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Executive summary

This deliverable focuses on the design of an interface between MLMC algorithms, the scheduling engine, and problem solvers. For this purpose an API definition is proposed together with a basic reference implementation in Python. This work serves as a first step for the development of the ExaQUte MLMC Python engine.

It includes:

- API definition
- Demonstrator code and description
- Example of usage
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## Nomenclature / Acronym list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Programming Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExaQUte</td>
<td>EXAscale Quantification of Uncertainties for Technology and Science Simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoI</td>
<td>Quantity of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Monte Carlo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLMC</td>
<td>Multilevel Monte Carlo method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-MLMC</td>
<td>Continuation Multilevel Monte Carlo method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC</td>
<td>High performance computing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE</td>
<td>Partial differential equation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

In this report, we give a brief introduction to the MLMC method and its main goals; we also provide a first API definition of the MLMC Python engine in Section 2 and a reference implementation for a test problem in Section 3.

The Multilevel Monte Carlo method (MLMC) \([1, 5, 6, 8]\) is a technique to reduce computational cost for quantifying uncertainties of a random output Quantity of Interest (QoI) of a complex computational model. It estimates statistics of the QoI like e.g., its expected value. Let \(Q\) denote the output QoI which is random due to randomness in the input parameter of the model. We are interested in computing, or more precisely, approximating \(\mathbb{E}[Q]\). MLMC is flexible since it does not require regularity of the parameter-to-QoI map and furthermore breaks the curse of dimensionality, i.e., its performance does not depend on the number of input random parameters. MLMC accelerates convergence of estimators of \(\mathbb{E}[Q]\), with respect to standard Monte Carlo (MC) estimators, which are often unaffordable for complex computational models. Also, it is well-suited for parallelization in high performance computing (HPC) contexts \([3]\).

Informally, MLMC distributes computational work on different levels from a hierarchy of different accuracies, e.g., a hierarchy of meshes for approximating solutions of partial differential equations (PDEs). A crucial decision using MLMC is how much work is allocated to each level and how the overall error is split into different contributions. Standard MLMC splits the overall error into two equal parts, related to the discretization of the PDE and the statistical errors of the level-wise MC estimators, although different splittings are also possible \([7]\).

Also, the strategy of distributing the work over the levels relies on approximations of variances, which can be expensive to compute.

There exist adaptive versions of MLMC that choose optimal values for the number of levels and the computational effort on each level \([2, 4, 9]\). It is obvious that the cost for computing optimal values should not exceed the overall cost of the resulting MLMC estimator. A recent variant of MLMC, tackling these issues, is the Continuation Multilevel Monte Carlo method (C-MLMC) \([2, 9]\), which uses an algorithm to learn parameters for distributing the work and splitting the overall error accordingly, in order to save as much cost as possible while guaranteeing a final error within a prescribed tolerance.

2 API definition

In this section, we propose an API for the development of an ExaQUte MLMC Python engine. For this purpose, we follow a modular approach where the MLMC algorithm is completely decoupled from the problem to be solved. This allows for rapid application development of different MLMC strategies without having to change anything in the problem solver and vice versa. The presented API and the reference implementation in Section 3 are only a first proposal and subject to change in the future.

2.1 Problem Abstraction

In order to decouple the problem of interest from the employed MLMC algorithm, we propose the following two interfaces. First, the MLMC Algorithm interface is described in Section 2.1.1 and then the Problem interface is described in Section 2.1.2.
Figure 1 shows a schematic view of our API where the MLMC algorithm spawns new solver processes for each required sample and after a task is finished, receives the corresponding QoI of a sample. In this particular example, solvers on three levels are running in parallel. Solvers on finer levels require more computational resources, i.e., more memory and larger number of processors. Since the goal of the MLMC method is to do most of the work on coarse levels, most of the samples are only required to be computed on the coarse levels.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1: Schema of our MLMC API with three solver levels running in parallel.**

### 2.1.1 MLMC Algorithm interface

Implementations of the MLMC algorithm interface are the core of each MLMC simulation (cf. blue box in Figure 1). A particular implementation is responsible for the distribution of samples for each level and the computation of statistics of the QoI. As described in Section 1, it is possible to implement different strategies and we mainly focus on static, adaptive, and continuation strategies.

Each instance of the MLMC algorithm requires information on the problem which needs to be solved. This is done through the *Problem interface* described in Section 2.1.2. This interface provides a method that returns the QoI obtained on a particular level. At each MLMC iteration, the algorithm decides how many samples are required on each level such that a given accuracy is obtained. The samples are then passed to the scheduler which is then responsible for the distribution of tasks depending on the required resources (cf. gray box in 1). After a task finishes, the MLMC algorithm receives its QoI. Depending on the MLMC strategy and the problem, further iterations may be necessary before the algorithm converges.

---
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2.1.2 Problem interface

The *Problem interface* encapsulates information of the problem of interest, its QoI, and the underlying solver. It provides a method which returns the QoI obtained on a specific level. This method is called either by the MLMC algorithm directly or its attached scheduler for each sample. In our typical cases, calling this method involves solving a PDE with a randomly sampled coefficient on a refined mesh.

The red boxes in Figure 1 show different instances of *Problem interface* implementations running in parallel. It is important that the implementations of this interface must be thread-safe and may not interfere with other instances when executed in parallel.

Moreover, the solvers themselves may run in parallel which has to be taken into account by the task scheduler, so that the provided computational resources are efficiently utilized.

3 Demonstrator code

For demonstration purposes, we attached a Python source code that implements the suggested interfaces from Section 2 for a simple elliptic test problem. The MLMC algorithm interface is currently only implemented by an implementation of the C-MLMC algorithm. Furthermore, only a serial scheduling of solver tasks is considered. The scheduler will be replaced by a dynamic scheduler in the future, as it is described in WP4.

3.1 MLMC Algorithm implementation

The MLMC core of the demonstrator code is defined in `mlmc_routines/cmlmc.py`. It implements the MLMC algorithm interface presented in Section 2.1.1 by employing the C-MLMC method (cf. Figure 4). This C-MLMC implementation additionally depends on two files: The file `mlmc_routines/mlmc_level.py` contains the MC sampler for each level and the file `mlmc_routines/sample_moments.py` is required for the computation of statistics of the QoI and output, as well as for the update of C-MLMC parameters.

Instantiations of the core class require two parameters: A settings class encapsulating all required parameters of the MLMC strategy (cf. Figure 2) and an implementation of the *Problem interface*; see Section 3.2 for an example.

The extension of existing strategies and the implementation of new strategies is possible without any changes required in the particular problems and solvers.

3.2 Elliptic benchmark-problem implementation

The class `elliptic_2d` (cf. Figure 3) implements the *Problem interface* described in Section 2.1.2. It is found in the file `benchmark_problems.py` and implements the discretization, solver, and QoI computation of the following elliptic benchmark problem in 2D [8, Section 5.2]:

Let $D := [0, 1]^2$. Find $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\partial D)$ such that

$$
- \Delta u(x, y) = \xi f(x, y) \quad \text{in } D,
$$

$$
u(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D,
$$
where \( f(x, y) := -432x(x - 1)y(y - 1) \) and \( \xi \sim \text{Beta}(2, 6) \). The QoI is defined by

\[
Q := \int_D u(x, y) \, dx \, dy.
\]

It is important to note that this problem is a true benchmark problem, for which the exact expected value of \( Q \) is given by

\[
\mathbb{E}[Q] = \frac{1}{4} \int_D u_1(x, y) \, dx \, dy,
\]

where \( u_1 \) is the solution of the problem for \( \xi = 1 \). Thus only the solution of a single problem on a fine mesh is required to obtain a reference value of \( \mathbb{E}[Q] \). This is very useful to verify the implementation and assess the algorithm’s performances.

The problem is discretized by finite differences on a sequence of uniform grids and the discretized systems are solved using the *NumPy* Python package for scientific computing. The source code of the solver itself can be found in *mlmc.routines/ellipt.2d/solver_ellipt.py*.

Changing the discretization of the problem or the solver is easily possible and does not require any changes in the used MLMC strategy.

## 4 Example of usage

Before running the demonstrator code, the following packages need to be installed on the system: Python 2 and the appropriate *NumPy* version. The demonstrator code may then be run by executing the attached file *main_ellipt.py* (cf. Figure 5).

A possible output in the terminal is presented in Table 1. It shows the evolution of the number of samples on each level during nine adaptation steps. As desired, the algorithm put more samples, and hence computational work, on coarser grids, whereas only a small amount of samples was distributed to finer grids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( L = 1 )</th>
<th>( L = 2 )</th>
<th>( L = 3 )</th>
<th>( L = 4 )</th>
<th>( L = 5 )</th>
<th>( L = 6 )</th>
<th>( L = 7 )</th>
<th>( L = 8 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Number of samples per level \( L \) adaptively chosen in nine steps.

Depending on the used MLMC strategy and solver, additional output details may be available. These may be helpful in the analysis of the efficiency of the MLMC strategy. In this particular demonstration, the following files are written to the file system: The file...
simulation_io/reports/P1 contains estimated errors and fitted rates for the C-MLMC algorithm, whereas the file simulation_io/reports/P1_val contains the obtained values of the required statistics of the QoI at each C-MLMC iteration.
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A Snippets
**Figure 2:** Example MLMC settings class encapsulating all the required MLMC parameters for a particular MLMC strategy. In this case the C-MLMC algorithm.

```python
class simulation_ml(object):
    # sets tolerances and parameters for the cmlmc algorithm
    def __init__(self):
        # Tolerance and Confidences
        self.conf = False
        if self.conf is True:
            confidence = 0.90 # Confidence Interval
            self.calpha = norm.ppf(confidence)
        else:
            self.calpha = 1.0

        self.type_ml = 'cmlmc'
        if self.type_ml == 'cmlmc':
            # Minimum Splitting parameter
            self.k0 = 0.1 # Certainty Parameter 0 rates
            self.k1 = 0.1 # Certainty Parameter 1 rates
            self.r1 = 1.25 # Cost increase first iterations C-MLMC
            self.r2 = 1.15 # Cost increase final iterations C-MLMC
            self.tol0 = 0.25 # Tolerance iter 0
            self.tolF = 0.1 # Tolerance final
            self.N0 = 25
            self.L0 = 2
        else:
            print "only cmlmc available in this version"

        # Parallelization Settings
        self.uq_evaluation = 'serial'
```

**Figure 3:** Example implementation of the problem interface. It encapsulates information of the problem, the solver, as well as the QoI.

```python
class ellipt_2d(object):
    def __init__(self):
        self.name = "ellipt_2d"
        self.prob_path = path + '/mlmc_routines/' + self.name
        self.solverDET = "solver_ellipt"
        self.solverMlevel = 'mlmc_level'
        self.input_folder = None

        # Input Uncertainties
        self.input_rv_def = np.array([["Z", "B", [2], None]])
        self.UWC_DEF = random_inputs(self.input_rv_def)

        # Output QoI
        self.x_ref = np.arange(0,1,0.001)
        self.x_field = [self.x_ref, self.x_ref]
        self.CDF_GRD1 = [np.linspace(-1, 7, 1000), np.linspace(0, 6, 500), 10, 0, 1, 0.95]
        self.QoI_def1 = np.array([['P1', 's', [2], [2], 'absolute', self.CDF_GRD1],
                                  ['P2', 's', [2], None, None]]
                               )
        self.QoI_def = np.array(self.QoI_def1[0,:], ndmin=2)

        # MLMC Hierarchy
        self.Lmax = 50

    def Nf_law(self, lev):
        # refinement strategy:
        # uniform mesh on level lev with h = \frac{1/N0}{2^l} \cdot (-lev)
        NO = 5.
        M = 2.
        NFF = (NO*np.power(M, lev))
        Nf2 = NFF**2
        return [NFF, Nf2]
```
def mlmc(sim_ml, problem):
    mlmc_lev = importlib.import_module(problem.solverMlevel)
    print 'START'
    CMLMC_sim = CMLMC_simulation(sim_ml, problem)
    QOI_cmlmc = QOI_class(problem.QoI_def)
    # Nest
    if np.isscalar(sim_ml.N0):
        CMLMC_sim.Nest = sim_ml.N0*np.ones(sim_ml.L0+1)
    else:
        CMLMC_sim.Nest = sim_ml.N0
    # Compute with an initial hierarchy
    for level in range(sim_ml.L0+1):
        CMLMC_sim.level = level
        # RUN THE HIERARCHY
        mlmc_level = mlmc_lev.mlmc_l(level, CMLMC_sim.Nest[level], problem, sim_ml)
        if mlmc_level.bad_candidate is True:
            CMLMC_sim.conver = None
            CMLMC_sim.Nest = None
            mlmc_rep.MEAN = [0, 1, 0, 1]
            mlmc_rep.VAR = [0, 1, 0, 1]
            print '################### Det solver NOT - CONVERGED ###########
            return mlmc_rep
        # Update
        CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc = update_lev(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, mlmc_level, problem.QoI_def)
    # Compute Reference values, Tolerances and iter C-MLMC
    CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc = set_tol(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, problem.QoI_def)
    print CMLMC_sim.iE_cmlmc
    # STEP 2: Estimate problem parameters for Bayesian VAR
    CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc = LS_rates(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, problem.QoI_def)
    CMLMC_sim = var_estim(CMLMC_sim, range(0, CMLMC_sim.level+1))
    write_report(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, problem.QoI_def, report_folder)
    # Compute new Theta Spliting
    if CMLMC_sim.ratesLS[1] < 0.01 or CMLMC_sim.ratesLS[3] < 0.01:
        CMLMC_sim.levelOPT = CMLMC_sim.levelOPT + 1
    CMLMC_sim = optimal_Nsamp(CMLMC_sim)
    print CMLMC_sim.Nsam
    # Run new hierarchy using optimal levels and NL
    for l in range(0, CMLMC_sim.levelOPT+1):
        CMLMC_sim.level = l
        if CMLMC_sim.dNsam[CMLMC_sim.level] > 0:
            mlmc_level = mlmc_lev.mlmc_l(CMLMC_sim.level, CMLMC_sim.dNsam[CMLMC_sim.level], problem, sim_ml)
            CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc = update_lev(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, mlmc_level, problem.QoI_def)
        CMLMC_sim.level = CMLMC_sim.levelOPT

Figure 4: Example implementation of the MLMC core interface. In this particular case, the C-MLMC algorithm is implemented. (part 1)
### Estimate problem parameters for Bayesian update

Evaluate CMLMC simulation errors and report.

```python
CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc = evaluate_simulation(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, problem.QoI_def)

CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc = compute_errors(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, problem.QoI_def)

write_report(CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc, problem.QoI_def, report_folder)

if CMLMC_sim.iter >= CMLMC_sim.iE:
    # Check if the two error models are consistent
    TERR_diff = np.abs(CMLMC_sim.Terr_mod_STD - CMLMC_sim.Terr_sampler)

    delta_t = 0.1

    if TERR_diff < delta_t * CMLMC_sim.tolF or SERR_diff < delta_t * CMLMC_sim.tolF:
        print 'Err Mod Consistent'

        if CMLMC_sim.Terr_sampler < CMLMC_sim.tolF:
            # and CMLMC_sim.Errors[2] < CMLMC_sim.theta * CMLMC_sim.tolF:
                print 'MLMC CONVERGED'
            CMLMC_sim.conv = True

        CMLMC_sim.iter += 1

    else:
        print 'MLMC NOT-CONVERGED'

        # Mout = [0, 1, 0, 1]
        # Vout = [0, 1, 0, 1]

        return CMLMC_sim, QOI_cmlmc
```

Figure 4: Example implementation of the MLMC core interface. In this particular case, the C-MLMC algorithm is implemented. (part 2)

```python
sim_ml = simulation_ml()
problem = ellipt_2d()
import cmlmc as mlc
mlc.mlmc(sim_ml, problem)

return sim_ml, QOI_cmlmc
```

Figure 5: Example program where an MLMC settings and a Problem class are instantiated and the MLMC algorithm is executed. The MLMC algorithm internally instantiates problem solver processes with respective mesh resolutions depending on the underlying MLMC strategy. In this particular example, the C-MLMC algorithm is used.