MODAL AND STRUCTURAL IDENTIFICATION OF A MULTI-SPAN MASONRY ARCH BRIDGE

PAOLO BORLENGHI^{1*}, ANTONELLA SAISI¹ AND CARMELO GENTILE¹

¹ Department of Architecture, Built environment and Construction engineering (DABC)
Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy
Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32 - 20133 Milan, Italy
e-mail: {paolo.borlenghi, antonella.saisi, carmelo.gentile}@polimi.it (*corresponding author)

Keywords: Masonry bridges, Ambient vibration tests, FE model updating, Non-Destructive Inspections

Abstract. *The Olla bridge is a multi-span masonry arch bridge dating back to the second half of the 19th century. The bridge is 117 m long and includes five arches of different spans. Since no original drawings or blueprints of the bridge were found in the archives, the missing information on the geometry of the structure were retrieved through a geomatic survey, whereas the unknown structural details were assumed according to historical handbooks and similar projects. In addition, limited material samples were taken to solve typical uncertainties such as the effective thickness of arches. Subsequently, ambient vibration tests were performed, and different output-only techniques were applied to the acquired time series with the two-fold objective of identifying the dynamic characteristics of the bridge and roughly verifying the invariance of the modal parameters. Finally, all the available information were summarised in a Finite Element model, that – after the updating of uncertain parameters – turned out to be capable of reproducing the identified dynamic characteristics of the structure with a high level of accuracy.*

1 INTRODUCTION

The development of the rail transport in the 19th century involved the construction of a large number of masonry arch bridges giving impulse to the improvement of this structural typology. Consequently, masonry arch bridges were the dominant technology in bridge design until the first half of the 20th century, when reinforced concrete progressively replaced brick and stone masonry. Nevertheless, many masonry bridges are still in use today and they represent essential infrastructures for the European road and railway networks. In recent years, due to their key role and uncertain state of preservation, masonry bridges have received increasing attention from the scientific community, resulting in a series of experimental investigations and numerical studies (see e.g. [1-3]). Furthermore, as all historical constructions, masonry bridges are affected by numerous uncertainties related to material properties and construction details: when neither the original blueprints nor the documentations on repairs and interventions are available, extensive experimental surveys are needed to retrieve the actual internal morphology of the bridge (i.e. backing arrangement or effective thickness of arches).

In this context, documentary research and limited material tests, together with Operational Modal Analysis (OMA) and Finite Element Model Updating (FEMU), can be applied to reduce uncertainties and contribute in establishing a reliable numerical model. The paper summarises the investigations carried out on the Olla bridge, involving the following steps: (i) documentary research, (ii) geomatic survey, (iii) minor destructive and ambient vibration tests and (iv) FE modelling and updating.

2 THE OLLA BRIDGE

The Olla bridge (*Ponte dell'Olla* in Italian, Fig. 1a) is a multi-span masonry arch bridge built in the second half of the 19th century over the Stura river. It carries the State Route no. 21 (SS21, i.e. the roadway connecting the city of Cuneo with the French border) between the municipalities of Gaiola and Borgo San Dalmazzo in the northwest part of Piedmont, Italy. Due to its localisation, the bridge has a strategic role for the economy of the area since it is the only entry to the *Stura di Demonte* Valley for trucks and commercial vehicles.

The structure is approximately 117 m long and has a maximum height over the river of about 42 m. It is composed of five masonry arches, symmetrically distributed, with spans of 10, 20, and 25 m, respectively. Piers and abutments are in a good quality ashlar stone masonry while arches and spandrel walls are in brick masonry. The documentary research started in the archive of the local Authority that was responsible for the design (the *Genio Civile*) but the original drawings were not found. Therefore, various construction details on the internal morphology of the structure were initially assumed according to historical construction handbooks and then eventually modified in establishing the FE model.

2.1 Geometry survey

In order to obtain a complete representation of the existing structure, a topographic survey was performed in September 2018 [4] using a total station (Leica TCRA 1203) and a laser scanner (Leica C10). Hence, the survey relied on different techniques, integrating local and global measurements, ensuring a 360° coverage of the bridge complexity. The 3D model resulting from point clouds (Fig. 1b) was used to extract a series of 2D drawings from which the FE model was developed. It is worth noting that once a point cloud is available, it is possible to extract an unlimited number of 2D sections for future applications.

Figure 1: The Olla bridge: (a) View from the Stura river; (b) 3D model from the geomatic survey [4].

2.2 Historical background

Firstly, the historical analysis focused on the research of the original blueprint of the Olla bridge at the State Archive of Cuneo: unlikely, this first phase did not bring to any result. Consequently, the focus moved to the general history of the roadway to which the bridge belongs, examining the maintenance reports of the Ministry of Public Works [5] and the history of a tramway that was passing on the bridge in the first half of the 20th century [6].

The first record regarding the existence of a bridge called “Olla”, between the small towns of Gaiola and Borgo San Dalmazzo, dates back to the 18th century. In that period and for one more century, the main route from the valley to the city of Cuneo was not crossing the river, so that the bridge served just as a secondary connection. In 1857 [5] – a few years before the proclamation of the Italian Kingdom – the road between Borgo San Dalmazzo and the French border was declared of national importance and a large project of renovation started, involving the construction of a new Olla bridge. The new structure was completed in 1887 [5] shortening the road and removing the difference in altitude caused by the older single-span masonry bridge (Fig. 2a). The design was carried out by the local chief engineer of the Italian Royal Corps of *Genio Civile* (public works office), Giovanni Delfino [6].

In 1912 the construction of a steam tramway between Borgo San Dalmazzo and the Stura valley was approved by the National Authority, completing the existing part that was starting from Cuneo [6]. The rails were installed in the downstream side of the bridge deck, and the tramline worked until 1948 [6], with some interruptions during the war periods.

During the Second World War, the old and the new Olla bridge were heavily damaged (Figs. 2b and 3): according to Taricco [6], both structures were detonated by the partisans on July 13th, 1944 to isolate the valley from the German army. The most recent bridge was repaired starting from September 1945 [6] but no specific records were found on the execution of the intervention. Regarding the older Olla bridge, it was abandoned, and the remains of the abutments are still visible nowadays (Fig. 1a).

In conclusion, the documentary research revealed the construction period and the Authority that was responsible for the design, as well as the collapse of the central arch with numerous historical pictures. Nevertheless, a large number of missing information regarding the structural details remain unsolved.

Figure 2: Historical views of the investigated structure before (a) and after (b) the damages of 1944 [6].

Figure 3: Views of the damages occurred in 1944, before (a) and after (b) the complete detachment of the collapsed arch.

2.3 Structural details and internal morphology

Evaluating the contribution of backing and spandrel walls to the load-bearing capacity of a masonry bridge is often a complex task. The structural role of these elements can vary substantially according to their geometric arrangement and material characteristics.

To overcome the missing knowledge on structural details and internal morphology, the following strategies were taken: (i) assuming a geometrical configuration (height and inclination of the backing and thickness of the spandrel walls) according to contemporary construction handbooks and similar projects, (ii) verifying some of those assumptions with a limited number of coring tests, and (iii) including the material property of the backing among the updating parameters in the FEMU procedure.

In the case of Olla bridge, the well-known construction handbook of Curioni [7], published in 1873, and the projects of masonry viaducts published on the Journal of Public Works (*Giornale del Genio Civile* [8]) were adopted as reference. This choice was motivated by both the time of publication and the geographical proximity, suggesting a remarkable affinity with the investigated bridge. Generally, when the distance between the arch and the deck was quite high, the thickness of the spandrels had a variable section, wider near the arch [7]. In addition, the height of the backing – in the pier axis – was equal to $2/3$ of the arch radius [7] but it can vary considerably according to the designer. Fig. 4 shows two examples of masonry viaducts published in [8] with a backing height almost equal to arch radius.

Furthermore, from the historical pictures taken after the collapse of the central arch (Fig. 3) it is possible to verify the presence of a solid backing that prevented the overturning of the central piers from the unbalanced trusts coming from the lateral arches (both with spans equal to 20 m). Regarding the collapse mechanism, it is worth noting that: (i) at first the arch did not

collapse in the ideal skewback at the end of the pier (Fig. 3a), while (ii) subsequently the remaining part of the arch on the Gaiola side collapsed separately in respect to the backing (Fig. 3b). These observations underline the importance of better understanding the role of backing and spandrels in the structural response of the Olla bridge.

Figure 4: Historical drawings (1879) of the railway viaducts of Zoagli (a) and Sori (b) [8].

3 EXPERIMENTAL SURVEY

The experimental survey conducted on the Olla bridge included Ambient Vibration Tests (AVTs) and Minor Destructive Tests (MDTs). Particularly, the AVT was performed with the objective of identifying the modal parameters of the structure (i.e. natural frequencies and mode shapes) and verifying their time invariance. Subsequently, the identified frequencies were used to correct the numerical model of the bridge within a FEMU procedure. The MDTs consisted in the determination of the effective thickness of arches and spandrels and material type for the fill. Due to the limited extension of the core drill machine, no information on the backing was obtained.

3.1 Ambient vibration tests and modal identification

The AVT was conducted on July 31st, 2018 with one lane open to traffic; the acceleration responses of the bridge were measured in 11 selected points belonging to the downstream side of the deck. As represented in Fig. 5a, the sensor layout was designed to guarantee a complete representation of the lateral mode shapes (11 transversal sensors), and a partial reconstruction of vertical and longitudinal ones, deploying 3 vertical sensors placed in the centre of the major arches, where the maximum modal displacements were expected.

During the test the following devices were used: (a) an acquisition laptop; (b) a multi-channel acquisition system with 4 NI9234 modules (24-bit resolution, 102 dB dynamic range and anti-aliasing filters); (c) 14 WR731A piezoelectric accelerometers (10 V/g sensitivity and $\pm 0.50g$ of peak acceleration); (d) 14 power unit amplifiers (WR P31). The amplifiers were aimed at enhancing the performance of the acquisition chain, providing a constant current to power the internal amplifier, signal amplification and selective filtering. The sampling

frequency adopted was equal to 200 Hz, which is more than enough for the considered structure whose dominant frequencies are below 10 Hz. Therefore, low pass filtering and decimation were applied to down-sample the data to 40 Hz, obtaining a Nyquist frequency of 20 Hz. The acquired data were then divided in 4 dataset of 2400 s to verify the invariance of the identified modal parameters over the testing time.

The extraction of the modal parameters was performed by applying three well-known algorithms: the Frequency Domain Decomposition (FDD [9]), the data-driven Stochastic Subspace Identification (SSI-data [10]) and the Covariance-based Stochastic Subspace Identification (SSI-Cov [10]). The first two techniques are available in the commercial software ARTeMIS [11] whereas the third one was developed in a previous research [12].

Overall, 5 lateral and 3 vertical vibration modes are identified in the frequency range of 0-10 Hz. Figure 5b shows the first singular value (SV_1) lines obtained by applying the FDD technique to the vertical (blue curve, V) and lateral (red curve, L) signals collected in one dataset (dataset 1). The first three peaks in the $SV_{1 \text{ lat.}}$ curve – corresponding to lateral modes L_1 , L_2 and L_3 – are associated with smaller peaks in the $SV_{1 \text{ vert.}}$ curve, that refers to the vertical direction. Conversely, the other local maxima (corresponding to modes V_1 , V_2 , L_4 , L_5 and V_3) are associated with a unique dominant component of motion.

Figure 5: (a) Sensors layout during the AVT (dimensions in m): the red and blue dots refer to transverse and vertical sensors, respectively; (b) Comparison between the first singular value lines of lateral ($SV_{1 \text{ lat.}}$) and vertical ($SV_{1 \text{ vert.}}$) responses and identification of modal frequencies (dataset 1).

The application of different output-only techniques (i.e. FDD, SSI-data and SSI-Cov) to the different datasets resulted in remarkably consistent natural frequencies and mode shapes, suggesting the invariance of the dynamic behaviour of the structure over the testing time. It is worth mentioning that during the test, the traffic on the open lane was quite intense. To exemplify the low variations of natural frequencies, the identification of lateral modes (SSI-Cov) in four different datasets are reported in Tab. 1 and Fig. 6. Furthermore, the identified mode shapes are shown in Fig.7.

Table 1: Natural frequencies identified (SSI-Cov) in 4 different datasets (lateral modes).

Mode		$f_{\text{SSI-Cov}}$ (Hz)				Mean (Hz)	St. dev. (Hz)
No.	Type	Dataset 1	Dataset 2	Dataset 3	Dataset 4		
1	L ₁	2.613	2.604	2.619	2.641	2.619	0.013
2	L ₂	3.832	3.835	3.839	3.836	3.835	0.003
4	L ₃	5.777	5.802	5.780	5.808	5.792	0.013
6	L ₄	7.213	7.223	7.239	7.224	7.225	0.009
7	L ₅	8.971	-	8.993	9.012	8.992	0.017

Figure 6: First singular values line (FDD) and alignments of stable poles (SSI-Cov) of different datasets: (a) dataset 1; (b) dataset 2; (c) dataset 3; (d) dataset 4.

Figure 7: Identified vibration modes (L = dominant lateral, V = dominant vertical).

3.2 Coring tests

The coring tests on the Olla bridge were executed in Autumn 2018. Six coring samples were taken from the following elements: 2 on the arches, 2 on the spandrels and 2 on the deck. The tests revealed that the arches are constituted only by brick masonry while the spandrels are a mixed of stone and brick masonry. The thickness of the asphalt over the fill is equal to 20 cm.

4 FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING AND UPDATING

The structural contribution of spandrels and backing is often not clear and hard to determine. Therefore, the standard approach in modelling masonry bridges considers the arches as the main load-bearing structure [1], neglecting the role of the other parts that form the deck (spandrels, backing and fill).

In a previous study [13], a simplified model was developed for the Olla bridge to clarify the contribution of non-structural components in the bridge dynamic response under operational conditions. The simplified model emphasised the importance of considering the stiffening effect given by the elements above the arch. Nevertheless, due to the simplified nature of the model it was impossible to match both lateral and vertical response. Consequently, the three-dimensional FE model herein presented reproduces as closely as possible the actual geometry of the bridge. Therefore, the spandrel walls, backing and fill have been modelled along with arches, abutments and piers; the material properties of each structural element are updated according to the adopted system identification procedure and a perfect connection between the different components is assumed.

Figure 8: FE model of the Olla bridge with the indication of different materials.

The 3D model was developed with the FE code ABAQUS using the ten-node tetrahedral elements (C3D10). A relatively large number of elements were employed to obtain a regular distribution of masses, a good description of geometrical details, and to avoid frequency sensitivity to mesh size. Overall, the numerical model consists of 45604 tetrahedral elements with 211818 degrees of freedom and an average mesh size of 1.15m (Fig. 8).

Once the geometry of the numerical model is established, the selection of the structural parameters to be updated is the next key issue. To prevent the ill-conditioning of the inverse problem and to improve the robustness of the updated parameter estimates, the following aspects were considered: (i) the number of updating variables was kept smaller than the experimental parameters used as targets; (ii) only the uncertain structural parameters were updated; (iii) the sensitivity of natural frequencies to the different parameters was checked and low-sensitivity structural parameters were not updated.

Overall, 8 regions with constant material properties were identified based on visual inspections and coring tests (Fig. 8): (1) piers and abutments; (2) the central arch (reconstructed in 1945); (3) lateral arches; (4) spandrels; (5) base of central piers; (6) backing over the abutments and the lateral piers; (7) backing over the central piers (partially reconstructed in 1945); (8) fill.

In addition, the following assumption were adopted: (a) the effect of soil-structure interaction was neglected, (b) all the materials were considered isotropic with constant mass density and Poisson's ratio (see Tab. 2), (c) the spandrels were assumed 1.0 m thick, and (d) the Young's modulus of fill material was not adjusted due to its low sensitivity. In view of the clear presence of superficial rocks at the river level, the base nodes of piers and abutments were assumed pinned. Similarly, the longitudinal translation of the abutments was restrained.

Figure 9: Lateral (L) and vertical (V) vibration modes of the optimal (updated) FE model.

Table 2: Summary of the identified structural parameters (* indicates the non-updated parameters)

No.	Structural elements	Assumed properties		Base model	Refined model
		ν (-)	γ (kN/m ³)	E (GPa)	E (GPa)
1	Piers / abutments	0.15	20	16.50	16.10
2	Central arch	0.15	17	4.50	7.73
3	Lateral arches	0.15	17	4.50	3.23
4	Spandrels	0.15	19	15.00	16.85
5	Base of central piers	0.15	21	22.00	27.49
6	Backing lateral piers / abut.	0.15	18	2.00	1.38
7	Backing central piers	0.15	18	2.00	4.56
8	Fill *	0.3	16	0.30	0.30

Table 3: Comparison between experimental (SSI-Cov) and numerical frequencies.

Mode		Exp. (SSI-Cov)	Base model		Updated model	
No.	Type	f_{AVT} (Hz)	f_{FEM} (Hz)	DF (%)	f_{FEM} (Hz)	DF (%)
1	L ₁	2.619	2.56	2.2	2.621	-0.07
2	L ₂	3.835	3.83	0.3	3.828	0.18
3	V ₁	4.541	4.53	0.2	4.541	-0.01
4	L ₃	5.792	5.80	-0.2	5.793	-0.02
5	V ₂	6.628	6.14	7.4	6.629	-0.02
6	L ₄	7.225	7.45	-3.2	7.225	-0.01
7	L ₅	8.992	9.17	-2.0	9.044	-0.57
8	V ₃	9.033	8.64	4.3	9.062	-0.32
DF_{ave} (%)		-	-	2.46	-	0.15
DF_{max} (%)		-	-	7.35	-	0.57

An initial FE model (Base model) was developed to check the similarity between experimental and numerical modal parameters. As shown in Tab. 2, the Young's modulus of arches and backing was assumed equal to 4.5 GPa and 2.0 GPa respectively. Tab. 3 illustrates the imperfect correlation with the experimental results, showing a maximum frequency discrepancy (DF) of 7.4%. However, the one-to-one correspondence of the experimental-numerical modes seems to provide a sufficient verification to the main model assumptions.

The adopted FEMU procedure was implemented in MATLAB environment and it is based on the Douglas-Reid method [14] with the Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO) algorithm: the updating parameters are iteratively corrected in a constrained range until a stable minimum solution for an objective function is found. Particularly, the following objective function was adopted:

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{f_i^{AVT} - \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{x})}{f_i^{AVT}} \right| \quad (1)$$

where f_i^{AVT} are the i -th experimentally identified natural frequency and $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{x})$ are the i -th polynomial approximations [14] of the numerical natural frequencies, expressed as functions of the $\mathbf{x}$ updating parameters.

Tab. 2 lists the optimal estimates of the uncertain parameters of the model. The differences between the elastic moduli of the central and lateral arches, as well as the one of the backing, are motivated by the different years of construction: as shown by the historical analysis, the central arch was rebuilt in 1945. As demonstrated by the coring tests, the spandrels are made of bricks externally and stone internally, justifying the high values elastic modulus obtained. Finally, the base of the central piers is built in a better-quality stone masonry in respect to the rest of the piers and, moreover, the optimal elastic modulus conceivably accounts for the stiffening effect provided by the compacted soils surrounding the piers.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The paper focuses on the OMA-based structural identification based of the Olla bridge of Gaiola, Piedmont region, northwest Italy. The occasional brick fall from one of the arches had caused concerns on the state of preservation of the structure by the local Authorities, motivating the following research programme. The investigations included documentary research, geometric survey, minor destructive and ambient vibration testing, and FE modelling and updating. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1) The documentary research revealed the construction period and designer, along with a series of historical pictures of the collapsed central arch in 1944;
- 2) During the AVT, performed with one line open to traffic, 5 lateral and 3 vertical vibration modes were identified in the frequency range of 0-10 Hz;
- 3) Notwithstanding the initial model represented accurately the geometry retrieved from geomatic survey, a relatively poor correlation with the actual structural response was obtained ($DF_{ave} = 2.46\%$, $DF_{max} = 7.35\%$);
- 4) On the contrary, as shown in Fig. 9, applying the developed FEMU procedure and considering the effects of the reconstruction of 1945, an excellent correlation with the experimental results was obtained ($DF_{ave} = 0.15\%$, $DF_{max} = 0.57\%$), highlighting the importance of backing and spandrels in the dynamic response of masonry bridges.

To complete the structural assessment of the Olla bridge, a full-scale load tests and additional minor-destructive and non-destructive tests on materials should be performed in near future.

Acknowledgements. The support of ANAS (Struttura territoriale Piemonte e Valle d'Aosta) is gratefully acknowledge. Sincere thanks are due to M. Cucchi and M. Iscandri (LPMSC, Politecnico di Milano) who assisted the authors in conducting the field tests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brencich, A. and Morbiducci, R. Masonry arches: Historical rules and modern mechanics. *Int. J. Archit. Herit.* (2007) **1**(2):165-189.
- [2] Costa, C., Ribeiro, D., Jorge, P., Silva, R., Arêde, A. and Calçada, R. Calibration of the numerical model of a stone masonry railway bridge based on experimentally identified modal parameters. *Eng. Struct.* (2016) **123**:354-371.
- [3] Scozzese, F., Ragni, L., Tubaldi, E. and Gara, F. Modal properties variation and collapse assessment of masonry arch bridges under scour action. *Eng. Struct.* (2019) **119**:109665.
- [4] Fassi, F. and Achille, C. *Relazione delle attività di rilievo topografico e laser-scanner: Ponte dell'Olla località Gaiola (CN)* (in Italian). Technical report, Politecnico di Milano (2018).
- [5] Ministero dei lavori pubblici. *Relazione sul mantenimento delle Strade Nazionali durante il periodo dal 1° Luglio 1887 al 30 Giugno 1888* (in Italian). Tipografia Eredi Botta, Rome (1889).
- [6] Taricco, S. *Il tramvai di Demonte: ricordi di una storia passata* (in Italian). Mauro Fantino Editore, Borgo San Dalmazzo (2001).
- [7] Curioni, G. *L'arte del costruire: costruzioni civili, stradali ed idrauliche* (in Italian). Augusto Federico Negro Editore, Turin (1873).
- [8] *Giornale del Genio Civile. Tavole* (in Italian). Istituto Poligrafico dello Stato, Roma (1879).
- [9] Brincker, R., Zhang, L. and Andersen, P. Modal identification of output-only systems using frequency domain decomposition. *Smart Mater. Struct.* (2001) **10**(3):441-445.
- [10] Peeters, B. *System identification and damage detection in civil engineering*. Ph.D. Thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium (2000).
- [11] Structural Vibration Solutions (SVS). ARTeMIS Extractor 2010. Aalborg, Denmark (2010).
- [12] Cabboi, A., Magalhães, F., Gentile, C. and Cunha, A. Automated modal identification and tracking: Application to an iron arch bridge. *Struct. Control Health Monit.* (2017) **24**(1): e1854.
- [13] Borlenghi, P., Saisi, A. and Gentile, C. Preliminary Structural Assessment of a Multi-span Masonry Arch Bridge. In: *Arêde A. and Costa C. (Eds.): Proceedings of ARCH 2019, Structural Integrity 11* (2020). Springer, Cham.
- [14] Douglas, B. M. and Reid, W. H. Dynamic tests and system identification of bridges. *J. Struct. Div. ASCE* (1982) **108**(10):2295-2312.