduction, and were externally covered with steel semi-cylindrical pieces to prevent the deformation of the cell by bentonite swelling. In one of the tests (GT40) the clay was heated through the bottom surface at a constant temperature of 100°C. The other test (IT40) was carried out at isothermal conditions. The cells were instrumented with relative humidity and temperature sensors placed inside the clay at three different levels separated by 10 cm. The relative humidity and temperature evolution at different levels inside the clay were recorded. The

FEBEX clay was compacted with its hygroscopic water content (around 14 %) at an initial nominal dry density of 1.67 Mg/m^3 . Granitic water was injected through the upper part of the cells (in both tests) at a pressure of 1.2 MPa. Fig. 10a) shows a photo of the cells during operation, while Fig. 10b) illustrates the experimental setup showing its main components. More details can be found in Villar and Gómez-Espina (2009).

The tests were modeled with two different *THM* approaches: i) a single porosity model, using an equivalent porosity, and ii) a double porosity model that distinguishes the two basic pore levels present in this material (i.e. Fig. 7c). As for i), the model known as Operational Base Case (OBC) was adopted in this study. The OBC model was used in a number of simulations related to other FEBEX experiments, and it can be considered as a ‘standard’ approach to analyze this type of problem. The OBC has been extensively reported in the literature (e.g. Villar et al., 2008; Gens et al., 2009, Sánchez et al., 2012.a, 2012.b). The main constitutive laws and model parameters of the OBC model are presented in Table 4. As for the double porosity/structure model (coded as ‘DS’), the same constitutive equations presented in the previous sections were adopted in this analysis.

Fig. 11a) presents the results in terms of relative humidity for the cell IT40; Fig 11b) shows similar results for the cell GT40; and Fig 11c) presents the time evolution of temperature for test GT40. In these three plots the experimental data is represented with symbols, the OBC results with dash lines, and the DS outputs with solid lines. All the results are presented for a period of 10 years. As for the relative humidity, it can be seen that the OBC model predict a relatively quick saturation of the clay, faster than the experimental values in both cells. A similar trend was observed in the ‘mock-up test’, which is a large clay-barrier heating test that is being carried out at CIEMAT facilities in the context of the FEBEX

project (e.g. Sánchez et al., 2012.a). The results from the DS model are quite close to the actual observations for all the positions analyzed and for both cells. As for the evolution of temperature, both models reproduce quite well the thermal field in the GT40 cell. The OBC model tends to predict higher temperatures, particularly at advanced stages of the test. This is because of the higher saturation predicted by this model and the associated higher thermal conductivities impacting in the predicted thermal field.

In this type of clay, the water flow takes place through the macropores mainly. During clay hydration the stiffer clay-aggregates tend to expand and to close the soft-macropores (this is particularly critical under confined conditions); which lead to a reduction of the permeability associated with the macropores. The swelling of the microstructure is also associated with an increase of the microporosity and permeability related to this pore structure. However, the water at the microstructural level is strongly attached to the clay minerals. Therefore this water does not move easily under pressure gradients. For example, some works have reported that density of the absorbed water can be around 1.38 Mg/m^3 (Skipper et al., 1991), and the water viscosity can be higher up to a factor of seven (Ichikawa et al., 2002). This implies that the water mobility through the micropores is very limited. This clay-water behavior (i.e. high water density and viscosity) implies that the coefficient of permeability of the microstructure is around one order of magnitude smaller than the one of the macrostructure. The final outcome of these two phenomena (i.e. reduction of macroporosity induced by the expansion of the stiff-clay-aggregates and the limited mobility of the microstructural water) is that during wetting the pore structure of the bentonite evolve, affecting the kinetic of hydration and leading to a global decrease of the soil permeability.

Figures 12 presents the variation of the pore spaces during hydration in terms of void ratios for the test GT. Fig. 12a) presents the distribution of global void ratio (i.e. $e = \frac{v_v}{v_s} = e_1 + e_2 = \frac{v_{v1} + v_{v2}}{v_s}$) at different times. As expected, the model predicts higher void ratios near the hydration front due to clay swelling; and shrinkage near the heater. Fig 12b) shows that the micro-porosity tends to increase during hydration due to the wetting and swelling of the active clay minerals (which are associated with the microstructural level in this model). This microstructural expansion under confined conditions, tends to compress the macropores (Fig 12c)) and therefore, to reduce the clay permeability, delaying the hydration process. It can be observed that the double porosity model in conjunction to the double structure mechanical law plays an instrumental role in properly predicting the clay barrier behavior.

CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS

In many engineering problems the use of a double porosity formulation is more realistic because it is possible to take explicitly into account the different phenomena that take place in each void level, with their respective constitutive laws, parameters and also their mutual interactions. In this work a fully coupled *THM* formulation for dual porosity materials was proposed. The formulation is very general and able to incorporate different constitutive laws for the mechanical, hydraulic and thermal problem for each pore level considered. The formulation was implemented in the finite element program `CODE_BRIGHT` and it was used with success to solve problems related to double structure media, involving heterogenous man-made soils made up from high-density clay-pellets mixtures, and also compacted expansive soils.

It was observed that the double porosity framework was able to capture features of soil behavior that is not possible to reproduce with single porosity approaches. In particular, the double porosity model was capable to simulate the presence of more than one swelling stages in expansive materials, and explain the kinetic of swelling observed in samples prepared at different dry densities. It was also able to model the slow rate of hydration observed in infiltration tests. Experiments at constant temperature and with thermal gradient were analyzed. Thanks to the explicit inclusion of the two pore levels (very characteristic of expansive clays) in the analysis, the model was able to simulate the expansion of clay minerals under confined conditions, with the consequent reduction of macroporosity and its effect on permeability.

REFERENCES

- Aifantis, E. (1980). "On the problem of diffusion in solids". *Acta Mechanica*, **37**(3-4), 265-296
- Alonso, E.E. (1998). "Modelling expansive soil behaviour". *Second International Conference on Unsaturated Soils. Beijing, China*; **1**, 37-70.
- Alonso, E.E., Gens, A, and Josa A. (1990). "A constitutive model for partially saturated soils". *Géotechnique*, **40**(3), 405-430.
- Alonso, E.E., Gens, A, and Lloret A. (1991). "Double structure model for the prediction of long-term movements in expansive materials". *Computer Methods and Advances in Geomechanics (Beer, Booker, Carter, ed.) Balkema, Rotterdam*, **1**, 541-548
- Alonso, E.E., Lloret, A., Gens, A., and Yang, DQ. (1995). "Experimental behaviour of highly expansive double-structure clay". *Proc. 1st Int. Conference on Unsaturated Soils, Paris. Balkema*, **1**, 11-16.
- Alonso, E. E., Olivella, S., and Pinyol, N. M. (2005). "A review of Beliche Dam". *Géotechnique* **55**(4), 267–285
- Alonso, EE. Romero, E. and Hoffmann, C. (2011). "Hydromechanical behaviour of compacted granular expansive mixtures: experimental and constitutive study". *Geotechnique* **61**(4), 329–344
- Alonso, E.E., Vaunat, J., and Gens, A. (1999). "Modelling the mechanical behaviour of expansive clays". *Engineering Geology*, **54**, 173-183.
- Bai, M., Ma, Q., and Roegiers, J. (1994). "Dual-porosity behaviour of naturally fractured reservoirs". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, **18**, 359- 376.
- Barrenbaltt, G., Zeltov, I., and Kochina, N. (1960). "Basic concepts in the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks". *Pirkh. Mat. Mekh.*, **24**, 852-864
- Batchelor, G.K: (1983). "Fluid Dynamics". *Cambridge University Press*.
- Birkholzer, J., and Tsang, W. (2000). "Modelling the thermal-hydrologic processes in a large-scale underground heater test in partially saturated fractured tuff". *Water Resources Research*, **36**(6), 1431-1447.
- Borja, R., and Koliji A. (2009). "On the effective stress in unsaturated porous continua with double porosity". *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, **57**, 1182–1193
- Callari, C., and Federico, F. (2000). "FEM validation of a double-porosity elastic model for consolidation of structurally complex clayey soils". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, **24**, 367- 402.
- Carrera, J., Sánchez-Vila, X., Benet I, Medina A, Galarza G, and Guimerà J. (1997). "On matrix diffusions: formulations, solution methods and qualitative effects". *Hydrogeology Journal*, **6**, 178-190.
- Ellsworth, D., and Bai, M. (1992). "Flow-Deformation Response of Dual-Porosity Media". *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, **118**(1), 107-124.
- Gens, A., Alonso, E.E. (1992). "A framework for the behaviour of unsaturated expansive clays". *Can. Geotech. Jnl.*, **29**, 1013-1032.

- Gens, A., Garcia Molina, A., Olivella, S., Alonso, E.E. and Huertas, F. (1998). "Analysis of a full scale in-situ test simulating repository conditions". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech*, 22, 515- 548.
- Gens, A., Sánchez, M., Guimaraes, L., Alonso, E.E., Lloret, A., Olivella, S., Villar, M.V., and Huertas, F. (2009). "A full scale in situ heating test for high level nuclear waste disposal. Observations, analysis and interpretation". *Géotechnique*, 59, 377-399.
- Gens, A., Vallejan, B., Sánchez, M., Imbert, C., Villar, MV., and Van Geet, M. (2011). "Hydromechanical behaviour of a heterogeneous compacted soil: experimental observations and modeling". *Géotechnique*, 61(5), 367 –386.
- Ghafouri, H. and Lewis, R. (1996). "A finite element double porosity model for heterogeneous deformable porous media". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, 20, 831-844.
- Hoffmann, C., Romero, E. and Alonso, EE. (2007). "Hydro-mechanical behaviour of bentonite pellet mixtures". *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 32: 832–849
- Hueckel, T. (1992). "Water-mineral interaction in hygromechanics of clays exposed to environmental loads: a mixture-theory approach". *Can. Geotech. J.* 29: 1071-1086
- Huertas, F., Farina, P., Farias, J., Garcia-Sineriz, J.L., Villar, M.V., Fernandez, A.M., Martin, P.L., Elorza, F.J., Gens, A., Sánchez, M., Lloret, A., Samper, J., and Martinez, M.A. (2006). "Full-scale engineered barrier experiment. Updated Final Report". *Technical Publication 05-0/2006*, ENRESA, Madrid.
- Huyakorn, B., Lester, A., and Faust C. (1983). "Finite element techniques for modelling groundwater flow in fractured aquifers". *Water Resources Research*, 19(4), 1019-1035.
- Kazemi, H., Merrill, L., Porterfield, K. & Zeman, P. (1976). "Numerical simulation of water-oil flow in naturally fractured reservoirs". *Soc. Pet. Eng. Jnl.* 16, 317-326
- Khalili, N., and Selvadurai, A.P (2003). "A fully coupled constitutive model for thermo-hydro-mechanical analysis in elastic media with double porosity". *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(24), 2268.
- Khalili, N., Valliappan, S., and Wan, C. (1999). "Consolidation of fissured clays". *Géotechnique*, 49 (1), 75-89.
- Lewis, R., and Ghafouri, H. (1997). "A novel finite element double porosity model for multiphase flow through deformable fractured porous media". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech*, 21, 789-816.
- Lloret, A., Villar, M.V., Sánchez, M., Gens, A., Pintado, X., and Alonso, E. (2003). "Mechanical behaviour of heavily compacted bentonite under high suction changes". *Géotechnique*, 53(1), 27-40.
- Mašín, D. (2013). "Double structure hydromechanical coupling formalism and a model for unsaturated expansive clays". *Engineering Geology*, 165(24), 73–88
- Master, I., Pao, W., and Lewis, R. (2000). "Coupling temperature to a double-porosity model of deformable porous media. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng*, 49, 421-438.
- Musso, A., and Federico, F. (1993). "Consolidation of highly fissured clays" (in Italian). *Rivista Italiana di Geotecnica*, 3, 247-273.
- Olivella, S., Carrera, J., Gens, A., and Alonso E.E. (1994). "Non-isothermal multiphase flow of brine and gas through saline media". *Transport in porous media*, 15, 271-293.

- Olivella, S., Gens, A., Carrera, J. and Alonso EE. (1996). "Numerical formulation for a simulator (CODE-BRIGHT) for the coupled analysis of saline media". *Engineering Computations*, 13(7), 87-112.
- Pasquiou, A. (2001). "Pellets d'argile gonflante élaboration et caractérisation hydromécanique". *Phd Thesis* L' Université des Sciences et Technologie de Lille, France.
- Pollock, D.W. (1986). "Simulation of fluid flow and energy transport processes associated with high-level radioactive waste disposal in unsaturated alluvium". *Water resource research*, 22 (5), 765-775.
- Sánchez, M. (2004). "Thermo-Hydro-Mechanical coupled analyses in low permeability media". *PhD Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain*. 2004
- Sánchez, M., Gens, A., Guimaraes, L., and Olivella, S. (2005). "A double structure generalized plasticity model for expansive materials". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech*, 29, 751-787
- Sánchez, M., Gens, A., and Olivella, S. (2012.a). "THM Analysis of a large scale heating test incorporating material fabric changes". *Int. Jnl. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech*, 36(4), 391-421.
- Sánchez, M., Gens, A., and Guimarães L. (2012.b). "Thermal-hydraulic-mechanical (THM) behaviour of a large-scale in situ heating experiment during cooling and dismantling". *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 49(10), 1169-1195.
- van Genuchten M.Th. (1980). "A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils". *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 44(5), 892-898.
- Villar, M.V., 2002. "Thermo-hydro-mechanical characterisation of a bentonite from Cabo de Gata. A Study Applied to the Use of Bentonite as Sealing Material in High Level Radioactive Waste Repositories". *Publicación Técnica ENRESA 01/2002*. Madrid. 258 pp.
- Villar, M.V., and Gómez-Espina, R. (2009). "Report on thermo-hydro-mechanical laboratory tests performed by CIEMAT on FEBEX bentonite 2004-2008". *CIEMAT*, Madrid
- Villar, M.V., Sánchez, M., AND Gens., a. (2008). "Behaviour of a bentonite barrier in the laboratory: Experimental results up to 8 years and numerical simulation". *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 33, S476-S485.
- Volckaert, G., Dereeper, B., Put, M., Ortiz, L., Gens, A., Vaunat, J., Villar, MV., Martin, P.L., Imbert, C., Lassabatère, T., Mouche, E., and Cany, F. (200). "A large-scale in situ demonstration test for repository sealing in an argillaceous host rock". *Reseal project - Phase I. EUR 19612 EN*, European Commission, Brussels.
- Warren, J., and Root, P (1963). "The behaviour of naturally fractured reservoirs". *Trans AIME*, 22(8), 245-255.
- Wilson, R., and Aifantis, E. (1982). "On the theory of consolidation with double porosity". *Int. J. Engng Sci.*, 20 (9), 1019-1035.

APPENDIX

The *BBM* model is adopted to describe the behavior of medium 1, also identified as macrostructure. The corresponding yield surface (F_{LC}) is given by (25) and the plastic potential (G) is expressed as:

$$G = \alpha_G 3J^2 - \left[\frac{g(\theta)}{g(-30^\circ)} \right]^2 M^2 (p + p_s)(p_0 - p) = 0 \quad (A1)$$

where α_G is determined according to (Alonso et al., 1990). The dependence of the tensile strength on suction and temperature is given by:

$$p_s = k s_1 e^{-\rho \Delta T} \quad (A2)$$

where k and ρ are model parameters. The dependence of p_0 on suction is given by:

$$(a) \ p_0 = p_c \left(\frac{p_{0T}^*}{p_c} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_{(0)} - \kappa}{\lambda_{(s)} - \kappa}} ; \quad b) \ p_{0T}^* = p_0^* + 2(\alpha_1 \Delta T + \alpha_3 \Delta T |\Delta T|) \quad (A3)$$

where p_c is a reference stress, α_1 and α_3 are models parameters. $\lambda_{(s)}$ is the compressibility parameter for changes in net mean stress for virgin states of the soil. This parameter depends on suction according to:

$$\lambda_{(s)} = \lambda_{(0)} [r + (1-r) \exp(-\zeta s_1)] \quad (A4)$$

where r is a parameter which defines the minimum soil compressibility (at infinite suction) and ζ is a parameter which controls the rate of decrease of soil compressibility with suction. The macrostructural bulk modulus (K) for changes in mean stress is evaluated with the following law:

$$K_1 = \frac{(1+e)}{\kappa} p \quad (A5)$$

where κ is evaluated according to.

$$\kappa = \kappa_i (1 + \alpha_{s,i}) \quad (A6)$$

The macrostructural bulk modulus for changes in suction is computed considering the following law:

$$K_s = \frac{(1+e_1)(s_1 + p_{atm})}{\kappa_s} \quad (A7)$$

where κ_s is evaluated according to.

$$\kappa_s = \kappa_{s0} (1 + \alpha_{sp} \ln p / p_{ref}) \quad (A8)$$

The microstructural bulk modulus (K_2) can be calculated according to the two possible equations as follows, depending on the microstructural model adopted (Sánchez, 2004):

$$\text{a) } K_2 = \frac{e^{-\alpha_m \hat{p}}}{\beta_m} : \quad \text{b) } K_2 = \frac{(1+e_2)}{\kappa_2} \hat{p} \quad (A9)$$

where α_m and β_m are model parameters for the exponential model A9a), and κ_2 is a model parameter for the model A9b).

The global bulk modulus can be calculated as follows:

$$K = \left(\frac{1}{K_1} + \frac{1}{K_2} \right)^{-1} \quad (A10)$$

The shear modulus is calculated through:

$$816 \quad G_t = \frac{3(1-2\mu)K}{2(1+\mu)} \quad (A11)$$

817 The macrostructural bulk modulus for changes in temperature is computed considering the
818 following law:

$$819 \quad K_T = \frac{1}{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 \Delta T)} \quad (A12)$$

820 where α_0 and α_2 are parameters related to the elastic thermal strain.

Notation

α	phase index, s solid, l liquid, g gas.	F_{NL}	Neutral Line
α_G	parameter related to the plastic potential	f	interaction function between structural levels
α_0	parameter for elastic thermal strain	f_j^i	external mass supply per unit volume of medium ($i=w,a,; j=1,2$)
α_1	parameter that relates p_o^* with T	f_j^E	Internal/external energy supply per unit volume of medium ($j=1,2$)
α_2	parameter for elastic thermal strain	$\mathbf{g}$	gravity vector
α_3	parameter that relates p_o^* with T	G	plastic potential
α_m	parameter controlling the microstructural soil stiffness	G_t	shear modulus
α_s	parameter related to the soil stiffness	g	lode angle function
χ	F_{NL} slope	$\mathbf{I}$	identity matrix
ΔT	temperature increment ($T - T_0$)	$\mathbf{i}_{\alpha j}$	non-advective mass flux ($i=w,a; \alpha=l,g; j=1,2$)
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$	strain vector. $\{\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_z, \gamma_{xy}, \gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{yz}\}^T$	$\mathbf{i}_{c j}$	non-advective heat flux ($j=1,2$)
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^e$	elastic strain increment due to stress changes	J	2 nd stress invariant of deviatoric stress tensor
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p$	plastic strain increment due to stress changes	$\mathbf{j}_{Ej}^i$	advective energy flux in α -phase with respect to a fixed reference system ($j=1,2$)
$\mathcal{E}_{v1}^p$	plastic volumetric strain of medium 1	$\mathbf{j}_{Ej}^{i'}$	advective energy flux in α -phase with respect to the solid phase ($j=1,2$)
$\mathcal{E}_{v2}^p$	plastic volumetric strain of medium 2	$\mathbf{j}_{\alpha j}^i$	total mass flux of i -species in α -phase with respect to a fixed reference system ($j=1,2$)
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{sj}$	elasto-plastic vector associated with suction j ($j=1,2$)	$\mathbf{j}_{\alpha j}^{i'}$	total mass flux of i -species in α -phase with respect to the solid phase ($j=1,2$)
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_T$	elasto-plastic vector associated with temperature	$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha j}$	permeability tensor ($\alpha=l,g; j=1,2$)
Γ^i	mass exchange term ($i=w,a$)	$\mathbf{k}_j$	intrinsic permeability tensor ($j=1,2$)
γ	leakage parameter	$k_{r\alpha j}$	α -phase relative permeability ($\alpha=l,g; j=1,2$)
ϕ, ϕ_j	porosity, medium porosity ($j=1,2$)	K	global bulk modulus
ϕ_0	reference porosity	K_s	bulk modulus for changes in suction (medium 1)
κ_0	saturated elastic stiffness parameter for changes in stress	K_T	bulk modulus for changes in temperature
κ	elastic stiffness parameter for changes in stress at current suction	K_j	bulk modulus for changes in mean stress for medium j ($j=1,2$)
κ_s	macrostructural elastic stiffness parameter for changes in suction	k	parameter describing the increase in cohesion with suction
λ	global thermal conductivity	LC	Loading-Collapse yield surface (BBM)

λ_α	thermal conductivity of the α phase ($\alpha=s, l, g$)	lc	plastic mechanism related to <i>BBM</i>
λ_{sat}	thermal conductivities of the fully saturated soil	$\mathbf{m}$	auxiliary unit vector $\mathbf{m}^T = (1,1,1,0,0,0)$
λ_{dry}	thermal conductivities of the fully dry soil	M	slope of critical state line
$\lambda_{(sI)}$	macrostructural stiffness parameter for changes in net mean stress for virgin states of soil at suction s_I	$P_{\alpha j}$	fluid pressure of the α -phase ($\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)
λ_o, λ_d	retention curve parameters	P_o, P_d	retention curve parameters
μ	Poisson's coefficient	$\hat{p}$	generalized effective stress of medium2
$\mu_{\alpha j}$	dynamic viscosity of α -phase ($\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)	p	mean net stress
θ	Lode's angle	p_c	reference stress
$\theta_{\alpha j}^i$	($=\rho_{\alpha j}\omega_{\alpha j}^i$) mass of i -species in α -phase per unit volume of α -phase ($i=w, a$; $\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)	p_0	net mean yield stress at current suction and temperature
$\omega_{\alpha j}^i$	mass fraction of i -species in α -phase ($i=w, a$; $\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)	$\dot{p}_0^*$	net mean yield stress for saturated conditions at reference temperature
ρ	parameter that relates cohesion and T	$\dot{p}_{0T}^*$	net mean yield stress for saturated conditions at current temperature
ρ_s	solid density	$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha j}$	volumetric flux of α -phase with respect to the solid ($\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)
$\rho_{\alpha j}$	mass of α -phase per unit of volume of α -phase ($\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)	r	parameter defining the maximum macrostructural soil stiffness
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t$	total stress vector. $\{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}\}_t^T$	$S_{\alpha j}$	volumetric fraction of pore volume occupied by α -phase ($\alpha=l, g$; $j=1,2$)
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	net stress vector ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t - \mathbf{I}p_g$)	s_j	suction of medium j ($p_g - p_{ij}$; $j=1,2$)
ζ	parameter controlling the rate of increase of macrostructural soils stiffness with suction.	T	temperature (T_0 = reference temperature)
$\mathbf{D}_e$	elastic matrix	T_v	time factor
$\mathbf{D}_{ep}$	elasto-plastic matrix	t	Time
E_α	internal energy of α -phase per unit mass of α phase	$\mathbf{u}$	solid displacement vector
$E_{\alpha j}^i$	internal energy of i -species in α -phase per unit mass of i -species ($j=1,2$)	u_j	excess pores pressures ($j=1,2$)
e_j	void ratio of medium j ($j=1,2$)	V, V_v	volume, volume of voids
F_{LC}	<i>BBM</i> yield surface	V_{v1}, V_{v2}	V_v of medium 1 and 2

Table 2. Parameters related to the flow problem presented in Section 4.2

Parameters defining the retention curve				
P_{o1} (MPa)	P_{o2} (MPa)	$\lambda_{o1}=\lambda_{o2}$	$\lambda_{d1}=\lambda_{d2}$	$P_{d1}=P_{d2}$ (MPa)
50	1100	0.60	2.40	1100
Parameters defining the permeability law				
k_{o1} (m ²)	ϕ_o	b	n	
3.20 10 ⁻²¹	0.40	27	3	
Leakage parameter (kg s ⁻¹ m ⁻³ MPa ⁻¹) = 4.0x10 ⁻⁷				

Table 3. Main parameters of the elasto-plastic constitutive law presented in Section 5.1

Parameters defining the Barcelona Basic Model for macrostructural behavior										
κ_o	α_s	κ_s	μ	p_c (MPa)	r	ζ	$\lambda_{(o)}$	p_o^* (MPa)		
0.125	-0.137	0.013	0.40	0.20	0.80	8.00	0.50	10.0 ⁽¹⁾	7.5 ⁽²⁾	5.5 ⁽³⁾
(1) $\rho_d = 1.6 \text{ Mg/m}^3$; (2) $\rho_d = 1.5 \text{ Mg/m}^3$; (3) $\rho_d = 1.4 \text{ Mg/m}^3$										
Parameters defining the law for microstructural behavior										
α_m (MPa ⁻¹)				β_m (MPa ⁻¹)			χ			
2.0 e ⁻⁰²				2.1 e ⁻⁰³			1			
Interaction functions										
$f_C = 1 + 0.9 \tanh(20(p / p_o) - 0.25)$ $f_S = 0.8 - 1.1 \tanh(20(p / p_o) - 0.25)$										

Table 4. Main parameters of the elasto-plastic constitutive law presented in Section 5.1

Equation	Variable name	Equation	Parameter relationships	Parameters
Constitutive equations				
Darcy' laws	Liquid and gas advective flux	$\mathbf{q}_\alpha = -\mathbf{k} \frac{k_{r\alpha}}{\mu_\alpha} (\nabla P_\alpha - \rho_\alpha \mathbf{g}) \quad \alpha = l, g$	$\mathbf{k} = k_0 \frac{\phi^3}{(1-\phi)^2} \frac{(1-\phi_0)^2}{\phi_0^3} \mathbf{I} \quad k_{rl} = S_e^n$	k ₀ =1.9 e ⁻²¹ m ² ; n ₀ = 0.40; n = 3
Fick's law	Vapour non advective flux	$\mathbf{i}_g^w = - \left(\phi \rho_g S_g \tau D_m^w \mathbf{I} + \rho_g \mathbf{D}_g^* \right) \nabla \omega_g^w$	$D_m^w = 5.9 \times 10^{-12} \frac{(273.15 + T)^{2.3}}{P_g}$	τ =0.8
Fourier's law	Conductive heat flux	$\mathbf{i}_c = -\lambda \nabla T$	$\lambda = \lambda_{sat}^{s_e} \lambda_{dry}^{(1-s_e)}$	λ _{sat} =1.15 λ _{dry} =0.47
Retention curve	Phase degree of saturation	$S_e = \left[1 + \left(\frac{s}{P_o} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_o}} \right]^{-\lambda_o} \left(1 - \frac{s}{P_d} \right)^{\lambda_d}$	$S_e = \frac{S_l - S_{lr}}{S_{ls} - S_{lr}} \quad S_l = 1 - S_g$	P ₀ =28 MPa; λ=0.18 P _d =1100MPa; λ _d =1.1
Mechanical Constitutive Model	Stress Tensor	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \mathbf{D}_\varphi \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \gamma_s \dot{s} + \gamma_T \dot{T} \ ; \ \frac{\dot{p}_0^*}{p_0^*} = \frac{(1+e)}{(\lambda_{(0)} - \kappa)} \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_v^p}{\dot{p}_v}$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_v^e = \frac{\kappa}{(1+e)} \frac{\dot{p}}{p} + \frac{\kappa_s}{(1+e)} \frac{\dot{s}}{(s+0.1)}$ $+ (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 \Delta T) \dot{T}$	$F = \frac{3J^2}{g_y^2} - L_y^2 (p + P_s)(P_o - p) = 0 \ ; \ p_0 = p_c \left(\frac{p_{0T}^*}{p_c} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_{(0)} - \kappa}{\lambda_{(v)} - \kappa}}$ $p_s = k_s e^{-\rho \Delta T} \ ; \ p_{0T}^* = p_0^* + 2 \left(\alpha_1 \Delta T + \alpha_3 \Delta T \left \Delta T \right \right)$ $\lambda_{(s)} = \lambda_{(0)} \left[r + (1-r) \exp(-\zeta s) \right]$ Mechanical model from Alonso et al. (1990) & Gens (1995)	κ=0.04; λ ₍₀₎ =0.14 P _o [*] =14 MPa r=0.75; ζ=0.05 p _c =0.10MPa; M=1.5 k=0.1; v=0.4 α ₁ =1.5x10 ⁻⁴ [1/C]; ρ=0.2
Phase density	Liquid density Gas density	$\rho_l = 1002.6 \exp \left(4.5 \times 10^{-4} (P_l - 0.1) - 3.4 \times 10^{-4} T \right) \ ; \ \rho_g = \text{ideal gas law}$		
Phase viscosity	Liquid viscosity Gas viscosity	$\mu_l = 2.1 \times 10^{-12} \exp \left(\frac{1808.5}{273.15 + T} \right) \ ; \ \mu_g = 1.48 \times 10^{-12} \exp \left(\frac{(273.15 + T)^{1/2}}{1 + \frac{119}{(273.15 + T)}} \right)$		
Equilibrium restrictions				
Henry's Law	Air dissolved mass fraction	$\theta_l^a = \omega_a' \rho_l = \frac{P_a}{H} \frac{M_a}{M_w} \rho_l$		
Psychometric Law	Water vapour dissolved mass fraction	$\theta_g^w = \left(\theta_g^w \right)^0 \exp \left(\frac{\Psi M_w}{R (273.15 + T) \rho_l} \right)$	$\left(\theta_g^w \right)^0 = \frac{M_w P_{v(T)}}{R (273.15 + T)}$

Figure 1. Clay-pellets.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of double porosity concept, application to: a) fractured rocks, fissured clays (modified after Ghafouri and Lewis, 1996); b) expansive double structure clays.

Figure 3.a) Three dimensional representation of the *BBM* yield surface adopted for the macrostructure.
 b) Schematic representation of the expansive model on the p - s plane.

Figure 4. Serrata clay (also known as FEBEX bentonite): a) intrinsic permeability, and b) soil water retention curve (Huertas et al., 2000).

Figure 5. Swelling pressure test 'Reseal16' (experimental data from Volckaert et al., 2000): a) comparison of experimental data against numerical results in terms of swelling pressure and water intake versus time, b) evolution of macro and micro porosity at the center of the sample, c) time evolution of the suctions of in medium 1 and 2 (model results only).

Figure 6. a) Evolution of intrinsic permeability in a zone close to the hydration boundary. b) Variation of porosity of medium 1 (macro) and 2 (micro) versus swelling pressure.

Figure 7. FEBEX bentonite: a) granulated raw material, and b) compacted sample, and c) mercury intrusion porosimetry tests of FEBEX bentonite (modified after Lloret et al., 2003).

Figure 8 High-pressure oedometer: a) photo, and b) schematic representation showing its main components.

Figure 9. Evolution of swelling pressures tests at three different densities. Symbols represent experimental data and lines model results.

Figure 10. Infiltration cells: a) photo during operation, isothermal, I40 (left) and thermal gradient, GT40 (right); and b) experimental setup showing the main components.

Figure 11. Plots showing experimental data and numerical results for the double structure (DS) and operational base case (OBC) models related to the cells GT40 and IT 40, results up to 10 years: a) time evolution of relative humidity cell IT40, b) time evolution of relative humidity of GT40; and c) time evolution of temperature GT40

Figure 12. Evolution of different void ratio values along the cell at different times: a) global void ratio, b) micro void ratio, and c) macro void ratio.

Figures captions

Figure 1. Clay-pellets.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of double porosity concept, application to: a) fractured rocks, fissured clays (modified after Ghafouri and Lewis, 1996); b) expansive double structure clays.

Figure 3.a) Three dimensional representation of the *BBM* yield surface adopted for the macrostructure. b) Schematic representation of the expansive model on the $p-s$ plane.

Figure 4. Serrata clay (also known as FEBEX bentonite): a) intrinsic permeability, and b) soil water retention curve (Huertas et al., 2000).

Figure 5. Swelling pressure test ‘Reseal16’ (experimental data from Volckaert et al., 2000): a) comparison of experimental data against numerical results in terms of swelling pressure and water intake versus time, b) time evolution of the suctions of in medium 1 and 2 (model results only), c) evolution of macro and micro porosity at the center of the sample.

Figure 6. a) Evolution of intrinsic permeability in a zone close to the hydration boundary. b) Variation of porosity of medium 1 (macro) and 2 (micro) versus swelling pressure.

Figure 7. FEBEX bentonite: a) granulated raw material, and b) compacted sample, and c) mercury intrusion porosimetry tests of FEBEX bentonite (modified after Lloret et al., 2003).

Figure 8 High-pressure oedometer: a) photo, and b) schematic representation showing its main components.

Figure 9. Evolution of swelling pressures tests at three different densities. Symbols represent experimental data and lines model results.

21 Figure 10. Infiltration cells: a) photo during operation, isothermal, I40 (left) and thermal gradient,
22 GT40 (right); and b) experimental setup showing the main components.

23 Figure 11. Plots showing experimental data and numerical results for the double structure (DS) and
24 operational base case (OBC) models related to the cells GT40 and IT 40, results up to 10 years: a)
25 time evolution of relative humidity cell IT40, b) time evolution of relative humidity of GT40; and c)
26 time evolution of temperature GT40

27 Figure 12. Evolution of different void ratio values along the cell at different times: a) global void
28 ratio, b) micro void ratio, and c) macro void ratio.

29