

On a Class of Hybrid Langevin Inclusions Involving Two Generalized Fractional Derivatives

Adel Lachouri^{1,*} and Meraa Arab^{2,*}

¹Laboratory of SD, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene, El-Alia, Bab Ezzouar, Algiers, Algeria

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, King Faisal University, Al Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for a hybrid Langevin inclusion involving a combination of ϕ -Hilfer and ϕ -Caputo fractional derivatives. To this end, we construct a new operator derived from the integral solution of the given boundary value inclusion problem and subsequently apply the hypotheses of Dhage's fixed point theorem to this fractional operator. Finally, to support our theoretical findings, an illustrative example is provided.

OPEN ACCESS

Received: 13/11/2025

Accepted: 20/01/2026

DOI

10.23967/j.rimni.2026.10.76039

Keywords:

Hybrid fractional differential inclusion
fractional Langevin equation
generalized fractional derivatives
dhage fixed point theorem

(2010) Math. Subj. Classificat:

34A08
34A12
34B15

1 Introduction

Fractional differential inclusions (FDI's), as a generalization of fractional differential equations (FDE's), have attracted significant attention due to their relevance in optimization problems and stochastic processes [1]. They provide a framework for analyzing dynamical systems where the evolution of the system is not strictly determined by its current state, offering greater flexibility than classical formulations [2,3]. In recent years, the theory of FDE's has seen substantial development across various branches of mathematics [4–7]. This theoretical progress has been complemented by significant advances in numerical methods for solving fractional models arising in applied sciences [8–11]. Furthermore, both FDE's and their inclusions appear naturally in numerous scientific disciplines and have been applied extensively in diverse contexts [12–16]. In this direction, Almeida [17] introduced

*Correspondence: Adel Lachouri, Meraa Arab (lachouri.adel@yahoo.fr, marab@kfu.edu.sa). This is an article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons BY-NC-SA license

the ϕ -Caputo fractional derivative (FD), a novel operator that unifies various types of FD's, thereby broadening the scope of modern and complex applications.

The classical Langevin equation (LE), introduced by Paul Langevin in 1908 [18], was the first mathematical framework to describe Brownian motion. However, it fails to fully capture phenomena involving fractal disorder. In mathematical physics, such considerations are crucial for modeling fractional reaction-diffusion systems [19,20], harmonic oscillators, correlated noise sources [21], and quantum noise behavior [22,23], among others. When a macroscopic system does not exist and microscopic time-scale differential equations become inadequate, the fractional LE proves more effective than its classical counterpart [24]. In particular, the fractional LE introduced by Lutz [25], incorporates power-law memory kernels and fractional Brownian motion to describe anomalous diffusion in complex and viscoelastic media. Subsequent developments by Mainardi et al. [26] established a rigorous link between fractional Langevin dynamics and anomalous diffusion processes, emphasizing the impact of non-Markovian effects and long-time correlations in Brownian motion. Further discussions on the Langevin equation and fractional dynamics can be found in [27], while its applications span stochastic problems in physics, chemistry, and electrical engineering [28].

Over the years, significant research efforts have been devoted to investigating the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions for fractional differential equations involving various types of FD's, see [29,30] and the references therein. Particular attention has been given to the fractional LE and inclusions. For example:

The authors in [31] established existence results for the Langevin FDI involving a Caputo FD of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_1} ({}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_2} + \lambda_1) u(\tau) \in \Pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, 1], \tau \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i (I_{0+}^{\nu_i} u)(\gamma_1), \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i (I_{0+}^{\nu_i} u)(\gamma_2), \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 < 1$, ${}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon}$ is the Caputo FD of order $\epsilon \in \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$, $I_{0+}^{\nu_i}$ is the Riemann-Liouville FI of order $\nu_i \in \{\nu_i, \epsilon_i\}$, $\lambda_i, m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, and $\Pi : [a, \bar{\rho}] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R})$ is a set-valued mapping, where $F(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the power set of \mathbb{R} .

In [32], Ahmad et al. investigated a class of LE involving generalized Liouville-Caputo derivatives of distinct orders, subject to nonlocal generalized fractional integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} {}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1} ({}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2} + \lambda_1) u(\tau) = \pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ u(a) = 0, \quad u(\gamma_1) = 0, \quad u(\bar{\rho}) = m {}^{\kappa} I_{a+}^{\nu} u(\gamma_2), \\ \epsilon_1 \in (1, 2], \quad \epsilon_2 \in (0, 1), \quad a < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \bar{\rho}, \end{cases}$$

where ${}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1}$ and ${}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2}$ denote the generalized Liouville-Caputo FD of order ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 , respectively, ${}^{\kappa} I_{a+}^{\nu}$ is the generalized fractional integral operator of order $\nu > 0$, $\kappa > 0$, $\lambda_1, m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi : [a, \bar{\rho}] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given continuous function.

In 2021, the authors [33] studied a class of Langevin FDI's subject to nonlocal conditions of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_1} ({}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_2} u(\tau) - \lambda_1 u(\tau)) \in \Pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \epsilon_1, \tau \in (0, 1), \\ {}^c D_{0+}^1 u(1) + {}^c D_{0+}^1 u(\gamma_1) = 0, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < 1, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad {}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_2} u(0) = 0, \quad \epsilon_2 \in (1, 2). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In [34], the authors established the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following nonlinear fractional Langevin integro-differential equation

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\epsilon_1} ({}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_2} + \lambda_1) u(\tau) = \pi(\tau, u(\tau), I_{0+}^{\nu} u(\tau)), \tau \in (0, \bar{\rho}), \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ {}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_2} u(0) + \lambda_1 u(0) = {}^c D_{0+}^{\epsilon_2} u(\bar{\rho}) = 0, \\ u(0) = a \int_0^{\bar{\rho}} u(s) ds + b, \end{cases}$$

where $D_{0+}^{\epsilon_1}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\epsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\nu \in (0, 1)$.

In [35], Khan et al. examined the existence, uniqueness, and stability of the solution for the generalized fractional LE of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1} ({}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2} + \lambda_1) u(\tau) = \pi(\tau, u(\tau), u(\delta\tau), {}^{\kappa} D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2} u(\tau)), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ u(a) = 0, u(\gamma_1) = 0, u(\bar{\rho}) = m {}^{\kappa} I_{a+}^{\delta} u(\gamma_2), \delta > 0, m \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \epsilon_1 \in (1, 2], \epsilon_2 \in (0, 1), a < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \bar{\rho}, \delta > 0. \end{cases}$$

In [36], the authors investigated the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions for the LE involving ϕ -Caputo FD of different orders

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} ({}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2, \phi} + \lambda_1) u(\tau) = \pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ u(a) = 0, u'(a) = 0, \\ {}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2, \phi} u(\bar{\rho}) + \lambda_2 u(\bar{\rho}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where ${}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon, \phi}$ is the ϕ -Caputo FD of order $\epsilon \in \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ such that $\epsilon_1 \in (0, 1]$, $\epsilon_2 \in (1, 2]$, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lmou et al. [37] employed the Dhage fixed point theorem (FPT) to investigate the existence of solutions for the ϕ -Caputo fractional differential Langevin hybrid inclusion

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \left({}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} \right) + \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right) \in \Pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ u(a) = 0, u(\bar{\rho}) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i (I_{a+}^{\delta_i, \phi} u)(\gamma_i), \\ \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, 1), \gamma_i \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where ${}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon, \phi}$ is the ϕ -Caputo FD of order $\epsilon \in \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$. $I_{0+}^{\delta_i, \phi}$ is the ϕ -Riemann-Liouville FI of order $\delta_i > 0$, $m_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, $\ell_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $h \in C([a, \bar{\rho}] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$.

Inspired by [17] and the existing literature, we analyze the existence of solutions for a nonlinear hybrid Langevin FDI with a generalized FD's under mixed boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi} \left({}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} \right) + \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right) \in \Pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ \frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} = 0, D_{\phi}^1 \left(\frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} \right) = 0, \\ {}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where ${}^H D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi}$ is the ϕ -Hilfer FD of order $\epsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$ and type $\epsilon_2 \in [0, 1]$, ${}^c D_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi}$ is the ϕ -Caputo FD of order $\epsilon_3 \in (1, 2]$, $\ell_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $h \in C([a, \bar{\rho}] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Pi : [a, \bar{\rho}] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R})$ is a set-valued mapping, where $F(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the power set of \mathbb{R} .

We prove existence outcomes for the generalized hybrid Langevin inclusion (3), by constructing a new operator derived from the integral solution of the given boundary value inclusion problem. It does not satisfy the compactness property, which prevents the direct application of classical fixed point theorems such as the Schauder or Schaefer fixed point theorems. To overcome this difficulty, we employ Dhage's fixed point theorem, which is specifically designed to handle operators lacking compactness, and provides a suitable framework well adapted to hybrid fractional problems.

We remark that Eq. (3) represents a generalization of existing initial value problems for Langevin inclusions due to the general structure of the kernel associated with the ϕ -Hilfer and ϕ -Caputo fractional derivatives. For instance, Eq. (3) reduces to ϕ -Caputo-type inclusions problem (2) if $\epsilon_2 = 1$, and to Caputo-type inclusions problem (1) if $\epsilon_2 = 1$, $\phi(\tau) = \tau$, $h(\tau, u(\tau)) = 1$ and $\ell_1(\tau) = \lambda_1$. This hybrid formulation allows the model to capture a broader class of memory effects than those achievable by Langevin inclusions involving a single type of fractional derivative. To the best of our knowledge, such a hybrid framework has not been previously investigated and is expected to enrich the theoretical development of Langevin-type problems.

The article is organized as follows: Section 2 covers preliminary concepts. Section 3 presents our main existence results. Section 4 provides an illustrative example, and Section 5 offers concluding remarks.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Fractional Calculus (FC)

In this portion, we present some basic concepts of FC and necessary lemmas that are required in our work.

Let $\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} = [a, \bar{\rho}]$. We denote by $\mathfrak{C} = C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of continuous functions $u : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with supremum norm

$$\|u\| = \sup \{|u(\tau)| : \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}\},$$

and $L^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of Lebesgue integrable functions $u : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{L^1} = \int_{\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}} |u(\tau)| d\tau.$$

Let $u : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function and $\phi \in C^n(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ an increasing function such that $\phi'(\tau) \neq 0$, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$.

Definition 1 ([6]): The ϕ -Riemann-Liouville FI of order ϵ_1 for a function u is given by

$$I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} u(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\epsilon_1)} \int_a^\tau \phi'(\zeta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(\zeta))^{\epsilon_1 - 1} u(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Definition 2 ([6]): The ϕ -Riemann-Liouville FD of order ϵ_1 for a function u is described by

$$D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} u(\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(\tau)} \frac{d}{d\tau} \right)^n I_{a+}^{(n-\epsilon_1), \phi} u(\tau),$$

where $n = [\epsilon_1] + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3 ([17]): The ϕ -Caputo FD of a function $u \in C^n(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ of order ϵ_1 is defined by

$${}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} u(\tau) = I_{a^+}^{(n-\epsilon_1), \phi} u^{[n]}(\tau),$$

$$\text{where } u^{[n]}(\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(\tau)} \frac{d}{d\tau} \right)^n u(\tau), \text{ and } n = [\epsilon_1] + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1 ([38]): Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. Then

$$(1) I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_2-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_2)}{\Gamma(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - 1},$$

$$(2) {}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_2-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_2)}{\Gamma(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - 1}.$$

Lemma 2 ([17]): Let $u \in C^n(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\epsilon_1 \in (n-1, n)$, then

$$I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} {}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} u(\tau) = u(\tau) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{[k]}(a^+)}{k!} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^k.$$

Definition 4 ([38]): The ϕ -Hilfer FD of $u \in C^n(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$, of order $n-1 < \epsilon_1 < n$ and type $\epsilon_2 \in [0, 1]$, is defined by

$${}^H D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi} u(\tau) = I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_2(n-\epsilon_1), \phi} D_{\phi}^{[n]} I^{(1-\epsilon_2)(n-\epsilon_1), \phi} u(\tau),$$

$$\text{where } D_{\phi}^{[n]} = \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(\tau)} \frac{d}{d\tau} \right)^n.$$

Lemma 3 ([38]): If $u \in C^n(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$, $n-1 < \epsilon_1 < n$ and type $\epsilon_2 \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(1) I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} {}^H D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi} u(\tau) = u(\tau) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\delta-k}}{\Gamma(\delta-k+1)} \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(\tau)} \frac{d}{d\tau} \right)^{n-k} I^{(1-\epsilon_2)(n-\epsilon_1), \phi} u(a), \text{ where } \delta = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2(1 - \epsilon_1).$$

$$(2) {}^H D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi} I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} u(\tau) = u(\tau).$$

To address (3), we require the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4: Let

$$\phi_{\bar{\rho}} = (\phi(\bar{\rho}) - \phi(a)),$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{-\beta \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1} - \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\delta - 1} \neq 0, \tag{4}$$

and for any $\widehat{\mathfrak{z}} \in \mathfrak{C}$, then the solution of linear-type problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi} \left({}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} \right) + \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right) = \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\tau), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ \frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} = 0, D_{\phi}^1 \left(\frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} \right) = 0, \\ {}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tau) = & h(\tau, u(\tau)) \left[I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\tau) - I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right. \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} \left(I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \beta I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\bar{\rho}) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Proof: Taking the ϕ -FI $I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi}$ to the first equation of (5), and by using Lemma 3, we get

$${}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} \right) = I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\tau) + c_1 (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\delta - 1} - \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau), \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \text{ and } c_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7)$$

where $\delta = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2(1 - \epsilon_1)$. Now, by applying the ϕ -FI $I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi}$ on the both sides of (7), and from Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} = & I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\tau) - I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) + \frac{c_1 \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1} \\ & + c_3 (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a)) + c_2, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By applying the conditions $\frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} = 0$ and $D_{\phi}^1 \left(\frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} \right) = 0$ in (8), we find $c_2 = c_3 = 0$.

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} = I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\tau) - I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) + \frac{c_1 \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}. \quad (9)$$

Using the second condition ${}^C D_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) + \beta \frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} = 0$, Eqs. (7) and (9), we get

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) - \beta I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a^+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}}(\bar{\rho}) \right].$$

By substituting the value of c_1 into (9), we arrive at the solution of (5) which is given by (6). \square

2.2 Multi-Function Theory

We begin by introducing several fundamental concepts related to set valued maps (multi-functions) as outlined in [39]. Let $(\mathbb{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and consider a multi-function $\varrho : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{E})$. The following properties are defined:

- Closed (Convex) values: The multi-function ϱ is said to be closed-valued (respectively, convex-valued) if for every $u \in \mathbb{E}$, the set $\varrho(u)$ is closed (respectively, convex) in \mathbb{E} .
- Boundedness: The multi-function ϱ is bounded if, for any bounded subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{E}$, $\varrho(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{B}} \varrho(u)$ is a bounded subset of \mathbb{E} , i.e.,

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{B}} \{\sup \{|\xi| : \xi \in \varrho(u)\}\} < \infty,$$

- Measurability: The multi-function ϱ is measurable if, for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$\tau \rightarrow d(\xi, \varrho(\tau)) = \inf \{|\xi - \lambda| : \lambda \in \varrho(\tau)\}.$$

is measurable.

For additional concepts such as complete continuity and upper semi-continuity (u.s.c.), we refer the reader to [39]. Furthermore, the selections set of Π is defined as:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Pi, \xi} = \{\kappa \in L^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}, \mathbb{R}) \mid \kappa(\tau) \in \Pi(\tau, \xi) \quad \forall (\text{a.e.}) \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}\}.$$

In the next, we define

$$F_{k\mu}(\mathfrak{C}) = \{\Theta \in F(\mathbb{E}) : \Theta \neq \emptyset \text{ and has a property } \mu\}.$$

In particular, we use the notations:

- F_{cl} for the class of all closed subsets of \mathbb{E} ,
- F_c for convex subsets,
- F_b for bounded subsets, and
- F_{cp} for compact subsets.

Definition 5 ([40]): *The multi-function $\Pi : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R})$ is Carathéodory if $\tau \rightarrow \Pi(\tau, u)$ is measurable for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and for almost all $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}$ the mapping $u \rightarrow \Pi(\tau, u)$ is u.s.c.*

Moreover, Π is said to be L^1 -Carathéodory if, for every $l > 0$, there is $m^* \in L^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $\|m^*\| \leq l$, the norm of $\Pi(\tau, u)$, defined as

$$\|\Pi(\tau, u)\| = \sup \{|\kappa| : \kappa \in \Pi(\tau, u)\} \leq m^*(\tau),$$

satisfies

$$\|\Pi(\tau, u)\| \leq m^*(\tau),$$

for almost every $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}$.

The following lemmas are essential for establishing the main results developed in this study.

Lemma 5 ([40]): *Let $G_b(\varrho) = \{(u, \varphi) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{X}, u \in \varrho(u)\}$ be a graph of ϱ . If $\varrho : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow F_{cl}(\mathbb{X})$ is u.s.c., then $G_b(\varrho)$ is closed in $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{X}$. As well as, if ϱ is completely continuous and has a closed graph, it implies that ϱ is u.s.c.*

Lemma 6 ([41]): *Let \mathbb{E} be a separable Banach space, $\Pi : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow F_{cp,c}(\mathbb{E})$ be the L^1 -Carathéodory, $\Upsilon : L^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}, \mathbb{E})$ be linear and continuous. Then*

$$\Upsilon \circ \mathcal{R}_{\Pi} : C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow F_{cp,c}(C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{p}}, \mathbb{E})), \quad u \rightarrow (\Upsilon \circ \mathcal{R}_{\Pi})(u) = \Upsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}),$$

is a map with closed graph in $C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{E}) \times C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{E})$.

Theorem 1 (Dhage FPT [42]): Let \mathfrak{C} be a Banach algebra, and consider two operators $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_1 : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow F_{cp,c}(\mathfrak{C})$. Assume the following conditions hold:

- $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_1$ is Lipschitz with constant \widetilde{w}_1^* ,
- $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2$ is compact and u.s.c.,
- $2\widetilde{w}_1^*\Psi < 1$ is satisfied, where $\Psi = \|\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2(\mathfrak{C})\|$.

Then either,

- (i) The operator inclusion $u \in (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_1 u) (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 u)$ admits a solution,
- (ii) The set $\Theta = \{u \in \mathfrak{C} : \xi u(\tau) \in (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_1 u) (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 u), \xi > 1\}$ is unbounded.

3 Existence Results for Set-Valued Problem

Definition 6: A function $u \in C^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (3), if there is a function $\chi \in L^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\chi(\tau) \in \Pi(\tau, u)$ for every $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$ fulfilling the mixed BC's

$$\frac{u(\mathbf{a})}{h(\mathbf{a}, u(\mathbf{a}))} = 0, \left(\frac{u(\mathbf{a})}{h(\mathbf{a}, u(\mathbf{a}))} \right)' = 0,$$

$${}^c D_{\mathbf{a}+}^{\epsilon_2, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) = 0,$$

and

$$u(\tau) = h(\tau, u(\tau)) \left[I_{\mathbf{a}+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \chi(\tau) - I_{\mathbf{a}+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(\mathbf{a}))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{\mathbf{a}+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \chi(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) \right. \\ \left. - \beta I_{\mathbf{a}+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{\mathbf{a}+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \chi(\bar{\rho}) \right].$$

For the sake of convenience, we put

$$\theta_1 = \left[\frac{\phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3}}{\Gamma(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta) \Gamma(\epsilon_1 + 1)} + \frac{|\beta| \Gamma(\delta) \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\epsilon_1 + 2\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Gamma(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + 1) |\Lambda| \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} \right],$$

$$\theta_2 = \left[\frac{\ell_1^* \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\epsilon_3}}{\Gamma(\epsilon_3 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) |\beta| \ell_1^* \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{2\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta) \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + 1)} + \ell_1^* \frac{\Gamma(\delta) \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} \right]. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 2: Let $h \in C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^*)$ and $\Pi : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F_{cp,c}(\mathbb{R})$ be L^1 -Carathéodory and continuous. Also, suppose that:

(As01) There is a bounded function $\widetilde{w}_1 : \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$|h(\tau, u_1(\tau)) - h(\tau, u_2(\tau))| \leq \widetilde{w}_1(\tau) |u_1 - u_2|, \forall (\tau, u_1, u_2) \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

(As02) There exists $\widetilde{w}_2 \in C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and a nondecreasing function $\widetilde{w}_3 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|\Pi(\tau, u)\|_F = \sup \{|\xi| : \xi \in \Pi(\tau, u)\} \leq \widetilde{w}_1(\tau) \widetilde{w}_2(\|u\|), \forall (\tau, u) \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

(As03) There is $\Delta > 0$ satisfy

$$\Delta > (\tilde{w}_1^* \Delta + h^*) (\theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2 (\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta). \quad (11)$$

If

$$\tilde{w}_1^* \theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2 (\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (12)$$

then, Eq. (3) possesses a solution.

Proof: To reformulate problem (3) as a FP problem, we first define the multi-function operator $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{C})$ by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(u) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{g} \in \mathfrak{C} : \\ \tilde{g}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h(\tau, u(\tau)) [I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \chi(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \chi(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \\ - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \chi(\bar{\rho})] \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\}, \quad (13)$$

for $\chi \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$. Clearly, solutions of (3) correspond to FP of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Consider two operators $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1 : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{C})$ as

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1 u)(\tau) = h(\tau, u(\tau)),$$

and

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 u)(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{k} \in \mathfrak{C} : \\ \tilde{k}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \chi(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \chi(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \\ - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \chi(\bar{\rho}) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\}, \quad \chi \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}.$$

Obviously $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(u) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1(u) \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)$. In the subsequent analysis, we will demonstrate that these operators satisfy the necessary conditions of (1). The proof will proceed according to the following steps:

Step 1. $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1$ is Lipschitz.

For $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{C}$ and by (As01), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1 u_1)(\tau) - (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1 u_2)(\tau)| &= |h(\tau, u_1(\tau)) - h(\tau, u_2(\tau))| \\ &\leq \tilde{w}_1(\tau) |u_1 - u_2| \\ &\leq \tilde{w}_1^* |u_1 - u_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{w}_1^* = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{J}, \bar{\rho}} |\tilde{w}_1(\tau)|$. Thus, $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1$ is a \tilde{w}_1^* -Lipschitz operator.

Step 2. For each $u \in \mathfrak{C}$, the set $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)$ is convex.

Let $\tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)$. Then there exist $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$ such that for each $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_j(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa_j(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1, \phi} \varkappa_j(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa_j(\bar{\rho})), \quad j=1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$. Then for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$

$$\begin{aligned} &[\vartheta \tilde{k}_1 + (1 - \vartheta) \tilde{k}_2](\tau) \\ &= I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} (\vartheta \varkappa_1(\tau) + (1 - \vartheta) \varkappa_2(\tau)) - I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1, \phi} (\vartheta \varkappa_1(\bar{\rho}) + (1 - \vartheta) \varkappa_2(\bar{\rho})) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} (\vartheta \varkappa_1(\bar{\rho}) + (1 - \vartheta) \varkappa_2(\bar{\rho}))). \end{aligned}$$

Since Π has convex values, $\mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$ is convex and $(\vartheta \varkappa_1(\bar{\rho}) + (1 - \vartheta) \varkappa_2(\bar{\rho})) \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$. Thus, $\vartheta \tilde{k}_1 + (1 - \vartheta) \tilde{k}_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)$.

Step 3. $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ maps bounded sets in \mathfrak{C} to bounded sets.

Let $r > 0$ and $B_r = \{u \in \mathfrak{C} : \|u\| \leq r\}$ be a bounded set in \mathfrak{C} . Then for each $\tilde{k} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)$ and $u \in B_r$, there exists $\varkappa \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1, \phi} \varkappa(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa(\bar{\rho})). \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption (As02) and for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$, we attain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{k}(\tau)| \\ &\leq I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} |\ell_1(\tau)| |u(\tau)| + I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} |\varkappa(\tau)| \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1, \phi} |\varkappa(\bar{\rho})| + |\ell_1(\bar{\rho})| |u(\bar{\rho})| \\ &\quad + |\beta| I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_3, \phi} |\ell_1(\bar{\rho})| |u(\bar{\rho})| + |\beta| I_{a+}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3, \phi} |\varkappa(\bar{\rho})|) \\ &\leq \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(r) \left[\frac{\phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta) \Gamma(\varepsilon_1 + 1)} + \frac{|\beta| \Gamma(\delta) \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 + 1) |\Lambda| \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta)} \right] \\ &\quad + r \left[\frac{\ell_1^* \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\varepsilon_3}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) |\beta| \ell_1^* \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{2\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta) \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + 1)} + \ell_1^* \frac{\Gamma(\delta) \phi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\varepsilon_3+\delta-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\varepsilon_3 + \delta)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_1^* = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}} |\ell_1(\tau)|$. Thus

$$\|\tilde{k}\| \leq \theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(r) + \theta_2 r.$$

Step 4. $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ maps bounded sets in \mathfrak{C} to equicontinuous sets.

Let $u \in B_r$ and $\tilde{k} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)$. Then there exists a function $\varkappa \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \varkappa(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa(\bar{\rho}), \quad \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$, $\tau_1 < \tau_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{k}(\tau_2) - \tilde{k}(\tau_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{\ell_1^* r}{\Gamma(\epsilon_3 + 1)} ((\phi(\tau_2) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3} - (\phi(\tau_1) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(r)}{\Gamma(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + 1)} ((\phi(\tau_2) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3} - (\phi(\tau_1) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} ((\phi(\tau_2) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1} - (\phi(\tau_1) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}) (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \varkappa(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \\ &\quad + |\beta| I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + |\beta| I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa(\bar{\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

As $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, we obtain

$$|\tilde{k}(\tau_2) - \tilde{k}(\tau_1)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(B_r)$ is equicontinuous. From the above-mentioned steps 3 – 4 along with Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we deduce that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ is completely continuous.

Step 5. We prove that the graph of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ is closed.

Let $u_n \rightarrow u_*$, $\tilde{k}_n \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u_n)$ and \tilde{k}_n tends to \tilde{k}_* . We show that $\tilde{k}_* \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u_*)$. Since $\tilde{k}_n \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u_n)$, there exists $\varkappa_n \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u_n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_n(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa_n(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u_n(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \varkappa_n(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u_n(\bar{\rho})) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u_n(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \varkappa_n(\bar{\rho}), \quad \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we must prove that there exists $\kappa_* \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u_*}$ such that, for each $\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_*(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa_*(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u_*(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \kappa_*(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u_*(\bar{\rho})) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u_*(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa_*(\bar{\rho}), \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the continuous linear operator $\Upsilon : L^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \rightarrow \Upsilon(\kappa)(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \kappa(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa(\bar{\rho}), \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{k}_n - \tilde{k}_*\| \\ &= \|I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} (\kappa_n(\tau) - \kappa_*(\tau)) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) (u_n(\tau) - u_*(\tau)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} (\kappa_n(\bar{\rho}) - \kappa_*(\bar{\rho})) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) (u_n(\bar{\rho}) - u_*(\bar{\rho}))) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) (u_n(\bar{\rho}) - u_*(\bar{\rho})) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} (\kappa_n(\bar{\rho}) - \kappa_*(\bar{\rho}))\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. So in view of Lemma 6 that $\Upsilon \circ \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$ is a closed graph operator. Moreover, we have

$$\tilde{k}_n \in \Upsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u_n}).$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u_*$, Lemma 6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_*(\tau) &= I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa_*(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u_*(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \kappa_*(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \\ &\quad - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u_*(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa_*(\bar{\rho}), \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\kappa_* \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u_*}$.

Hence, we deduce that the multivalued operator $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ is both u.s.c. and compact.

Step 6. We show that $2\tilde{w}_1^* \Psi < 1$.

For $\Delta > 0$ and according to Step 2, we have

$$\Psi = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(\mathfrak{C}) = \sup \{ |\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2(u)|, u \in \mathfrak{C} \} \leq \theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta.$$

In view of (12), we get

$$\tilde{w}_1^* \theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

From this, it follows that $2\tilde{w}_1^*\Psi < 1$.

Hence, the operators $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ fulfill the assumptions stated in (1). Consequently, it follows that either (i) or (ii) will be true. We now proceed to show that (ii) is not possible.

Let $u \in \Theta$ be such that $\|u\| = \Delta$. Then $\xi u(\tau) \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1 u)(\tau) (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 u)(\tau)$ for all $\xi > 1$. Choose $\kappa \in \mathcal{R}_{\Pi, u}$. Then $\forall \xi > 1$, we have

$$u(\tau) = \frac{h(\tau, u(\tau))}{\xi} \left[I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa(\tau) - I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} \kappa(\bar{\rho}) - \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho})) \right. \\ \left. - \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \ell_1(\bar{\rho}) u(\bar{\rho}) + \beta I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} \kappa(\bar{\rho}) \right], \forall \tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}.$$

Thus, we can write

$$u(\tau) \\ \leq \frac{|h(\tau, u(\tau)) - h(\tau, 0)| + |h(\tau, 0)|}{\xi} \left[I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} |\ell_1(\tau)| |u(\tau)| + I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} |\kappa(\tau)| \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\delta) (\phi(\tau) - \phi(a))^{\epsilon_3 + \delta - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\epsilon_3 + \delta)} (I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \phi} |\kappa(\bar{\rho})| + |\ell_1(\bar{\rho})| |u(\bar{\rho})| \right. \\ \left. + |\beta| I_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} |\ell_1(\bar{\rho})| |u(\bar{\rho})| + |\beta| I_{a+}^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \phi} |\kappa(\bar{\rho})| \right) \\ \leq (\tilde{w}_1^* \Delta + h^*) (\theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta),$$

where $h^* = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{\rho}}} |h(\tau, 0)|$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\Delta \leq (\tilde{w}_1^* \Delta + h^*) (\theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta).$$

In view of condition (11), we observe that (ii) cannot hold. Thus, $u(\tau) \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_1 u) (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 u)$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 1 that the problem (3) admits at least one solution. \square

4 Example

To demonstrate the applicability of our theoretical results, we now examine a particular case of hybrid Langevin FDI's.

Consider the general hybrid Langevin inclusion problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D_{a+}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \phi} \left({}^C D_{a+}^{\epsilon_3, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} \right) + \ell_1(\tau) u(\tau) \right) \in \Pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \tau \in (a, \bar{\rho}), \\ \frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} = 0, D_{\phi}^1 \left(\frac{u(a)}{h(a, u(a))} \right) = 0, \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\epsilon_2, \phi} \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{u(\bar{\rho})}{h(\bar{\rho}, u(\bar{\rho}))} \right) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

By taking $\phi(\tau) = \tau$, $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\epsilon_3 = \frac{3}{2}$, $\ell_1(\tau) = \tau^2$, $\beta = \frac{1}{6}$, $\alpha=0$ and $\bar{\rho} = 1$ in (14). Then, the problem (14) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \tau} \left({}^C D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}, \tau} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{h(\tau, u(\tau))} \right) + \tau^2 u(\tau) \right) \in \Pi(\tau, u(\tau)), \tau \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{u(0)}{h(0, u(0))} = 0, D_{\tau}^1 \left(\frac{u(0)}{h(0, u(0))} \right) = 0, \\ {}^C D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}, \tau} \left(\frac{u(1)}{h(1, u(1))} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{u(1)}{h(1, u(1))} \right) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Using these parameter values, we compute $\Lambda = -1.2257 \neq 0$. We then define the function h and multi-function $\Pi : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$h(\tau, u) = \frac{\cos(u)}{\exp(\tau^2) + 35}, \quad \forall (\tau, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\Pi(\tau, u) = \left[\frac{1}{(\tau^3 + 8 \exp(\tau^2))} \frac{u^2}{6(u^2 + 2)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau + 4}} \frac{|u|}{|u| + 1} \right].$$

For $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(\tau, u_1) - h(\tau, u_2)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\exp(\tau^2) + 35} (\cos(u_1) - \cos(u_2)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\exp(\tau^2) + 35} |u_1 - u_2| \leq \frac{1}{36} |u_1 - u_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the assumption (As01) holds with $\tilde{w}_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{\exp(\tau^2) + 35}$, which gives $\tilde{w}_1^* = \frac{1}{36}$.

The multi-function Π clearly fulfills assumption (As02). Moreover, we have

$$\|\Pi(\tau, u)\|_F = \sup \{|\xi| : \xi \in \Pi(\tau, u)\} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau + 4}} = \tilde{w}_1(\tau) \tilde{w}_2(\|u\|),$$

where $\|\tilde{w}_1\| = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tilde{w}_2(\|u\|) = 1$. By choosing $\Delta = \frac{1}{8}$, we have

$$\Delta > (\tilde{w}_1^* \Delta + h^*) (\theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(\Delta) + \theta_2 \Delta) \approx 0.036087,$$

which means that (11) holds. The condition

$$\tilde{w}_1^* \theta_1 \|\tilde{w}_1\| \tilde{w}_2(r) + \theta_2 \Delta \approx 0.27457 < \frac{1}{2},$$

is satisfied. Since all assumptions of Theorem 2 hold, the hybrid Langevin inclusion problem (15) possesses at least one solution on the interval $[0, 1]$.

5 Concluding Remarks

Generalized fractional operators extend classical fractional calculus by employing more general kernel functions. These operators, combined with fixed point theory, provide a robust framework for

analyzing the qualitative behavior of solutions to certain fractional dynamical systems, especially those modeling complex and chaotic phenomena. In this study, we investigated existence results for a hybrid Langevin FDI involving a power law-type generalized kernel. We transformed the inclusion problem into an equivalent fixed-point formulation using an operator constructed from the integral solution and then applied Dhage's theorem for set-valued mapping to obtain the main existence outcomes. The theoretical result was supported by a demonstrative example. This approach can be applied to mathematical modeling in science, engineering, and real-world phenomena [43,44].

In future work, we plan to extend the problem presented in this article to a general structure by using the Mittag-Leffler power law [45] and fractal-fractional operators [46]. We also aim to investigate other qualitative properties such as Ulam–Hyers stability and controllability for certain classes of stochastic fractional differential equations involving fractional derivatives with variable orders.

Acknowledgement: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies at King Faisal University.

Funding Statement: This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia Grant [Grant No. KFU260385].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Adel Lachouri and Meraa Arab; Methodology, Adel Lachouri; Validation, Adel Lachouri and Meraa Arab ; Formal Analysis, Adel Lachouri and Meraa Arab; Investigation, Adel Lachouri; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, Adel Lachouri; Writing—Review & Editing, Adel Lachouri; Project Administration, Meraa Arab ; Funding Acquisition, Meraa Arab. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials: Data sharing not applicable to this article, as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Ethics Approval: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Kisielewicz M. Stochastic differential inclusions and applications. In: Springer optimization and its applications. New York, NY, USA: Springer; 2013.
2. Yunus AO, Olayiwola MO. Mathematical modeling of malaria epidemic dynamics with enlightenment and therapy intervention using the Laplace-Adomian decomposition method and Caputo fractional order. *Frankl Open*. 2024;8(1):100147. doi:10.1016/j.fraope.2024.100147.
3. Yunus AO, Olayiwola MO. The analysis of a co-dynamic ebola and malaria transmission model using the Laplace Adomian decomposition method with Caputo fractional-order. *Tanzan J Sci*. 2024;50(2):204–43. doi:10.4314/tjs.v50i2.5.
4. Diethelm K. The analysis of fractional differential equations. In: Lecture notes in mathematics. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag; 2010.
5. Górniewicz L. Topological fixed point theory of set-valued mappings. In: Mathematics and its applications. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1999.
6. Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trujillo JJ. Theory and applications of fractional differential equations. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.; 2006.

7. Lakshmikantham V, Leela S, Devi JVV. Theory of fractional dynamic systems. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Scientific Publishers; 2009.
8. Ahmad H, Khan MN, Ahmad I, Omri M, Alotaibi MF. A meshless method for numerical solutions of linear and nonlinear time fractional Black Scholes models. *AIMS Math.* 2023;8(8):19677–98. doi:10.3934/math.20231003.
9. Inc M, Khan MN, Ahmad I, Yao SW, Ahmad H, Thounthong P. Analysing time-fractional exotic options via efficient local meshless method. *Results Phys.* 2020;19(2):103385. doi:10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103385.
10. Khan MN, Ahmad I, Shakeel M, Jan R. Fractional calculus analysis: investigating Drinfeld-Sokolov-Wilson system and Harry Dym equations via meshless procedures. *Math Model Control.* 2024;4(1):86–100. doi:10.3934/mmc.2024008.
11. Mehnaz S, Khan MN, Ahmad I, Abdel-Khalek S, Alghamdi AM, Inc M. The generalized time fractional Gardner equation via numerical meshless collocation method. *Therm Sci.* 2022;26(1):469–74. doi:10.2298/TSCI22S1469M.
12. Debnath L. Recent applications of fractional calculus to science and engineering. *Int J Math Math Sci.* 2003;54(54):3413–42. doi:10.1155/s0161171203301486.
13. Hilfer R. Applications of fractional calculus in physics. Singapore: World Scientific; 2000. 472 p.
14. Magin RL. Fractional calculus in bioengineering. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng.* 2004;32(1):1–104. doi:10.1615/critrevbiomedeng.v32.10.
15. Tarasov VE. Fractional dynamics: applications of fractional calculus to dynamics of particles, fields and media. New York, NY, USA: Springer; 2011.
16. Zhou Y. Fractional evolution equations and inclusions: analysis and control. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier; 2015.
17. Almeida A. A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul.* 2017;44(5):460–81. doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2016.09.006.
18. Lemons DS, Gythiel AA. Paul Langevin's 1908 paper "On the theory of Brownian motion". *Am J Phys.* 1997;65(11):1079–81. doi:10.1119/1.18725.
19. Datsko B, Gafiyuchuk V. Complex nonlinear dynamics in subdiffusive activator-inhibitor systems. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul.* 2012;17(4):1673–80. doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.08.037.
20. Datsko B, Gafiyuchuk V. Complex spatio-temporal solutions in fractional reaction-diffusion systems near a bifurcation point. *Fract Calc Appl Anal.* 2018;21(1):237–53. doi:10.1515/fca-2018-0015.
21. Hohenberg PC, Halperin BI. Theory of dynamic critical phenomena. *Rev Mod Phys.* 1977;49(3):435–79. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.49.435.
22. Metiu H, Schon G. Description of quantum noise by a Langevin equation. *Phys Rev Lett.* 1984;53(1):13–6. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.13.
23. Viñales AD, Desposito MA. Anomalous diffusion: exact solution of the generalized Langevin equation for a harmonically bounded particle. *Phys Rev E.* 2006;73(1):016111. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.73.016111.
24. West BJ, Picozzi S. Fractional Langevin model of memory in financial time series. *Phys Rev E.* 2002;65(3):037106. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.65.037106.
25. Lutz E. Fractional Langevin equation. *Phys Rev E.* 2001;64(5):051106. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.64.051106.
26. Mainardi F, Mura A, Tampieri F. Brownian motion and anomalous diffusion revisited via a fractional Langevin equation. arXiv:1004.3505. 2010. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1004.3505.
27. Slezak J. Langevin equation and fractional dynamics. arXiv:1810.02412. 2018.
28. Coffey W, Kalmykov YP. The Langevin equation: with applications to stochastic problems in physics, chemistry and electrical engineering. Singapore: World Scientific; 2012.
29. Salim A, Thabet ST, Rafeeq AS, Samei ME, Kedim I, Vivas-Cortez M. Exploring the solutions of tempered (κ, ϖ) -Hilfer hybrid implicit boundary value problem. *Alex Eng J.* 2025;119(4):138–48. doi:10.1016/j.aej.2025.01.069.

30. Thabet ST, Kedim I, Abdeljawad T. Exploring the solutions of Hilfer delayed Duffing problem on the positive real line. *Bound Value Probl.* 2024;2024(1):95. doi:10.1186/s13661-024-01903-w.
31. Alsaedi A, Ntouyas SK, Ahmad B. Existence results for Langevin fractional differential inclusions involving two fractional orders with four-point multiterm fractional integral boundary conditions. *Abstr Appl Anal.* 2013;2013(98):869837. doi:10.1155/2013/869837.
32. Ahmad B, Alghanmi M, Alsaedi A, Srivastava HM, Ntouyas SK. The Langevin equation in terms of generalized Liouville-Caputo derivatives with nonlocal boundary conditions involving a generalized fractional integral. *Mathematics.* 2019;7(6):533. doi:10.3390/math7060533.
33. Rezapour S, Ahmad B, Etemad S. On the new fractional configurations of integro-differential Langevin boundary value problems. *Alex Eng J.* 2021;60(5):4865–73. doi:10.1016/j.aej.2021.03.070.
34. Lachouri A, Ardjouni A, Djoudi A. Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear fractional Langevin integro-differential equations with boundary conditions. *Math Appl.* 2022;11(2):133–42. doi:10.13164/ma.2022.10.
35. Khan RU, Samreen M, Ali G, Popa IL. New results on the stability and existence of Langevin fractional differential equations with boundary conditions. *Fractal Fract.* 2025;9(2):127. doi:10.3390/fractal-fract9020127.
36. Dhaniya S, Kumar A, Khan A, Abdeljawad T. Stability analysis of a class of Langevin equations in the frame of generalized Caputo fractional operator with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Bound Value Probl.* 2025;2025(1):69. doi:10.1186/s13661-025-02024-8.
37. Lmou H, Talhaoui O, Kajouni A, Etemad S, Alubady R. Existence theory on the Caputo-type fractional differential Langevin hybrid inclusion with variable coefficient. *Bound Value Probl.* 2024;2024(1):164. doi:10.1186/s13661-024-01975-8.
38. Sousa JVC, Oliveira ECD. On the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul.* 2018;60(2):72–91. doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2018.01.005.
39. Aitalioubrahim M, Sajid S. Higher-order boundary value problems for Carathéodory differential inclusions. *Miskolc Math Notes.* 2008;9(1):7–15. doi:10.18514/mmn.2008.180.
40. Deimling K. *Set-valued differential equations.* Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter; 1992.
41. Lasota A, Opial Z. An application of the Kakutani-Ky Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations. *Bull Acad Pol Sci Ser Sci Math Astron Phys.* 1965;13:781–6.
42. Dhage BC. Existence results for neutral functional differential inclusions in Banach algebras. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl.* 2006;64(6):1290–306. doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.06.036.
43. Baleanu D, Asad JH, Jajarmi A. New aspects of the motion of a particle in a circular cavity. *Proc Rom Acad Ser A.* 2018;19(2):361–7.
44. Baleanu D, Asad JH, Jajarmi A. The fractional model of spring pendulum: new features within different kernels. *Proc Rom Acad Ser A.* 2018;19(3):447–54.
45. Atangana A, Baleanu D. New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model. *Therm Sci.* 2016;20(2):763–9. doi:10.2298/TSCI160111018A.
46. Atangana A. Fractal-fractional differentiation and integration: connecting fractal calculus and fractional calculus to predict complex system. *Chaos Solitons Fractals.* 2017;102(5):396–406. doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2017.04.027.