REALIZING COSIMULATION IN AND WITH A MULTIPHYSICS FRAMEWORK

Philipp Bucher^{*a}, Aditya Ghantasala^a, Pooyan Dadvand^b Roland Wüchner^a,
Kai-Uwe Bletzinger^a

^aChair of Structural Analysis
Technische Universität München (TUM)
Arcisstr. 21, D-80333 München, Germany
e-mail: philipp.bucher@tum.de, ga29wun@mytum.de, wuechner@tum.de, kub@tum.de

^b Altair Engineering
Avenida Diagonal, 682, 08034 Barcelona, Spain;
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC)
Edifici C1, CIMNE - Plate 2, C. Jordi Girona, 1-3, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
e-mail: pooyan@altair.com, pooyan.dadvand@upc.edu

Key words: Coupled Problems, Multiphysics Problems, Software Design, Applications, High Performance Computing, MPI, FSI, Mapping, Partitioned Coupled Simulation

Abstract. Simulating coupled problems using a multiphysics framework is different from the classical approach using dedicated coupling tools. It can have several advantages such as reduced memory footprint or more efficient communication between the involved solvers. The realization of coupled simulations with a multiphysics framework is presented together with important details of the software design such as data management, data communication, mapping, and distributed computing. Several examples from different physical disciplines with coupling internal and external solvers are shown.

1 INTRODUCTION

Coupled problems are often conducted with the partitioned approach by using black-box solvers/codes. The coupling between the solvers is mostly done with dedicated coupling tools such as *EMPIRE* [1], *comana* [2] or *preCICE* [3]. This approach inherently has disadvantages such as duplication of data as well as data communication between the solvers/codes and the coupling tool.

Performing coupled simulations within a multiphysics framework can mitigate or solve those issues as presented in this work. It builds on and extends [4].

After the introduction in the first chapter, chapter two discusses the software design for realizing CoSimulation in the multiphysics framework *Kratos Multiphysics* [5, 6] (*Kratos*).

The third chapter focuses on mapping as a crucial component of CoSimulation. Chapter four addresses the important aspects of CoSimulation in distributed environments. In chapter five the details of realizing CoSimulation with *Kratos* solvers are presented, followed by chapter six which shows the coupling to external solvers. The last chapters give a brief overview and conclusion of this work as well as an outlook. Finally, the acknowledgements finish this work.

2 SOFTWARE DESIGN

The implementation of CoSimulation features in a multiphysics framework is crucial for an efficient, versatile, and flexible simulation of coupled problems. In *Kratos* this is realized with the *CoSimulationApplication*. This chapter extends and continues the work of [4] and focuses on some important aspects of the software design and implementation.

First, the main components of the *CoSimulationApplication* are briefly explained below, their interaction is shown in figure 1.

- **SolverWrapper**: Baseclass and *CoSimulationApplication*-interface for all solvers/codes participating in the coupled simulation, each solver/code has its own specific version.
- **CoupledSolver**: Implements coupling schemes such as weak/strong coupling with Gauss-Seidel/Jacobi pattern. It derives from *SolverWrapper* such that it can be used in nested coupled simulations.
- **IO**: Responsible for communicating and data exchange with external solvers/codes
- **DataTransferOperator**: Transfers data from one discretization to another, e.g. by use of mapping techniques

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

- **CouplingOperation**: Tool for customizing coupled simulations
- **ConvergenceAccelerator**: Accelerating the solution in strongly coupled simulations by use of relaxation techniques
- **ConvergenceCriteria**: Checks if convergence is achieved in a strongly coupled simulation.
- **Predictor**: Improves the convergence by using a prediction as initial guess for the coupled solution

Kratos uses Python as scripting language and C++ as backend for performance critical tasks. This combination has proven to be very useful in practice as it combines the flexibility of Python with the performance of C++. The *CoSimulationApplication* makes use of these features which results in a flexible and performant framework. The flexibility is very important for coupled simulations as many of them require some special treatments.

Having the interfaces available in Python also means that integration of functionalities from other libraries (e.g. mapping) can be achieved easily.

Figure 1: UML diagram of *Kratos CoSimulationApplication*

2.1 Data management

Data plays a very important role in coupled simulations. Hence smart and efficient solutions are required to avoid potential overhead, both in terms of computation as well as memory.

The *CoSimulationApplication* uses the *Kratos* native data structure *ModelPart*. It is an elaborate data structure that provides all the necessary functionalities such as mesh, geometry, nodes, elements, and different databases.

The *ModelPart* is also used by the *Kratos* solvers, hence their data can be accessed directly without any upfront manipulation, no extra computation/memory overhead is necessary when accessing the data of *Kratos* solvers. This is a large advantage of performing coupled simulations with a multiphysics framework.

2.2 DataTransferOperator: Transferring data between ModelParts

The *ModelPart* is used as central data storage, each solver has at least one of them. CoSimulation requires the transfer of data between solvers, which means that data has to be transferred from one *ModelPart* to another. How the data is transferred strongly depends on its format. Possible formats are:

- Data not related to any field or geometry
- Field data which belongs to a mesh (or geometry)

An example of the first type is a single degree of freedom (SDOF) solver, which has only one degree of freedom that is not related to a mesh or geometry. Another example is input from a sensor. If combined with a model that has a geometry, then of course they can be associated in specific geometrical locations, but by themselves they don't have it. In those cases it is sufficient to copy the values, no mapping techniques are required.

A prominent example of the second type is fluid-structure interaction (FSI), where typically the displacements computed by the structural solver have to be transferred to the fluid solver, and the loads computed by the fluid solver have to be transferred to the structural solver. The loads and displacements are associated with the interface meshes of the respective solvers. Usually, those meshes are not matching due to the different technical requirements of the solvers, hence mapping techniques have to be used for transferring the data.

In the *CoSimulationApplication* the *DataTransferOperator* fulfills this task. Different operators are available, for mapping, copying of values, and others. This separation of concepts helps to keep the framework flexible as well as to split the responsibilities.

2.3 Customizing CoSimulation with the CouplingOperation

Coupled simulations can be done for many different applications, and in different ways. Hence the requirements for the coupling framework differ vastly for different cases. To fulfill the requirements and to give the necessary flexibility, mechanisms for customization have to be given.

This is the task of the *CouplingOperation* in the *CoSimulationApplication*. It is an object that can be used optionally in different places to perform different tasks related to the coupling. Examples of those tasks are writing output, computing auxiliary quantities such as normals or scaling values.

Adding a custom task is simple, it only requires adding a Python script that implements the desired functionality.

3 MAPPING

The transfer of data between non-matching discretizations requires the use of mapping techniques. As the solvers/codes participating in a coupled simulation often use meshes for solving their respective physics such as the finite element method (FEM), mapping is a crucial component of CoSimulation.

However as already explained in 2.2, mapping is not always needed and hence is treated as a separate, optional component. In *Kratos*, the mapping is implemented in the *MappingApplication* (see [7] and [8]). It is integrated into the *CoSimulationApplication* as a *DataTransferOperator*.

As the mapping is implemented in *Kratos*, it can directly access the internal data structure, the *ModelPart* (see 2.1). Therefore any memory overhead due to data duplication is avoided, which is very important especially for mapping between volume meshes.

The *MappingApplication* provides different mapping techniques such as nearest neighbor or nearest element. Furthermore, the mapping can be done in 1D (line to line), 2D (surface to surface), or 3D (volume to volume). It fully supports message passing interface (MPI) in distributed environments. More details can be found in [8].

4 COSIMULATION IN DISTRIBUTED ENVIRONMENTS

Large coupled problems are often conducted using high-performance computing (HPC) systems such as clusters or supercomputers. These systems employ special programming techniques for distributed computing such as MPI. *Kratos* supports distributed environments, see [9]. The *CoSimulationApplication* supports it too, also it implements functionalities to facilitate the solvers working distributed. Different scenarios for CoSimulation in distributed environments exist, as shown in figure 2.

Figure 2: Different scenarios for running CoSimulation in distributed environments (4 MPI-processors)

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

putting to avoid communication between the processors as it is overhead. This means that gathering and scattering of data should be avoided as much as possible and replaced with more efficient versions such as peer-to-peer communication. The *CoSimulationApplication* and especially the *MappingApplication* make use of peer-to-peer communication whenever possible.

5 COUPLING INSIDE KRATOS

As a multiphysics framework *Kratos* provides solution techniques for different physics such as structural analysis (within the *StructuralMechanicsApplication*), fluid dynamics (within the *FluidDynamicsApplication*), or discrete elements (within the *DEMAApplication*). As explained in 2.1, the solvers use the *ModelPart* as common data structure.

5.1 Common interface of Kratos solvers

Besides using the same data structure, the *Kratos* solvers also have a common user interface, the *AnalysisStage*. This interface accommodates the different solvers and also

is used throughout the *CoSimulationApplication*. The main functions are:

- **Initialize:** This function is called once at the beginning of the simulation, it e.g. reads the input files and prepares the internal data structures
- **RunSolutionLoop:** Iterates through the time steps. Is split up into the following six functions:
 - **AdvanceInTime:** Advancing in time and preparing the data structure for the next time step.
 - **InitializeSolutionStep:** Applying boundary conditions
 - **Predict:** Predicting the solution of this time step to accelerate the solution.
 - **SolveSolutionStep:** Solving the problem for this time step. This is the only function that can be called multiple times in an iterative solution procedure.
 - **FinalizeSolutionStep:** Updating internals after solving this time step.
 - **OutputSolutionStep:** Writing output at the end of a time step
- **Finalize:** Finalizing and cleaning up after the simulation

The interface of the *SolverWrapper* (see 2) follows the same interface, which makes the integration of *Kratos* solvers into the *CoSimulationApplication* straight forward.

5.2 Examples

This section shows several examples of coupled problems, solved with *Kratos* solvers.

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

5.2.1 Simulation of rock fall protection net

Rockfall protection nets are often used in mountainous areas to protect cars and streets from falling rocks. [10] and [11] simulate and investigate this coupled problem using *Kratos*.

The coupling is done in a partitioned way with the *CoSimulationApplication*. The rocks are simulated with the discrete element method (DEM) by using the *DEMApplication*. The net is simulated using the FEM with the *StructuralMechanicsApplication*. The setup of the coupling is shown in figure 3 (left). The impact loads exerted by the rocks are mapped to the structural model, and the displacements and velocities computed by the structural solver are mapped back to the DEM for updating the boundary. Figure 3 (right) shows an application.

Figure 3: DEM-FEM coupling (taken from [10]): Coupling scheme (left) and application to rock fall protection net (right)

5.2.2 FSI with SDOF solver

This example shows the coupling of a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) solver (using the *FluidDynamicsApplication* of *Kratos*) with an SDOF solver as already mentioned in 2.2. The CFD models the real geometry of the obstacle in the flow, whereas the SDOF solver only models the stiffness and damping of the structural system. The forces computed by the CFD are summed up and applied to the SDOF as an external load. After solving, the displacements are then transferred to all the CFD nodes on the boundary.

This concept is illustrated in figure 4 on the left, with a square cross-section. The results of the vertical movement of the square are shown on the right. An example of such a simulation would be the flow over a flexible bridge.

Figure 4: FSI with SDOF solver: Setup (left) and oscillation of rectangle (right)

5.2.3 Munich Olympic stadium roof

Munich was the host of the Olympic games in 1972, for which the Olympic stadium was built. The main construction of the stadium roof is a hanging cable net covered with acrylic plates, it is held up and supported by masts and pylons, see figure 5.

The behavior of the roof under wind loading is investigated. For this, a CFD model (using the *FluidDynamicsApplication*) and a FEM model (using the *StructuralMechanics-*

Application) were created, see figure 6. The FSI coupling is done with the *CoSimulationApplication*. Figure 7 shows the displacements of the roof in strong wind.

Figure 5: Olympic stadium in Munich

Figure 6: Structural FEM model of the Olympic stadium roof

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

6 COUPLING TO EXTERNAL SOLVERS

Coupling to external solvers requires an additional step compared to coupling internal (*Kratos*) solvers: The data exchange between the solvers/codes. As explained in 2.1, the data of internal solvers can be accessed directly. The internal data structure of other solvers/codes can usually not be directly accessed from outside, hence the interface data of external solvers has to be imported to/exported from the *CoSimulationApplication*. This way they can be treated like internal solvers, which simplifies the implementation. Unfortunately, it also leads to memory overhead as the data on the interface is duplicated, which can play an important role depending on the application case. A remedy for this memory overhead could be to directly communicate data between solvers, but then no coupling functionalities of the *CoSimulationApplication* such as mapping or convergence acceleration can be used.

The *IO* (see 2) now plays an important role as it is responsible for the data exchange which is done via interprocess communication (IPC). Different communication methods exist, most often used are file- or socket-based communication.

Figure 7: Displacements of Olympic stadium roof under wind loading

The *detached-interface* approach (see [4]) is used to minimize the dependencies of external solvers on the *CoSimulationApplication*. The idea is to have an independent interface for data exchange that simplifies the integration into external solvers by avoiding any dependencies on *Kratos* and the *CoSimulationApplication*.

The *CoSimIO* [12] is a small tool that implements the *detached-interface* approach. It is integrated into the *CoSimulationApplication* and can be used to perform coupled simulations, see [13].

6.1 Example: Wind Turbine

Register for free at <https://www.scipedia.com> to download the version without the watermark

This example shows the application of the presented work to a full wind turbine FSI simulation, which is done within the research project WINSSENT ([14]). The CFD solver *FLOWer* [15] is coupled with *Kratos* which uses the *StructuralMechanicsApplication* for the structural simulation of the turbine and the *CoSimulationApplication* for the coupling. The CFD model and the adaptations in *FLOWer* to make the coupling of the entire turbine possible were done by Giorgia Guma from the Institute of Aerodynamics and Gas Dynamics at the University of Stuttgart.

FLOWer implements an interface to the coupling library *EMPIRE*. To minimize the changes necessary in *FLOWer* for the coupling with the *CoSimulationApplication*, a simplified version of the *EMPIRE* interface using file-based communication was developed following the *detached-interface* approach. This interface is a single file header only C++ file with no dependencies, which made the integration in *FLOWer* straightforward.

Two structural models were created, using beam and using shell elements. The displacements of the blades can be seen in 8.

Figure 8: Displacements for turbine models under operating conditions, for beam model (left) and shell model (right)

7 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

This work presented the realization of CoSimulation by using the multiphysics framework *Kratos* and the *CoSimulationApplication*. Different aspects of software design and implementation are covered. Mapping was discussed as a crucial component of CoSimulation, as well as conducting coupled simulations in distributed HPC environments. Several real-world application examples show the capabilities of the *CoSimulationApplication*.

Future extensions of this work can be the application to volume coupled problems or coupling to other particle methods such as the material point method (MPM). Furthermore the features for CoSimulation in distributed environments can be improved. This includes the detached interface *CoSimIO* to support MPI. Also, different methods for data exchange with external solvers using IPC such as sockets or pipes can be implemented to improve the performance and efficiency of the data exchange.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors want to acknowledge the support of the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi) within the WINSENT project (FKZ 0324129F). Furthermore, the support of the Leibniz Supercomputing Centre (www.lrz.de) is acknowledged for providing computing time on the GCS Supercomputer SuperMUC-NG in the projects pr94va and pn56ba.

The support of the colleagues from the Chair of Structural Analysis (Technical University of Munich) and the Institute of Aerodynamics and Gas Dynamics (University of Stuttgart) is acknowledged, especially Giorgia, Máté and Klaus, for providing and helping with examples.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Wang, S. Sicklinger, R. Wüchner, and K.U. Bletzinger. Concept and realization of coupling software empire in multi-physics co-simulation. In *Computational Methods in Marine Engineering*, 2013.
- [2] Marcel König. *Partitioned solution strategies for strongly-coupled fluid-structure interaction problems in maritime applications*. Dissertation, Technische Universität Hamburg, 2018.
- [3] Hans-Joachim Bungartz, Florian Lindner, Bernhard Gatzhammer, Miriam Mehl, Klaudius Scheufele, Alexander Shukaev, and Benjamin Uekermann. preCICE – a fully parallel library for multi-physics surface coupling. *Computers and Fluids*, 141:250–258, 2016. Advances in Fluid-Structure Interaction.
- [4] Aditya Ghantasala. *Coupling Procedures for Fluid-Fluid and Fluid-Structure Interaction Problems Based on Domain Decomposition methods*. Dissertation, Technical University of Munich, 2021. under review.
- [5] Pooyan Dadvand, Riccardo Rossi, and Eugenio Oñate. An object-oriented environment for developing finite element codes for multi-disciplinary applications. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 17(3):253–297, Sep 2010.
- [6] Pooyan Dadvand and Riccardo Rossi. KRATOS Multi-Physics. <https://github.com/KratosMultiphysics/Kratos>, 2021.
- [7] J. Cotela-Dalmau, P. Bucher, A. Ghantasala, M. Andre, A. Winterstein (geb. Mini), R. Rossi, and R. Wüchner. Implementation of mapping strategies in a distributed memory environment. In *VII International Conference on Coupled Problems in Science and Engineering*, Rhodes Island, Greece, June 2017. ECCOMAS.
- [8] P. Bucher, J. Cotela-Dalmau, T. Teschemacher, and R. Wüchner. Implementation of a general mapping framework for different discretizations in distributed memory environments. In *VIII International Conference on Coupled Problems in Science and Engineering*, Sitges (Barcelona), Spain, June 2019. ECCOMAS.
- [9] P. Dadvand, R. Rossi, M. Gil, X. Martorell, J. Cotela, E. Juanpere, S.R. Idelsohn, and E. Oñate. Migration of a generic multi-physics framework to hpc environments. *Computers & Fluids*, 80:301–309, 2013. Selected contributions of the 23rd International Conference on Parallel Fluid Dynamics ParCFD2011.

- [10] Klaus Bernd Sautter, Tobias Teschemacher, Miguel Ángel Celigueta, Philipp Bucher, Kai-Uwe Bletzinger, and Roland Wüchner. Partitioned strong coupling of discrete elements with large deformation structural finite elements to model impact on highly flexible tension structures. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, 2020:5135194, Nov 2020.
- [11] Klaus Bernd Sautter, Helene Hofmann, Corinna Wendeler, Roland Wüchner, and Kai-Uwe Bletzinger. Influence of DE-cluster refinement on numerical analysis of rockfall experiments. *Computational Particle Mechanics*, Feb 2021.
- [12] Philipp Bucher. CoSimIO. <https://github.com/KratosMultiphysics/CoSimIO>, 2021.
- [13] Iñigo López, Julie Piquee, Philipp Bucher, Kai-Uwe Bletzinger, Christian Breitsamter, and Roland Wüchner. Numerical analysis of an elasto-flexible membrane blade using fluid-structure interaction simulations. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 2021. under review.
- [14] Wind Science and Engineering in Complex Terrain (WINSSENT). https://www.zsw-bw.de/fileadmin/user_upload/pr17-2016-ZSW-Windtestfield.pdf, 2016.
- [15] Jochen Raddatz and Jens K. Fassbender. Block structured navier-stokes solver flower. In *MEGAFLOW - Numerical Flow Simulation for Aircraft Design*, pages 27–44, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.